Preface

The Past Presidents Committee is comprised of the Past Presidents of the Association. The Past Presidents Committee may make recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the activities and future direction of the Association. The Chair shall be elected annually by the membership of the Past Presidents Committee.

Over the past 20 years, the Committee has been accumulating historical materials on the NAME as well as on related history prior to the establishment of the NAME in 1966. The first history poster presentation was exhibited in the ship gallery during the 25th Anniversary Meeting on the American Hawaii Cruise Ship in 1991. The celebration banquet for that meeting was held on the Island of Kauai. This earlier history of the NAME presentation resulted in two posters, one dealing with the formative years of the NAME with photographs of the NAME Presidents. In 2001, on the occasion of the 35TH Anniversary Meeting at Richmond, the Committee presented two large history posters that updated the earlier posters, showing the Founders and early formative years of the NAME, and added another on the NAME Standards, Inspection and Accreditation Program. During the Annual Past Presidents luncheons, discussions were devoted to the documentation and archiving the historical materials of the NAME.

In preparation for this 45th Anniversary Meeting, our efforts were devoted to the gathering and publication of the Memoirs of the NAME members. This is a part of the Committee effort for the preparation of the 50th Anniversary publication of the definitive History of the NAME. This idea on collecting memoirs stemmed from my enrolment with my wife, Hisako in the USC Emeriti College course, “Guided Autobiography” three years ago. Guided Autobiography was presented as a technique of writing your biography by answering set questions, and putting your answers together to form your biography. From time to time, such biography can be reviewed and updated or you may wish to add more photographs.

We have now close to 150 pages on hand from NAME members, who have submitted their autobiographies. The Committee would like to publish all the Past Presidents’ memoirs this year.

The NAME web site lists the following Past Presidents: Red indicates their memoirs are included in this edition and brown is member whose memoirs are deferred for future edition.

Dr. Milton Helpern 1966-70 Deceased
Dr. Joseph Spelman 1970-71 Deceased
Dr. Leslie Lukash 1971-72 Deceased
Dr. Charles Larson 1972-73 Deceased
Dr. Ali Hameli 1973-75
Dr. Joseph H. Davis (Rose Marie) 1975-76
Dr. Frank Cleveland 1976-77
Dr. Jerry Francisco (Carol) 1977-78
Dr. William Sturner 1978-79
Dr. John Coe (Myrtle-deceased) 1979-80
Dr. William Eckert 1980-81 Deceased
Dr. David Wiecking (Mary Ann) 1981-82 Deceased 2011
Dr. Thomas Noguchi (Hisako) 1982-83
Dr. Robert Stein 1983-84 Deceased
Dr. Elliot Gross 1984-85
Dr. Eleanor McQuillen (James) 1985-86
Dr. James Spencer Bell 1986-87 Deceased
Dr. Donald T. Reay (Judy) 1987-88
(filled unexpired term of Dr. Bell)
Dr. Thomas Hegert 1988-89 Deceased 2010
Dr. Marcella Fierro (Robert) 1989-90
Dr. John Butt 1990-91
Dr. Sandra Conradi (Edward-deceased) 1991-92
Dr. Lawrence Harris (Camille) 1992-93
Dr. Charles Stahl (Ellen) 1993-94
Dr. Boyd Stephens 1994-95 Deceased
Dr. Ross Zumwalt 1995-96 (Actually wrote more about Adelson
Dr. James Frost 1996-97
Dr. John Pless (Lois) 1997-12/31/98
(Change in term of Office, prior to 1997, terms of Presidents expired at the Annual Meetings)
Dr. Edmund Donoghue (Judy) 1999
Dr. Garry Peterson (Mary Ann) 2000
Dr. Randy Hanzlick (Mary) 2001
Dr. Joni McClain 2002
Dr. Michael Bell (Tanis) 2003
Dr. Michael Graham (Irene) 2004
Dr. Fred Jordan 2005
Dr. John Hunsaker (Brenda) 2006
Dr. Joseph Prahl (Tamara) 2007
Dr. Jeffrey Jentzen (Dorianne) 2008
Dr. John Howard (Marjan) 2009
Dr. Lakshmanan Sathyavagiswaran (Vijay) 2010

The guiding questions are as follows:

NAME Memoir

Message from NAME Approach: For now, as an initial project, we ask everyone to complete a memoir if they have:

- Practiced forensic pathology for 25 years or more
- Served as a Chief Medical Examiner in at least one office

A generally applicable outline for the memoir:

1. Why I selected forensic pathology as a career
2. Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner
3. Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner
4. Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences
5. Recollections of places I trained and worked at
6. Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned
7. Recollections about people I have trained
8. Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities
9. Academic involvement through research, education, and training
10. Legislative change in which I was involved
11. My contributions to the field of forensic pathology
12. Perspectives I gained as a Medical Examiner
13. Difficult cases I have managed
   How I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety, personal performance issues Other recollections
14. Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field
15. How my work experience changed me, changed my life, and what I learned from my work
16. How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse?
17. Knowing what I do now, would I “do it again” under the same circumstances as when I began, or under today’s circumstances?
18. Personal information such as family, hobbies and interests (optional)”

On the occasion of the 45th Anniversary Meeting in Alaska on August 6-13, 2011, the meeting will be held on the board the Ms. Westerdam of the Holland American Lines. The Committee is prepared to present a half hour program of the oral History of the National Association of Medical Examiners (NAME).

This is our future plan. Instead of trying to complete the Memoir eBook in one year, we will continue this project for subsequent years. Those who had intended to submit your memoirs, but did not do so whatever reason, you have more time to finish it and submit it, which we very much encourage you to do. We prefer to have a narrative description, rather than in bullet form or CV form.

We have only five more years until the 50th Anniversary Meeting in 2016. We hope to bring the history of the NAME, and further, we would like to compile information on the early history prior to the establishment of the NAME in 1966.

This project will continue, so please submit for future publication, if you have not done so already or have added material for your memoirs.
1) The narrative description of your current office and staff with stories with photographic collection,
2) Recollections of the founding members and pioneers in mediolegal investigation,
3) Memoirs from Affiliated Members.

Members of the Editorial Board for the NAME MEMOIRS read all the Memoirs submitted, made some minor changes and corrections to fit a standard format.

I would like to express my personal appreciations to the past presidents and to participate in this program, and to the members who responded to call for the memoirs. My special appreciation to two past presidents Jeffrey M. Jentzen, M.D., Ph.D. and Randy L. Hanzlick, M.D. who coordinated the collection of memoirs, and served as members of the Editorial Board and Jeff Jentzen for his proof reading and edit the submitted memoirs.

Thomas T. Noguchi, MD
Chairman, Past Presidents Committee
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Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
In June, 1954 my U S Public Health Service career was ending in New Orleans. I joined the Louisiana State University Department of Pathology faculty. Some pay was derived from autopsies performed for the Coroner of Orleans Parish.

Extremely interesting was anatomic pathology of fatal untreated natural diseases, trauma and intoxications. The role of alcohol in traffic fatalities was striking. None of these had been my experience in prior pathology practice.

Stanley H. Durlacher, M.D. was the LSU faculty director of the Laboratory of the Coroner’s Office. In late 1955 he was chosen to become the first Chief Medical Examiner of a new Dade County Medical Examiner Office (Miami). He appointed me as an Assistant Medical Examiner. The office initially opened at Noon of March 15, 1956 in a former funeral home ambulance garage until an existing former laboratory animal building could be altered. This was adjacent to county operated Jackson Memorial Hospital which received clinical services from the University of Miami School of Medicine. Both Dr. Durlacher and I were granted faculty appointments.

Dr. Durlacher was thirteen years my senior, had formerly been with Dr. Russell Fisher in Baltimore and held a faculty appointment at the University of Maryland.

The former laboratory animal facility was a primitive tiny two room building used by us until the first real medical examiner facility was being planned and constructed.

In February, 1957 Dr. Durlacher attended the American Academy of Forensic Sciences at the Drake Hotel in Chicago, its annual meeting place. While discussing a paper he collapsed from spontaneous rupture of an aneurysm of the Circle of Willis and died in March. The County Commission appointed me as Acting Chief Medical Examiner and made it permanent in June, 1958.

As with any medical practice, routine Forensic Pathology practice is not difficult to grasp. However a minority of cases involve cognitive thinking and careful ongoing correlation with developing circumstantial information. It was up to me to learn how to cope with complex cases, to operate an office, to integrate my
services into the needs of police, prosecutor, courts, families, funeral homes, fellow physicians in clinical practice and the public at large.

Without a mentor it was up to me to develop systems best suited to the community. I constantly experimented with different approaches to documentation of findings, ways of presenting conclusions and defusing potential conflicts. I was open to suggestions from all whom I served. My home telephone was listed. I was available 24/7.

It became apparent that no single approach works for the infinite number of variables that permeate all sudden unexpected death investigations. Rote performance based on prior training elsewhere is not applicable.

Time constraints did not permit me to study how other contemporary forensic pathology agencies administered and developed case investigations and conclusions. If a problem in another office became publicized I sought the details to avoid making the same mistakes.

I had some limited forensic pathology exposure prior to becoming a pathologist. While serving as a medical corpsman in the U.S. Army during WW-II I assisted in the first autopsy I ever witnessed. A soldier, William Raspberry, vomited frankfurter fragments during an appendectomy and aspirated.

Later during my second year at the Long Island College of Medicine, now SUNY Downstate, I observed numerous New York City Medical Examiner autopsies conducted at Kings County Hospital, Brooklyn.

Nearly a decade later, while detached by the U.S. Public Health Service to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, I served as the only physician at the small hospital on the Ft. Belknap Indian Reservation, Montana. About 85 miles away was the Rocky Boy Indian Reservation at which I conducted a weekly clinic. At Rocky Boy two men lived in a tiny cabin which caught fire while they were known to be intoxicated from illegal smuggled liquor. Rumors spread that they might have been murdered and the fire set. I was requested to determine if they died before the fire. I borrowed a pocket knife from an onlooker, opened the tracheas of the charred bodies and demonstrated to the witnesses the inhaled smoke soot and highly pink mucosa. That seemed reasonable to me although I had never received any pathology residency training.

Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner

Dade County, now designated Miami-Dade County, was my only home base for 40 years.

Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner

A. As a medical student I learned the value of integration of history, physical examination and laboratory testing. The diagnostic approach was from the general to the specific.

As a pathologist in residency training the opposite seemed to be the case, diagnosis based upon gross and microscopic patterns and/or laboratory results.

When faced with medical examiner responsibilities to determine the cause of death, I learned that the general to specific approach was superior to a simple viewing of an autopsy based pattern. Circumstances as derived from police and other sources constituted the first aspect of history. Social and past medical histories made up the second. The environment involved was the third component. Together they created investigative hypotheses which shaped the autopsy and laboratory investigations. The final result is evidentiary opinion being based upon the total data base and suitable in court.

I also learned that initial circumstance history is usually incomplete. The telephone was the most vital instrument to use in diagnosis. Case example: Sudden death of a baseball player. Initial history: “Two players collided while each sought to catch a foul ball. One collapsed and was pronounced dead at the hospital.” Autopsy disclosed no anatomic evidence of disease or injury. By use of the telephone I contacted the other player. I learned that the right elbow of the surviving player had struck the precordium of the victim followed by a walk of few steps before collapse. From rescue paramedics I learned that ventricular
fibrillation had been determined on arrival. Caused of death listed on certificate: Ventricular fibrillation due to blow to precordium. In the descriptive part of the certificate I summarized the impact.

My analysis: Impact occurred at the critical part of the cardiac cycle and induced ventricular fibrillation. The brain contained sufficient oxygen to permit the final steps before collapse. I do not use words that are meaningless to the reader of the certificate or autopsy such as “commotio cordis.”

B. Teaching others that the autopsy must never be rote or consist of a pre-existing printed form. The narrative must be flexible with great detail about the cardiovascular system and appropriate microscopic slides when an abnormal cardiac rhythm occurred. In a firearm death the emphasis is upon the wound surface and pathway if the victim is youthful without evidence of heart disease. In that event the observations should clearly indicate which abnormality caused the death.

When a puzzling case arises, my advice to my associates is “Pretend you do not have a dead body. Analyze the circumstances and ask yourself what injuries or findings would you expect to observe at autopsy.” This is a useful method to apply in selected cases.

C. Self learning that each medical examiner case is not the same as other similarly categorized cases. I heard a forensic pathologist describe atherosclerotic coronary artery diseases as “all the same.” To me, each case has unique aspects that create a better comprehension of why some die earlier with less obvious anatomic disease than others who survive with more severe disease.

D. During my tenure we performed over 81,000 autopsies, 12% by me. My policy was to bring into our office for direct viewing and/or autopsy every medical examiner case referred to us except those that involved only a cremation review.

The reason for bringing in the cases was to assure that the physical evidence - the body - was consistent with the circumstances preceding hospitalization. I automatically sent a copy of the autopsy report to the medical records librarian of the hospital.

I considered those 81,000 case files as the textbook of forensic pathology and medical examiner service. Each file contains police circumstances, additional history, appropriate medical records, newspaper clippings, messages, the gross and microscopic autopsy, laboratory results and photographs. Elsewhere paraffin blocks, microscopic slides and the hard copy case files are permanently retained. Why? Our files contain unique information suitable for retrospective social and medical research. Example: We possess detailed information about poisons that are no longer available but may be encountered under unusual circumstances. Another reason is that textbooks and published references are incomplete and ill suited for problem solving. If I am to testify in court, it is best if I rely upon real experiences rather than books.

In summary, the County has changed over the decades. The poisons, the types of death, patterns of automobile injury, drowning circumstances, violence and so forth are permanently documented for review and study.

Education has been a core function of the office. One year we kept a record of how many live audience people that members of our staff addressed: Twenty-two thousand people.

Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences

Two Broad Categories – General and Specific

General: Throughout my tenure as Director of the Medical Examiner Department whenever possible the choice of response to problems and requests was in terms of “Preaching the Gospel of Forensic Pathology service to all.” I never said “No” to a request. People individually and those representing agencies formerly ignorant of the value of medical examiner service became aware and appreciative.

Specific: Throughout my career I received requests to participate in programs conducted by service organizations. I never declined even though not conversant with the details of operation. Ignorance was not a cause for denial but a reason to accept and learn. I also joined the Miami Rotary Club exposing
my office to myriads of “movers and shakers” of the community. I rose through the ranks of organized medicine, served as president of the sixth largest medical society in the U.S. creating appreciation of the medical examiner as a valuable branch of medicine. As a committee chairman of county and state medical societies I learned the rudiments of by-laws preparation, organizational ethics, and legislative processes. Demonstration of the benefit of medical examiner service to others was also of benefit to me from the knowledge gained.

The list of interactions over the 40 years is too long to list and much detail has faded from memory. NAME and the AAFS are a given.

Recollections of places I have trained and worked
Not applicable as most of my training and working is centered about Miami-Dade County and the University of Miami.

Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned
Had he lived, Dr. Durlacher, thirteen years my senior, would have been my mentor. Because of his early death I was self taught. I did make it a practice to pay attention to others, how they performed, cases they discussed and acquire as much benefit from them as possible. I have sat through many a lecture presented by one of my peers, a lecture that I could have presented. However, I always learned something of benefit about case investigations and methods of presenting concepts to an audience.

Recollections about people I have trained
First and foremost – I never trained anybody ……… I only gave them an opportunity to learn. Each trainee, medical students locally and from abroad, Forensic Pathology residents seeking American Board of Pathology certification in the subspeciality of Forensic Pathology, pathologists or those in a variations of legal medicine from abroad, and others involved in visiting with us to further their careers, and those attending specialized classes, each brought with them some specialized knowledge or experience that would expand the horizon of knowledge available to all.

I always sought to find out what hobbies or special interests that a visitor had. That information could be shared with others.

Most attendees were motivated. Some were less so inclined. The numbers are vast and beyond my memory. I am unable to recollect all that should be mentioned. Faded memory permits me to recall a few.

Jack Temple was a member of the Premed Honor Society at the University of Miami. From an address I gave to his group, he and Rick Bossardt spent the summer. Before they entered the University of Miami School of Medicine, they had already had a paper accepted for publication in the July, 1975 Journal of Forensic Sciences. It concerned corrosion of steel tanks used for Scuba diving. After achieving his doctorate degree, Dr. Temple became an Internist and has been with the U/M faculty to this date. He has achieved the highest of teaching honors in his outstanding career.

Prior to the creation of the Forensic Pathology subspecialty board, pathologists were already forensic pathologists, many of whom I was privileged to meet during my early years. The first pathologist who joined our office in a full time capacity was Dr. Raymond Justi, whose clear thinking mind and ability to choose correct and meaningful English during stress was superb and a wonder to me. Ray could always observe the core of problems and their solutions. He came from humble Italian roots. I recall how his father could never understand how he could still be a doctor and do what he did as a medical examiner. After he left our office to join an active local pathology group, he was an active member of the Aesculapian Society, a small group of local physicians representing different medical specialties from private practice and the University. Ray always presented erudite discussions at our meetings.
When our formal Forensic Pathology residency program began, my first official resident was Joseph Rupp, Ph.D., M.D. who before retirement was the Chief Medical Examiner in Corpus Christi, Texas. I shall never forget his unfettered enthusiasm over escaping from the Ph.D. land of experimental mice and joining the stimulating field of Forensic Pathology. His slogan was: “The Scene’s the Thing!” When he discussed a scene, the first photograph was the building in which the death occurred. His pet introductory phrase was “This is a house” followed by a well edited and presented case.

Our former residents are scattered widely over North America including Puerto Rico. Most hold or have held positions of leadership. Space plus a fading memory prevent me from giving them richly deserved credit.

One former resident, Dr. Jay Barnhart, now retired and living in Rockledge, Florida stands out in my memory for several reasons, one being that I see him now and then. The first outstanding memory is that Dr. Barnhart and his brother conducted a family practice on the Eastern Shore of Maryland for 17 years. When the practice of medicine became subservient to third parties, his brother joined the U.S. Air Force and Jay obtained his boards in Pathology and then came to us for his Forensic Pathology. In his former days, he often encountered medical examiner deaths in his portion of the State remote from the central office in Baltimore.

What he brought with him was diagnostic skills well beyond the ken of pathologists plus the management skills to operate an agency. After he was with me for a week, I would opine that when he arrived, I could have given him the keys to the office and he could have run it as well, if not better, than I. I called him “Our real doctor” in view of his clinical skills.

He had many other attributes, an undergraduate degree in botany which resulted in him becoming a docent in Fairchild Tropical Garden, one of the great arborets of the world. Another attribute was music. He is an accomplished pianist and organist. Close by lives Lt. Marshall Frank, whom I knew when he was a key member of the Homicide Bureau of the Miami-Dade Police Department. After retiring from a distinguished law enforcement career, he went on with further governmental careers until he became fully retired. Marshall surprised me once when I had purchased a violin. He asked to try it and produced the most intricate and perfect Paganini violin music one could hear. Surprised, I inquired about his skill. “Before becoming a cop I was a concert violinist.” He has written a number of excellent books that are worth reading by any forensic pathologist.

Today he and Dr. Barnhart perform a service to patients confined to nursing homes and elder facilities. They jointly present gratis musical programs to these patients.

Other attendees to our office are represented in legal medicine offices in Europe and Asia. Their interactions with our department have been mutually beneficial.

Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities

When our office commenced operation at Noon on March 15, 1956, no such service from a central office existed in Dade County. Surgeons became used to certifying trauma deaths and continued to do despite repeated educational programs and informational letters to hospitals of the community. Time was devoted to tracking down the details of deaths that should have been reported but had not. Getting upset was not an option because causing change in people long used to a certain system or lack thereof usually equates with a ten year period when the solution is their retirement. This medical examiner always used persuasion and his time and resources to solve those dilemmas.

In 1885 Florida’s Constitutional revision abolished coroners and gave the Justices of the Peace in each county the authority to hold inquests. Our enabling legislation, Chapter 30228, Laws of Florida, 1955 skirted the issued and let us exist as a parallel agency. For the most part there was little problem but occasionally one Peace Justice would want to rule opposite to what we had already certified. My response to controversy was never become combative. We were already operating at maximum and confrontations
were non-productive. My advice privately to my compatriots was to go around any obstruction. “Sooner of later the problem will be solved by change in status, retirement, death or indictment.” Another maxim was what Thumper, the rabbit in the cartoon, was told by his mother, “If you can’t say anything nice, don’t say anything at all.” I would never blame others even in private because private ceases to be private if mentioned to even one person.

Another means to avoid controversies is to remain aware of the problems of other medical examiners that may be publicized. Gather staff and inquire “What are they being criticized about that we are also doing? How can we modify what we are doing to avoid the same problem?” A 5 inch thick three ring binder of news clippings and background data about other medical examiners being publicly criticized exists in the Miami office.

Another example: The Investigations Bureau chief and the Director of Operations enter my office, “We have a problem. We have lost a body.” My reply, “First - obtain for me a second by second inch by inch complete reconstruction of the events associated with the loss. Second – prepare for me a list of recommendations of changes in our procedures to minimize this from occurring again. Third – do not tell me whose fault it is. I know that already. It is mine. The buck stops on my desk.”

Almost always those involved in the error are excellent loyal employees. Placing blame is no way to correct a problem that has occurred.

**Academic involvement through research, education and training**

Dr. Durlacher would not accept a position in Dade County unless granted faculty status at the University of Miami. He and I were faculty members of LSU when he was being considered. He brought coronary artery research projects from the University of Maryland to LSU and carried them to the University of Miami. We were granted faculty status. In 1967 I was appointed Professor and granted tenure although my major income was as a County employee.

During the early days I had 12 teaching hours for the 4th year medical students. I presented forensic pathology and arranged for others to cover aspects of legal medicine.

Over time the medical schools of the United States underwent intensive changes in their curriculum. I lost the hours in the senior class and none were forthcoming for other years.

My policy was to expand each case with as much background information as possible. If a medical examiner death occurred in a hospital, pertinent copies of the medical record accompanied the body and were incorporated into our records. My idea was to create a means for retrospective research.

This policy paid off in my relationship with faculty members involved in other departments in the medical school. I made the records available to faculty members when their research concerned matters within our records. I would not consider being an author of papers unless I had personally contributed. My bibliography reflects a number of jointly authored papers covering a myriad of subjects.

The University of Miami in recent years developed a program to honor faculty members who, in the opinion of faculty throughout the University, had made outstanding contributions to the University. Over the years three faculty members I had worked with received this honor. They invited me to the ceremony marking the award. Much to my surprise they publicly thanked me for helping them initiate their research.

One of the most outstanding University of Miami achievements, by virtue of its world wide implementation, was the mid-1960’s creation of the concept of rescue paramedic responders being trained to perform advanced cardiac life support (ACLS). I had welcomed the City of Miami Fire Department rescue personnel to observe autopsies upon cases that they had been involved with. In those days, it was only the Red Cross first aid measures that were available.

Dr. Eugene Nagel, recent addition to the Department of Anesthesiology from Johns Hopkins Hospital teamed up with Dr. Jim Hirschman, private practice of cardiology and also amateur radio operator. Dr.
Hirschman had created an ECG telemeter device which allowed him to receive an ECG from five thousand miles away, interpret it and radio back the results, all from his home in Miami. Dr. Nagel, an electrical engineer prior to medical school, expanded his Johns Hopkins resuscitation knowledge into the concept of fire rescue paramedics operating ECG machines and defibrillators. Captain Manuel Padron, head of the Rescue team of the Miami Fire Department had the staff ready and willing to learn. I was involved with them as my office had the space for training and I could assist the firemen in the anatomy and physiology of the intubation and resuscitation process. It was a success. I well remember the first person in world history who collapsed upon the sidewalk in ventricular fibrillation and was successfully resuscitated to be discharged from the hospital back to his former activity. He used to visit the Fire Rescue Crew who saved him to express his appreciation. A few years ago the Miami Fire Department arranged for a celebration in memory of those pioneer days. Attending were those still alive who were part of the original crews. Drs. Nagel, Hirschman and I participated. I have retained the plaque which designated each of us as a “Pioneer in Resuscitation”.

I participated in the genesis of other University programs. One was to establish the School of Engineering as a Center for Crash Injury Research. Out of this came many innovations plus a close working relationship with Dr. William Haddon, the first director of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

The genesis of the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health of the University involved me. Its first emphasis was a Pesticide Research Center. At that time Dade County had the highest death rate from organophosphate poisoning in the United States.

The Ryder Trauma Center of the University of Miami did not exist. Evidence to support the concept lay within my files where we demonstrated the loss of life from lack of proper trauma care. I participated in the monthly fatality review meetings...a great learning process for me.

The University of Miami Bone and Tissue Bank was established in the University by Dr. Theodore Malinin. Our cases were sources for sterile specimens for preparation and transplantation. When we built our three building complex at the north-east corner of the medical center complex, we included a five room sterile autopsy suite. The value of sterile techniques is that large bones, including a hemi-pelvis, can be transplanted. This is not possible with non-sterile post autopsy recovery followed by sterilization.

Many other relationships have occurred and are maintained to this day.

**Legislative change in which I was involved**

A. Initial legislation concerned barbiturates in Florida in early 1960’s.

B. (1) Chapter 406, Medical Examiner Act, Florida Statutes enacted in 1970. I played a central role in developing concepts that would apply to a state where an automobile driver traveling from Key West to Chicago found the half way point to be Pensacola, Florida in another time zone, a state with widespread demographic, fiscal, political differences.

(2) After enactment of Chapter 406, F.S. residual portions of prior local medical examiner or pathology service within various Judicial Circuits remained on the books and were a source of irritation to those needing access to records. I arranged for a quiet behind the scenes introduction of a “house keeping bill” to repeal those bothersome laws.

C. Health providers mandatory reporting of gunshot wounds legislation: When we commenced in 1956 I found reporting was already custom and was thought by all to be law. However, there was no Florida law. I contacted Attorney Generals throughout the U.S. and received copies of what already existed, then arranged with police lobbyists to introduce corrective legislation.

D. Implied Consent and Chemical Test Law for Florida: My plan was a 20 year period, 5 years to collect data, 5 years to educate Dade County, 5 years of State-wide education and 5 years to obtain Legislative and Governor approval. It only took 11 years because the Dade County Citizens Safety Council
E. Fleeing drivers became a crime: No law forbid a driver to flee when ordered to stop by a law enforcement officer. I was asked by police how many deaths had been caused by “police pursuit?” Within a few days I had compiled the list, wrote a summary and created a proper title “Deaths Caused by Fleeing Drivers” thereby putting the onus upon the driver, not the police. When it came to the final vote of the Legislature each member had a copy of my document on his desk.

F. Participated in changing Pesticide Regulations of the State of Florida: In 1965 Dade County had the highest death rate from organophosphate (mostly parathion) pesticides in the United States. That year we utilized on our agricultural fields enough parathion to kill every man, woman and child in the entire world. Anyone could purchase a 3 pound sack of 15% wettable parathion powder sufficient to kill 3000 people. Glass gallon jugs of 80% parathion concentrate could be purchased by anyone. Accidental deaths were common, suicides less so, and homicides least...but all occurred and many required my personal scene visitation and reconstruction of events.

G. At the Federal level, I testified pertaining to the white phosphorous edible paste used to kill roaches and sold to the general public. We had eleven deaths, one child having “smoke issuing from rectum” noted in the hospital record prior to death. The result was decertification of this pesticide for use by the general public.

H. When the southern leg of Interstate 95 was completed in Dade County, I was appalled to note an absence of proper median separation of traffic lanes. I contacted the Chairman of the State Road Board with data back up with the result that I-95 safety omission was corrected.

I. At the Federal level I participated in the setting of priorities for post crash rescue efforts of the 16 Standards set by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and later for the removal of lethal automobile hood ornaments.

My contributions to the field of forensic pathology

When we commenced in 1956, the United States lacked forensic pathology services in many large areas. Governmental programs which we take for granted today did not exist.

Often I was called upon by citizens or police or prosecutors for assistance when these gaps occurred. My rule was never to say “No” but to step in and help to what extent capable. The Williams Act of 1970 established OSHA. Before that time government did little to promote safer industrial methods. I recall one case where a cable under 18,000 pounds tension “broke” (as notified by police) and a worker was killed. I determined it was not caused by a break but by use of an improper sized cable splicer. My documented facts and photographs were sent by me to the manufacturer with a recommendation that a splicer be color coded as to size as well as numbered.

Every request that had nothing to do with my duties under the law was honored pro bono to demonstrate the value of medical examiner service.

Perspectives I gained as a medical examiner

When I started I had never been trained to appreciate trauma, cause and effect of social problems, the role of toxicology and engineering sciences in forensics as well as forensic psychiatry or other specialties that exist within or without the American Academy of Forensic Sciences.

With 40 years of learning experience I have gained a much wider sense of the world and its peoples and their variations.

A forensic pathologist medical examiner must be a generalist with some familiarity of a multitude of things having little to do with traditional practice of medicine.

The medical examiner should not consider himself as an expert in all these fields but should be aware of what the jobs of others require and how they may be utilized in problem solving.
I am still learning from what I encounter on the Internet and Cable Television via such channels as Travel, History, Discovery and Health.

**Difficult cases I have managed**

A. When it comes to homicide by violence, experienced homicide detectives usually have figured out what happened before I arrived. Non-homicide cases tend to be most difficult because police focus upon crime.

Medical examiner cases for the most part are rather simple to evaluate in a satisfactory manner if one does a good job and continues to be aware of the potential for error in any case.

B. A minority of medical examiner cases are more difficult in terms of:

   (1) “Negative” autopsy findings where which many consider as no evidence as to cause. To me “negative” does not exist. We merely have not yet developed the means for detection of all abnormalities by existing laboratory methods. Only recently discovered is the role of genetics which determine those hearts prone to dysrhythmia, anatomic findings not withstanding.

   Circumstantial reconstruction requires personal attention to detail omitted in the initial report. The telephone is the most important tool to solve such cases. The prior example of the two baseball players who collided and one died from ventricular fibrillation from a blow to the precordium demonstrates the value of follow up telephone inquiries.

C. Environmental causes are often subtle and require personal attention to circumstantial reconstruction.

   (1) Defective electric tools may lead to death by ventricular fibrillation without electro-thermal burns of skin. Example: On a wet day a man was trimming his lawn with an electric edger. His wife called to him that lunch was ready. When he did not come in she found him dead alongside the operating trimmer. Electrical death must be ruled out by a careful evaluation of the tool.

   It was equipped with a properly polarized plug. The switch on the handle had failed. The owner bypassed the defective switch using an external in-line metal cased switch with no adequate grounding mechanism. The energized wire was loose enough to touch the metal case intermittently depending upon position. It was a perfect trap. When the wife called to him to come in for lunch, he reached down to push the poorly installed switch with its metal case. Wet feet plus 110 volt 60 HZ was perfect to induce ventricular fibrillation.

   Because no adequate system exists to analyze defective tools, I assumed that function. An autopsy upon the tool, wiring or device is the most important part of a medical examiner investigation of an electrical death. A long shelf in our conference room displayed a large amount of electrical tools that have caused deaths, one manufactured in the 1930’s.

   (2) Much South Florida coast is mangrove swamp with deep anaerobic rotted vegetation soil, perfect for generation of Hydrogen Sulfide gas. Many think of Hydrogen Sulfide as merely rotten egg smell but few realize that it is as toxic as Hydrogen Cyanide gas. At lethal concentration olfactory senses fail to detect its presence. A ditch digger collapses. Another goes to the rescue and dies. Too late is recognition of the danger.

   (3) Carbon Monoxide from many sources has always been a danger, poorly understood by initial responders. In our New Orleans toxicology laboratory the wife of an employee stayed behind while others went to lunch. Upon their return she was found almost unconscious. All she could recall was feeling ill and inability to depart from the premises. A gas operated wall mounted water still was in operation. We called the gas company to investigate. The company technician walked in and, with a heavy New Orleans accent, spoke these words, “I don’t smell no monoxide” and departed. We purchased a Mine Safety Appliance
carbon monoxide detector and became the unofficial testers of suspected carbon monoxide hazards. I recall being dispatched to a scene where a police officer had “killed his pregnant wife, her mother and then himself.” I found the defective space heater in operation that cool evening. Another case was a call for me to respond to the scene of a baby death due to the neglect of drug intoxicated parents. I detected the Servel gas refrigerator responsible for the death, tested its gaseous effluent and prevented a wrongful arrest.

Some personal carbon monoxide death investigations have resulted in retrospective tracing from one scene to another and finally to a defective automobile exhaust system. All such cases are complex and may easily be overlooked by medical examiner or police investigators.

14. How I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety and personal performance issues

I am a private person not apt to tell others how I feel in terms of stress, anxiety, personal discomfort or problems. I do not care to share them with others because others carry their own burdens. I do not believe in medications or alcohol to alleviate problems because my investigations teach me otherwise.

I can recall being at the shopping center with my wife and feeling tense….so I went to the magazine rack and obtained a magazine dealing with mechanical matters, automobile, firearms or whatever was inexpensive mind calming information.

In the initial years of medical school three of us shared an apartment. Our slogan was a joking “Push, Grind, Shove, Study.” In actual practice we did not substitute partying when study came first.

My father was a self made man who left home at age 17 without a high school degree. His first job was driving a horse drawn delivery wagon serving German speaking bakers. He went to night school, learned German and when sufficiently fluent spoke it on the route. Sales rose dramatically. A key corporate executive noticed and appreciated the work ethic. As that executive rose to head up a major company, my father also made out well in the corporate world. I mention this because all too frequently the tendency is to equate work and devotion to duty with paper degrees and not actually I prefer stress to stay active. All organisms require a degree of stress in order not to fail. Of course none of this would have been possible without the consideration and care from Rose Marie, my wife at my side for 49 ½ years. Even today my adult children reliving their childhood comment on how she kept family problems on her side of the relationship.

Perhaps the reader may note the time I have devoted to these NAME memoirs at 86 years of age. Why? It is the continuation of a perpetual desire to stimulate those who may be receptive to self improvement.

Aside: On February 2, 2011 a luncheon was held in my honor at the Florida State University Club sponsored by former police investigators some who were present when our office opened in 1956. They all appreciated what I taught them. In response I pointed out that I also learned from them.

Am I prideful? No, I am humbled and somewhat embarrassed by such attention. Not all medical examiners can enjoy the freedom to perform as did I. They are locked into legal, political and administrative systems unbending in operation. I was fortunate to have the opportunity to enter into a medical examiner system that was new and not bound by precedent. Shortly thereafter the County embarked upon a new concept for Florida, the Home Rule Charter with a Manager-Commission
governing system eager to demonstrate success.

The County Manager has important functions that are lightning rods – police, property assessment, taxes, County Commissioners. The Medical Examiner Department is one of the smallest. I felt it was my job never to bother the Manager and to perform in a manner that would reflect well upon him and the County Commissioners.

Other recollections

Innumerable recollections come to mind which involve governmental and administrative systems, records management, death investigation systems, history, etc. Time and space are limited. Previously cited are some. Space and limitations of memory preclude expansion of this category.

Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field

A. Each case requires PRE-AUTOPSY ANALYSIS of three generic components:
   - CIRCUMSTANCES based upon witness and physical evidence at sites of onset, transport, and determination of death
   - HISTORY
     (a) Medical
     (b) Social.
   - ENVIRONMENT which provides causative factors and alterations of evidence or artifacts.

These generate preliminary “INVESTIGATIVE HYPOTHESES” which shape the autopsy plan suitable for final CORRELATION of all information plus ANTICIPATION of future needs or questions concerning criminal, civil or humanitarian factors.

B. AUTOPSY requires investigative data based sequence of dissection plus sites of emphasis and detail of documentation including photographs. Text must create in the mind of the reader a correct visualization of what was observed.

At the end of the gross autopsy report gross autopsy findings should be listed in logical sequence based upon material facts of the case.

C. LABORATORY Tests for evidence of toxins, alteration of body chemistry, infectious agents and genetic markers-
   (a) Require proper specimens and containers for subsequent determination of test materials and procedures.
   (b) Require microscopic slides with emphasis upon those tissues affected by disease or injury.
   (c) Require logical listing of these additional findings.

D. PHOTOGRAPHS require proper composition and include orientation views of all close-up photographs.

The final report contains
   (a) Facts and data constituting the WORK PRODUCT of the Medical Examiner investigation. Do not comingle work product of police, other physicians or agencies. Keep that information in the file separate from the Medical Examiner work product component.
   (b) Cause of death for the death certificate and final EVIDENTIARY opinion constitutes the statutory duty of the Medical Examiner in a manner acceptable to rules of court admissibility.

Maintain awareness that the officers of a criminal court require the medical examiner to participate in the determination of the CORPUS DELICTI, the “body of the crime” which must be placed into evidence BEFORE proceeding with the accusatory phase leading to conviction. The Corpus delicti has three
components:

A. IDENTITY meaning that the dead body being discussed in court is the same as that examined by police and witnesses including the Medical Examiner. The Medical Examiner must assure that correct procedures are carried out before the body leaves his jurisdiction.

B. DEATH NOT FROM NATURAL CAUSES requires a critical role by the Medical Examiner with input from police who have access to witnesses.

C. DEATH DUE TO CRIMINAL ACT of another is mainly a police matter but does require input from the Medical Examiner.

The Medical Examiner is expected to respond to ordinary witness questions plus expert witness questions often in the form of hypothetical questions.

The most sage advice is remain ever humble, neutral, help each questioner whether prosecutor or defense while maintaining an aura of fairness and lack of advocacy.

No death is ever identical in all respects to any other. Variables are infinite. Text books and peers are never familiar with all variables. Accordingly do not deny what seems new to the Medical Examiner but seek to investigate fully. Be curious about everything. The Medical Examiner must be a generalist because death circumstances have an infinite number of variables. As far back as I can remember, I have always been curious and willing to learn more about any topic.

Finally my most important advice: Never use the term “It is not my job” when someone seeks help. It is the job of the Medical Examiner to assist that person into finding a solution to the problem, often by simple referral to the proper person or agency. Being curious about anything and everything expands the ability to assist others in need.

How my work experience changed me, changed my life, and what I learned from my work.

In the beginning of my career as a forensic pathologist I was influenced by the classical teaching of pathology to extrapolate from the smallest piece of evidence and render a “diagnosis.” A few cases into active forensic pathology practice taught me that an autopsy centered investigation with little or poor circumstantial information has a potential for error. Later it became apparent that the needs of others for my work product went far afield from a statutory duty to “determine the cause of death.” I also found that Webster’s Unabridged Dictionary has a long list of meanings for “cause.”

I also found that others expected far more than minimal statutory requirements.

The Medical Examiner is a creation of the Legislature and is subject to the whims of that agency. The only political influence the Medical Examiner enjoys is the respect of others from all walks of life and whose opinions influence legislators and others in government.

Youth creates impatience from which flows intolerance. Knowledge is restricted by a limited life experience. Work experience and time create tolerance of the customs of others. That does not mean that I adopt all religious and political ideologies. I have become more politically conservative but I will listen to those with different views.

Humans do not change from one generation to another. When in the U.S. Army during WW-II the Army placed me into the Army Specialized Training Corps. It was a college program to create more specialized personnel for the continuation of the total war. Part of this entailed being detached to Princeton University for an accelerated pre-medicine non-degree course. Obligatory reading was a recently published book by biologist and Professor of Natural History Edwin Grant Conklin, entitled Man, Real and Ideal. One major theme is that the human race will remain the same as long as Homo sapiens maintains its genetic status as Homo sapiens.

When framers of our Constitution met in convention in 1787, many were superbly educated in the classic civilizations of antiquity, their rise and their fall. That knowledge of learning from history
enabled the creation of a model republic. Few of each successive generation appreciate what those at the Constitutional Convention discussed. We owe James Madison an everlasting appreciation for his shorthand documentation of the proceedings. I urge all readers of these memoirs to review that document.

Of all the minds of that time, Thomas Jefferson stands out in being a brilliant superbly educated generalist who possessed great cognitive skills. John F. Kennedy held a dinner in the White House for a group of the brightest minds in the nation at that time. He made this statement: “This is perhaps the assembly of the most intelligence ever to gather at one time in the White House with the exception of when Thomas Jefferson dined alone.”

The Internet has provided a means for distribution of excerpts from writings and speeches of such great people. Unfortunately, most Internet excerpts are taken out of context and lack proper attribution. It is well to read the total document instead of blind acceptance of an excerpt.

18. How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse?
A. BETTER: Training programs are greater in number as are Board Certified Forensic Pathologists. Standards for the programs are more rigorous than before.
B. WORSE: The poor economy and fixed political structures in many areas of the U.S. has decreased the pool of applicants. In addition the removal of autopsy percentages required for hospital deaths has created a pool of potential forensic pathologists who lack basic skills and tenets for autopsy performance.
C. LACK OF EDUCATION IN APPLICATION OF LOGIC to performance and interpretation of autopsies and investigations. I am aware of only one Pathology training program which includes teaching and application of logic necessary for proper interpretation of autopsies.

I make a point of asking a Ph.D. degreed individual the subject of the Doctorate Dissertation. Much to my delight, Jon Nordby, Ph.D. of the General Section of the AAFS answered “logic.” I told him I possessed the 60 volume set Great Books of the Western World. When I studied logic as presented by the great minds of the past, nothing seemed applicable to the practice of Forensic Pathology.

In the past Dr. Nordby had been a scene investigator for the newly created Pierce County (Tacoma) Medical Examiner Office. I suggested he write a book that presents logic in a form suitable for forensic investigators. At the next annual AAFS meeting he informed me it was now in print, Nordby JJ Dead Reckoning: The Art of Forensic Detection, 1999.

Knowing what I know now, would I “do it again” under the same circumstances as when I began, or under today’s circumstances?
A. Under the same circumstances when I began has only one answer, Yes. My career has been most satisfying from its beginning to the present. The reason’s are clear when one reads all the above.

During the initial decade in Miami, Dade County local government was undergoing change to a Home Rule Charter, totally new to Florida and subject to numerous tests in court and at the ballot box. I retained my California medical license and was prepared to return to the practice of medicine in California if circumstances became intolerable. Most would not have dedicated the working time around the clock but the fascination with learning and doing kept me in Miami despite employment opportunities elsewhere.

B. Under today’s circumstances, probably yes although the pioneer aspects of 1956 no longer exist. New tools, expectations and fiscal challenges of today add spice to a career in Forensic Pathology. Looking back to March 15, 1956 and the intervening decades to the present, fiscal challenges have always existed and could always be tolerated with the solace that it can only get better.

I am enthralled by the scientific changes in medicine and the understanding of mechanism of life. Example: Old texts of toxicology classified poisons into categories according to what overt damage they exerted. One classification, protoplasmic poison, no longer appears in the light of current knowledge of cellular metabolism.
Forensic Scientists, including pathologists, are faced with new challenges to justify opinions in terms of science, an interesting concept in view of our heritage of the “art” of medicine. Even today, I spend telephone time discussing these challenges with learned legal academicians. I do not feel that I have “solved” any problems but I can still play a part in a discussion.

Personal information such as family, hobbies and interests play a significant role in the genesis and maturation of my career

A. My personal drive to devote all time to the tasks of medical study and practice kept me single until age 28. At this time I felt the need for family attachments, met Rose Marie, a nurse at the same hospital where I was stationed, proposed and had a simple inexpensive Justice of the Peace wedding, bargain price $10.00 actually paid by my colleague and best man, Dr. Jack Gregg, a pediatrician. Rose Marie, with three children age 4 and younger, had been recently divorced. My career has been most satisfying from its beginning to the present. From single status to married with a full family in one step was most fortunate. We had four more of our own totaling 6 girls and, following a lapse of seven years, a boy. To all, I am their “Dad.”

Today I recommend girls to be a major part of the mix as they are blessed with a caring capability. When Rose Marie was in the terminal stages of Parkinson’s Disease the girls took turns staying with us and caring for her until the end.

Rose Marie was the main stabilizing factor in furtherance of my career. She tolerated my devotion to work and did everything possible to keep my career on course. In 1959 I left the check book to her because I needed more time for service. Until two weeks before she passed away, she maintained the home expenses. I had to visit the credit union to learn how to assume the task. I owe my career to my wife and companion for 49 ½ years.

B. Hobbies imply discretionary time, of which I had none. Early in life my hobbies focused on sciences, biology and chemistry. I studied the high school chemistry textbook the previous year to the class and created a large home chemistry laboratory. The hobby was balanced with ample friends with whom I explored the swamp and woods, explored abandoned mines, engaged in winter sports and spent summers in Maine and Vermont. Always with me were books to read.

C. Interests in the form of curiosity have always been a part of my life. Curiosity is the catalyst that led me into the multitudes of activities that arose from the core vocation of Forensic Pathology.

In closing, I would reiterate a driving underlying concern during my career in Forensic Pathology. My greatest fear that stimulated extra investigative procedures is to assure that my personal work product or opinions never result in wrongful arrest or conviction of a factually innocent person. I see evidence that some Forensic Pathologists do not possess the same concern. I doubt that they would intentionally engage in a wrongful conviction. I suspect that their personality structure make them unaware of what they do to create a miscarriage of justice.

Joseph H. Davis M.D.
April 2011

Additionally Dr. Davis submitted a short form below

When I first started in forensic pathology at the instructor level at LSU, we younger pathology faculty of LSU and Tulane augmented income by performing autopsies for Dr. Nick Chetta, Coroner of Orleans Parish. The head of that service was Stan Durlacher, Associate Professor at LSU, whom Chetta had recruited from his affiliation with Russ Fisher. Fisher was one of the early pioneers who trained with Alan Moritz at the Harvard Department of Legal Medicine. Accordingly, his thinking was along the lines of Moritz -- pretty
good mentoring if any of you have recently re-read Moritz’ 1956 article, “Classical Mistakes in Forensic Pathology” (Am J Clin Path, 1956).

When Durlacher was chosen to head the newly created office in Miami opened on March 15, 1956, I went along expecting that Durlacher, 13 years my senior, would be a good mentor. He had told me, as per Fisher, not to play detective in place of the police and to be careful not to infringe on their turf. Translated that meant not being their critic and judge. Let others do that.

One year into our Miami experience, Dr. Durlacher died and I was placed in charge ... young, relatively inexperienced and not quite sure how to figure out the complex cases. So I experimented with different approaches on how to obtain information, how to retain information from the autopsies, and how to get along without much laboratory support. Our toxicology was in its infancy.

Quickly I learned that the scene and circumstantial data gave the most useful information in guiding the autopsy and its interpretation. My mentors were not the greats of forensic pathology but the experienced homicide detectives, the criminal defense attorneys, the cases and their autopsies (no sign outs unless it was clearly not a statutory defined medical examiner death). Due to being on my own, I was not burdened with any preconceptions that the way to go was because “this is the way I was taught.” My approach, other than constantly doing more, not less, was constantly changing as different methods were tried. Any ideas that anyone, employee, detective, funeral director, offered was taken seriously. Sometimes I followed up an autopsy with a visit to the funeral home preparation room for another “look see” to assure myself that something had not been misinterpreted.

That worked well and made up for deficits in our laboratory and information acquisition (no scene investigators). During my entire career I paid attention to what was going on in the other coroner and ME offices that could be of benefit. When visiting places, I would look at random case records for ideas. Never did I think that I had the only proper way to solve forensic puzzles as I strove to stay out of trouble and the limelight. Out of this came the philosophy of investigation. It seems that some 20 + years went by before concepts of diagnostic thinking solidified. This is what I tried to instill in my associates by example. So from the standpoint of being a mentor, my opinion is that I never “trained” anyone, only furnished the opportunity to learn by doing.

Finally, I am most gratified that Dr. Bruce Hyma has improved upon what I left behind with better case reviews and documentation of data. I always enjoyed seeing others succeed in improvement of forensic pathology standards. Compared to what did not exist a half century ago, forensic pathology service in the United States is far ahead of the past. I wish Alan Moritz could come back for a look see.

Joseph H. Davis
Chapter 2

Jerry T. Francisco, M.D.
NAME President 1977-78
Chief Medical Examiner, Selby County, Memphis 1961-1999

During my college education I had always wanted to obtain a doctorate degree, but I was having difficulty deciding which field to choose. My parents seemed to favor medicine because of a good relationship with our family doctor. I did not believe I could deal with the “blood and guts” of medicine so I chose optometry. While taking a biology course, my classmate showed me a pathology text. This text persuaded me I could deal with medicine.

After entering medical school at the University of Tennessee, I was again having difficulty choosing a specialty. Each medical school rotation gave me a different perspective. It seemed that any specialty would cause me to give up some aspect of Medicine that I found interesting. A friend exposed me to the idea of the specialty of pathology. It became clear to me that my greatest interest was the study of disease and this was the field for me. The University of Tennessee had a very dominant Chairman of the Department of Pathology, Douglas Sprunt. After a rotating Internship at The John Gaston Hospital in Memphis, Tennessee, he accepted me into the residency program.

Because the military draft was still a fact of life I needed to spend time in one of the services. The best chance for me, in order to stay in Memphis, was to volunteer for the Navy. There was a Naval Hospital in Millington, Tennessee. The Navy needed pathologists and the pathologist there was leaving to rejoin the residency program at The University of Tennessee. I was accepted and assigned to that hospital as Chief of Laboratory. I retained my University association as an assistant instructor. This title did not exist at the University, but Dr. Sprunt gave this title to all residents in their second year. This association worked very well, since the work schedule at the Naval hospital allowed an afternoon off and a weekend free if not on duty. This time allowed me to consult with faculty members of the University on any of my problems, either surgical or clinical. This experience and the University contacts allowed the American Board of Pathology to give me part credit toward my training as a pathologist.
Upon discharge from active duty, I returned to the training program of The University of Tennessee at the Institute of Pathology. At this time Dr. Sprunt had just negotiated a contract with Shelby county government to provide pathology services to law enforcement agencies in the county. This contract was used to remodel spaces in the pathology building and provide separate space for a forensic morgue and autopsy facility. It also provide salary and supply money. The faculty member who was to provide these services had created some problems and had to resign. After his resignation, the other faculty members had to provide these services and were unhappy. Dr. Sprunt offered me the opportunity to be the person to fulfill the terms of this contract. I had had several experiences with forensic problems during my Navy service. This had even included criminal court testimony in a murder trial and thus I believed I could handle this activity. These forensic activities were authorized under a private act of the Tennessee legislature authorizing Shelby county to enter into contracts with agencies.

I received the title of Coroner’s Physician. It was my duty to perform an external examination on all deaths that were pronounced D.O.A. at The John Gaston Hospital; to contact the law enforcement agency investigating this death and either assign a cause of death for the Coroner to sign or recommend that the District Attorneys General authorize an autopsy. If this authorization was given, it was to be my responsibility to perform the autopsy. All laboratory activities associated with this autopsy were to be provided by the Department. This included the development of a toxicology laboratory.

During the final phases of my Pathology training, Dr. Sprunt arranged extended visits to Cleveland, Ohio and Richmond, Virginia. This included visits with Alan Moritz, Lester Adelson, Samuel Gerber and Geoffrey Mann. My reading included several textbooks, both English and American, and a variety of medico-legal journals. After the completion of formal Pathology training in 1960 and passing the board in Anatomic and Clinical Pathology, I was offered a position of Assistant Professor of Pathology at The University of Tennessee, Institute of Pathology.

Dr. Sprunt was grandfathered with the Board of Forensic Pathology and because of this I was able to claim supervised training in Forensic Pathology. This allowed me to take the board examination in Forensic Pathology and become certified. During this time, with the support of the Tennessee Medical Association, Tennessee Society of Pathologists, Tennessee Department of Public Health and the District Attorneys General Conference of Tennessee we lobbied the Legislature to pass the Model Medical Examiner Law as proposed by the National Municipal League. There were some modifications that were made. There included the exclusion of two of Tennessee’s ninety-five counties from coverage and the withholding of the authority to order an autopsy from the County Medical Examiner. Both of these changes were later altered with the inclusion of all counties and the giving autopsy ordering authority to the County Medical Examiner.

I was appointed as County Medical Examiner for Shelby County in 1961 with the passage of the Postmortem Act. We adopted a modified form of Report by County Medical Examiner used by Dr. Mann of Virginia. The first Chief Medical Examiner for Tennessee was Dr. Thomas Littlejohn. He was not a Forensic Pathologist and appointed me as one of his consultants. Dr. Littlejohn left the state in 1963 to seek training in forensic pathology and I was appointed Chief Medical Examiner. A contract was negotiated between the state Health Department and The University to provide these services which included the salary of a Forensic fellow. The trainee once trained would agree to serve as a Forensic pathologist in the state for a period of two years or repay the stipend. Dr. Maurice Acree was the first trainee to get training in the Forensic Pathology program of the University of Tennessee, Institute of Pathology.
The development of a system of Forensic Pathology in Shelby County required support services. The first service was a laboratory to provide toxicology. The first director of this laboratory was Dr. Richard Walker. He decided to focus his time into Blood Banking and I became acting director until we hired a chemistry professor from Southwestern (a local Memphis Presbyterian college). This was not a full-time effort and we later employed a full-time director. He was an analytical Chemist, graduate of Virginia Tech, Dr. David T. Stafford. With the full development of toxicology services a special tract in the graduate program of the Department of Pathology was begun. The graduates of this program are still functioning in the states of Utah, Texas, Alabama and Washington as well as the country of Brazil. Dr. Tom Littlejohn returned to Tennessee after completing his Forensic training and reassumed the role of Chief Medical Examiner for Tennessee.

In 1971 a new Commissioner of Health, again, appointed me as Chief Medical Examiner. At this time the record of the investigations by County Medical Examiners had not been received and stored by the central office. There was no co-ordination of the ninety-five counties. Each county was operating as an independent unit. There was little state support, including no toxicology and few autopsies. The first efforts were to make sure that all counties had an informed medical examiner and that all reports were sent to the state office. The state’s pathologist were approached requesting that they provide autopsy support to all counties in the state. This was to be funded by contracts between the state Health Department and the individual pathologist who agreed to participate. None of the state’s pathologist were forensic trained and often needed guidance in certain cases.

This guidance was to be provided by a series of seminars to be given throughout the state. They were patterned after the schemes of Dr. Mann (Virginia). The attendees were both medical examiners and pathologist. A training handbook was written so that practicing physicians could be informed of the rudiments of legal medicine and the role of the autopsy in death investigation. A microfiche was prepared so that visual images of real cases could be shared among all physicians who were serving as County Medical Examiners. Narrative vignettes with accompanying photographs were prepared for use by county medical examiners to educate their fellow physicians and various public groups in the role of medical examiners in death investigation.

Because of the presence of a dental school in Memphis it was rather easy to obtain local dental consultants in medical examiner cases. Dr. Harry Mincer (D.D.S; PhD- pathology) became the Chief Dental Consultant to the Chief Medical Examiner and organized seminars to inform local dentist who would become local consultants to County Medical Examiners or pathologist through the state. When Dr. William Bass (Physical Anthropologist) left Kansas to become Chairman of the Department of Anthropology at The University of Tennessee in Knoxville, he was prevailed upon to become the Anthropology consultant for the state. In this role, all skeletal remains found in the state were referred to his group.

As Forensic Pathology trainees became available, regional offices were established throughout the state. There were offices in upper East Tennessee at East Tennessee University; Middle East Tennessee at The University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Lower East Tennessee in Chattanooga; Middle Tennessee at Nashville; to join the office in Memphis at The Medical Units. Throughout my career I trained eighteen (18) forensic pathologists, who included:
Because of the necessity of making changes in the Postmortem Law and the efforts of preventing the mischief of non-needed changes in the law, it became obvious that the office of Chief Medical Examiner needed to be in the Capitol City (Nashville). In view of this in 1988, I resigned as Chief Medical Examiner. This allowed the Department of Public Health to combine the office of Chief Medical Examiner with the Office of County Medical Examiner in Davidson County (Nashville). I retained my position as professor of Pathology at U.T. Memphis, County Medical Examiner for Shelby County and West Tennessee Associate Chief Medical Examiner. At this time there were five (5) Regional Forensic centers in Tennessee. Each center provided autopsy support for a defined region of the state, three toxicology laboratories and five locations for anthropological consultations. Every county had a County Medical Examiner and the public was well aware that certain deaths should be reported to a County Medical Examiner.

Many successful outcomes developed from our cooperative efforts. Most importantly, a poorly organized coroner’s system, established by constitution in the late eighteenth century had been replaced by a well-organized and funded county medical examiner system. In addition, we were able to inform the public, legal and medical professionals of the purpose and value of a professional death investigation system. We developed physical facilities to conduct the death investigations, autopsy and toxicology services. Initially, the office began as a 350 net square feet office in 1961; by 1999 had expanded to and had about 35,000 net square feet. We incorporated on-site forensic anthropology services and employed two full-time, board-certified forensic anthropologists. In addition, in the same year, we provide the services of an on-call forensic odontologist. We included full-service criminalistics with a full-service Toxicology laboratory, staffed with trained toxicologists for 24 hour turn-around time; developed blood spatter interpretive support for law enforcement, volatile accelerants testing, and educated the public, law enforcement and the legal community about the significance of alcohol in traffic accidents.

N.A.M.E. has played a major role in the organization of the forensic sciences for the State of Tennessee. Three (3) of the five regional centers have been inspected and accredited by N.A.M.E. There have been designated buildings acquired in all five locations to provide space for forensic services. The funding for
these services is provided by both state and local county sources. Qualified Forensic Pathologist serve in all centers. There are over a dozen board certified Forensic Pathologist practicing in the state.

My own participation in N.A.M.E. began when I became a member at the first meeting of NAME in Chicago following its incorporation. I subsequently served as a member of the Board of Governors 1970-1976, President-elect 1976-1977, President 1977-1978 and Chairman of the Board 1978-1979. We sponsored the second NAME annual meeting, the first was in Rhode Island with William Sturner as the local host. The support of the conference included a grant from the state to partially underwrite the cost of our meeting.

Jerry T. Francisco, M.D.
I chose Forensic Pathology as a career very early in Medical School at St. Louis University. A strong pathology department with a helpful faculty, along with staff members taking students to observe local medico-legal autopsies, played a significant role in my decision. There I met Dr. Rudy Gradwohl, the founder of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences. My mentors during residency for the next three years were Dr. John P. Wyatt, Chairman of Pathology, and a friend of the English forensic pathologist Donald Teare. They shared forensic positions at Queens Square Hospital in London; but more about him later. Other faculty included Dr. Henry Pinkerton and Dr. Drummond Bowden, a pediatric pathologist who was Director of laboratories at Cardinal Glennon Hospital. The staff also included Eugene Tucker, M.D., John Pfaff M.D. and George Gantner, Jr., M.D. a long time member of N.A.M.E. and the head of laboratories at Firmin Desloge Hospital. He was especially helpful to me in early research projects. One of the highlights of my residency was a visit by Dr. Lester Adelson, the chief of forensic pathology in Cleveland, Ohio. He lectured on infant and childhood murders, the precursor to “battered children.” His article published in The New England Journal of Medicine in 1961 was entitled in part “The Slaughter of The Innocents.” This work predated “The Battered Child Syndrome” literature by one year. My encounter with Dr. Adelson laid the foundation for my future research in the forensic field involving infants and children.

My fourth year of residency was as a Fulbright Scholar in forensic pathology at the University of London, England. My mentors were Professor Keith Simpson of Guy’s Hospital and Professor R. Donald Teare of St. Georges Hospital. Professor Teare first described (and named) “Asymmetric Hypertrophy” in 1958. The deputy pathologist at Guy’s Hospital was Dr. Keith Mant and the assistant at St. George's was Dr. David Bowen. Both were very supportive during my stay in London as I continued my research in vitreous humor analysis and began measuring glucose in deaths of young diabetics. I also served a one month rotation at the Scotland Yard Police Laboratory in order to round out my training and experience. The year in London provided me with a unique dimension to my overall education in forensic pathology.
I returned to the University of Kentucky in Lexington for a fifth and final year of training with Dr. Rudolph J. Muelling. His unique training in chemistry and toxicology along with a medicolegal background made him a mainstay at the new medical school in Lexington. My rotation was partly in pathology and partly in toxicology and clinical pathology. Among many interesting studies that we conducted was the testing of “moonshine” for toxins, including lead and arsenic, in addition to methyl alcohol. This experience foreshadowed my growing interest in toxicology throughout my career, which began in 1964.

My first staff position was at the Office of Chief Medical Examiner in New York City with the renowned Dr. Milton Helpern. My title was “Junior Medical Examiner” and the starting salary was $9,000 per year! However, it became a most enjoyable experience in my young career. Other staff physicians included Dr. Henry Siegel, Dr. John Devlin and Dr. Michael Lyons. Residents in training included Dr. James Luke and Dr. Michael Baden. Dr. Helpern usually made his rounds in mid-morning when autopsies were underway, with homicides being a priority and therefore done first. When leaving the autopsy room for the day, I saw a stretcher left in the hallway containing a blood stained female exhibiting many neck wounds and with a scissor blade lodged into the front of her neck. I had seen her earlier in the day and assumed that this was a homicide. When asking about the delay, I was told that the case was a suicide! History indicated that this young Asian woman had been distraught as her husband had left her, and I later learned that self-inflicted multiple sharp instrument wounds were common in her culture. Many of these wounds were superficial, and the total number—all in the neck—approached 60! A lesson was learned in the hallway that day.

Sometime later, I examined a young man who had died suddenly during a retrograde cardiac catheterization at a nearby hospital. I performed a complete and (I thought) a thorough autopsy, and found a “blood clot” obstructing an otherwise normal coronary artery. This seemed to me an adequate cause of death. Dr. Helpern came over to my table and inquired about my findings; however, after I told him, he immediately asked “where did the clot come from?” and suggested that I open the thigh and examine the femoral vessels. I then discovered a thrombosis to be present, thus the clot appeared to have originated from this location. More importantly, it was evident that both catheterizations had taken place at the same site with a 30 min. interval creating an ongoing repair process at the insertion site of the blood vessel. The tip of the catheter had loosened and detached a portion of the thrombus which then had passed up the femoral artery and into the coronary circulation. The findings in this case helped to change the procedures governing catheterizations, including banning sequential insertions at the same site, and coating all catheter tips with heparin-like medication.

Because of the many homicides, automobile accidents, and other conditions resulting in exsanguination, we began testing for alcohol in vitreous humor and any blood that was available. My colleague Dr. Richard Coumbis, a toxicologist, and I published the first study showing comparable levels in both fluid specimens and paved the way for other substances to be similarly measured and compared.

One of the most interesting cases that I experienced during my stay was the shooting death of Malcolm X. Following a speech from an auditorium stage to a large crowd, three adults rushed to the stage and opened fire with a shotgun and two handguns, he was instantly incapacitated and rushed to a nearby emergency room but was shortly pronounced dead. Large wounds of the chest and heart suggested buckshot ammunition had been used. Several hours later, the body was autopsied by Dr. Helpern, and I was his assistant. Close to dinner time, he suggested that we stop for the day. The next morning we were able to concentrate on the handgun wounds, apparently from .38 and .45 caliber weapons. Some of the bullets entered the bottoms of the feet and were recovered in the upper legs and pelvic area. The interpretation was that he was lying on the stage floor after the initial shotgun wounds to the thorax. There were 40 pieces of metal, including fragments, recovered during the autopsy. Subsequently when asked in court why he brought only 39 pieces
as evidence, he said that his grandson had dropped a fragment behind his desk drawer and that nobody had been able to find it. This provided a lighter moment in an otherwise somber trial which some of the doctors, including myself, were privileged to attend. After the prosecution rested, one of the three defense attorneys stood up and loudly asked: “Dr. Helpern, you don’t know who killed Malcolm X, do you?” The Chief stared at him and replied somewhat indignantly: “I don’t know and I don’t care; I’m interested in what did it, not who done it!” At that moment, I understood the role a doctor ought to assume in court—one of independence and impartiality. It was something that I always kept in mind during the rest of my career.

In early 1967, I ventured from the east coast to the Midwest. Chicago had at that time a large coroner system that was housed in the Cook County Hospital, and the Chief of the Coroner’s Laboratory was Dr. Jerry Kearns. I had hoped to have an opportunity to make a difference there, but I soon discovered that the training I had received in New York City was of little use in an office that was bent on following old-fashioned procedures. This office stood in contrast to the vibrant and highly respected pathology department at the University of Chicago Medical School, whose chairman was Dr. Robert Wissler. As an assistant professor in this department, I was able to collaborate with other staff members including Dr. John Esterly, a pediatric pathologist, who became a valued colleague and co-author.

At the end of two and a half years, I accepted an invitation from Dr. Charles Petty to join him in Dallas. Dr. Petty organized and created the Southwestern Institute of Forensic Sciences by merging the Criminal Investigation Laboratory with the Medical Examiner’s Office at Parkland Hospital. We began training several young doctors including Dr. Faye Spruill, Dr. Larry Simson and Dr. Larry Minette. Our staff added Dr. Walter Hofman, and later, Dr. Vincent Di Maio. The toxicology section, headed by Dr. Morton Mason, soon added Dr. James Garriott, who became one of my constant collaborators, in several research projects, including fatalities from the use and abuse of propoxyphene (Darvon®).

In 1974, following five productive years in Dallas, I accepted an offer to become the Chief Medical Examiner of Rhode Island. I was also appointed Professor of Pathology at Brown University. A medical examiner law had been in effect for many years, and Dr. Harold Beddoe as well as Dr. Joseph Palumbo were two physicians who had worked in the system. When I arrived, I began appointing young forensic pathologists to the staff, and the first to arrive was Dr. Faye Spruill. Autopsies at that time were performed at the old morgue which was located in the General Hospital area, several miles south of Providence. However, administration activities were undertaken at the newer Health Department building in town. During my stay, a new centralized facility was erected near the Health Department, which housed the medical examiner’s office and the division of laboratories. It provided adequate refrigeration, a histology laboratory, secretarial offices and an increased storage capacity. This new facility was conveniently located close to Brown University and its “Program in Medicine.” The Dean of medicine was Dr. Stanley Aronson, who originally was from New York and who also knew Dr. Helpern. He was a neuropathologist by training and was a strong supporter of academic pursuits. Together with Brown University, our office was able to organize and support one of the annual meetings of The National Association of Medical Examiners (NAME) at Newport, and we also formed the New England Society of Forensic Pathologists with our nearby colleagues. Soon we began collaborations with some of the excellent physicians and scientists at Brown and elsewhere. One of my research interests was in sudden infant death (SIDS), and that project took me to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology where Professor Richard Wurtman worked. He and I both thought that melatonin, a hormone related to sleep, may have played a role in this condition.

We developed a series of evening lectures during the spring semester in “Forensic Medicine” with help and support from William J. Curran, Professor of Legal Medicine at Harvard. He usually gave the introductory
lecture, and we occasionally finished with a “Mock Trial.” One of our many students was Dr. Wayne Carver, Chief Medical Examiner of Connecticut. At the same time, we were training residents including Dr. Loren Mednick, Dr. Mary Ann Clayton and Dr. Richard Callery. Other staff additions were Dr. Arthur Burns, Dr. John Grauerholz, Dr. Kristin Sweeney and Dr. Frank Garrity. Dr. Frank Peretti, a native of Rhode Island, was a scene investigator as part of his experience to become a forensic pathologist.

Eventually, a “budget crunch” forced us to cut staffing, and it became more difficult to function as we had in the past. Moreover, the political environment continued to be unfavorable, and after 17 years of service, I decided to resign my Rhode Island position. Subsequently, I moved to Arkansas, where I became Chief Medical Examiner as well as a Professor of Pathology at the Medical School.

When I arrived in early 1992, I replaced Dr. Fahmy Malik, who left the previous year. After he resigned, autopsies were performed mostly by part time pathologists. Our working space was extremely small, but portions of the basement morgue, autopsy areas, business offices, histology and DNA laboratories were eventually modernized. The Director of the State Crime Laboratory was Jim Clark, a member of the law enforcement community, and a statutory Board, which had been responsible for hiring the Chief Medical Examiner, each provided oversight in the daily functioning of the office.

During my tenure of nearly 13 years, other experienced forensic pathologists joined our office, including Dr. Frank Peretti, and Dr. Charles Kokes who became the Chief when I retired in 2004. Both doctors had significant training and experience in the Baltimore Maryland Medical Examiner’s Office. Dr. Stephen Erickson, a graduate of the Arkansas Medical School and their Pathology training program, our staff after a fellowship year with Dr. Vincent DiMaio in San Antonio. The number of cases and autopsies increased over the next several years, but staffing remained essentially the same. The pathologists were often burdened by having to travel long distances in order to provide expert court testimony, which sometimes could take a full day. A similar situation existed for the morgue staff, which had to provide transportation of decedents back to the Crime Laboratory in Little Rock. Police departments were generally proficient, and Arkansas State Police were always available to assist in suspicious cases and potential homicides.

One of the outstanding consultant groups supporting our office was the Arkansas Children’s Hospital, which included our consultant pediatric pathologist, Dr. David Parham. A monthly conference was organized to discuss and resolve interesting and difficult cases. Together, we published individual case reports as well as group fatalities. Other doctors lending support were Dr. Jerry Jones, an expert in child abuse, and Dr. Jimmy Valentine, an expert in Pediatric Toxicology. We all enjoyed the interchange of ideas in the pediatric population.

One of the compelling reasons for my attraction into forensic pathology was the opportunity to practice medicine in an exciting and unusual way, and be able to benefit society by contributing to the administration of justice. My desire to perform individual and collaborative research could be fulfilled in unique ways at many levels. The publication as author or co-author of many scientific articles over a 45 year span represented exciting travels into the scientific unknown (or misunderstood) as well as feelings of accomplishment that were rewarding and long lasting. Public Health issues were always addressed following specific forensic findings and investigations. We also shared concerns with physicians in other fields which took place on a regular basis. Collegiality in an academic setting should be one of the cornerstones of any medical practice, especially as a forensic pathologist.

William Q. Sturner, M.D.
From the left, Dr. John Devlin, Dr. Michael Baden (in street clothes with back to camera), Dr. William Q. Sturner and Dr. Milton Helpern (1960s)

William Q. Sturner, M.D. in the Office of New York City Chief Medical Examiner (1960s)
In 1974, I accepted an invitation from Dr. Charles Petty to join him in Dallas. Dr. Petty organized and created the Southwestern Institute of Forensic Sciences by merging the Criminal Investigation Laboratory with the Medical Examiner’s Office at Parkland Hospital.
Chapter 4

John I. Coe, M.D (1919-2011)
NAME President 1979-78
Medical Examiner, Hennepin County, Minneapolis, Minnesota 1966-1984

Why Did I select forensic pathology as a career?
Coe’s father died at a very early age and he was raised by his mother, who was a professional nurse and educator. He attended Carleton College in Northfield, Minnesota and began his graduate studies in biochemistry at the University of Minnesota. With the outbreak of WWII, health planners anticipated a critical shortage of physicians which encouraged Coe to switch his studies to medicine. He received his medical degree with honors form the University of Minnesota in 1944 through the Army Student Training Program (ASTP). After one year of internship and one year of pathology, he served in the Army Medical Corps from 1946 to 1948. Coe practiced as a pathologist at the VA Hospital in Minneapolis for two years. In 1950 he became Chief of Pathology at the Minneapolis General Hospital, which evolved into the Hennepin County Medical Center, a position he held until his retirement in 1984.

Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner
“During the 1950s, with no other local pathologist willing to perform coroner’s duties, the coroner called on the services of the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension. It followed that personnel in the Bureau became aware of my interest and availability on a statewide basis.” Coe was the principal pathologist for the Minneapolis Coroner’s Office during the 1950s and early 1960s. When Hennepin County switched to a medical examiner office in 1964, Coe became the first Chief Medical Examiner, a position he held until his retirement in 1984.

Major accomplishments of forensic pathology and forensic medicine
Coe was board certified in forensic pathology in 1960, the first to receive the certification in the Midwest. He was internationally known for his research in the area of postmortem chemistry and firearm injuries and was a pioneer in the study of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome and one of the first to identify the role of defective cribs in sudden death. In 1977 Coe was asked to serve on the Congressional Select Committee on Assassinations investigating the death of President Kennedy and Martin Luther King.
Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned.
“In my personal pantheon, there are a number of people who have significantly influenced my life. I am at a loss to decide who among the group was the most important in either my personal or professional development, but certainly Dr. E.T. Bell, head of the Pathology department in the University of Minnesota Medical School, has to be given strong consideration. He taught basic pathology to sophomores using his own textbook. His course was demanding, the material to be learned voluminous, the tests difficult, and the grading fair. When I applied for a residency in Pathology toward the end of WWII, he had forgotten my face as a former student and asked where I obtained my medical education. When I replied that it was Minnesota, the questions were brief and direct.

“What I you get in the first quarter of pathology?”
“I got an A.’
“What did you get in the second quarter of pathology?”
“I got an A.”
“When can you start?”
“Tomorrow.”

“Suffice it to say that he taught me much of what I needed to know professionally and showed my by example how to teach and how to lead by example when opportunities developed.”

Recollections about people I have trained.
Coe was a teacher and mentor for several generations of pathologists. He trainees in forensic pathology included: Gary Peterson MD, John Plunkett MD, Michael McGee Janis Ophoven MD, Janis Amatuzio MD, Robert Akerson MD, Ned Austin MD, John Teggatz MD, Jeff Jentzen MD (I may have missed someone).

Major controversies and frustration in completing my responsibilities
“November 23, 1966, is burned in my memory. It was on that date that a practice of the Medical Examiner’s Office came under scrutiny resulting in three weeks of pure hell. It nearly cost me my job and reputation but ultimately became a learning experience. Soon after the formation of the medical examiner’s office in 1964, a new resident suggested we collect pituitary glands for the National Pituitary Agency. The agency paid a two dollar handling and mailing fee each gland. Many pathologists throughout the entire country participated in their collection. We decided to utilize the collection fee for photographic supplies to be used in the M.E. Office.

While not done surreptitiously, the collection of such organs had not been discussed with the county commissioners and the monies were handled through a private fund. The organs were collected without the consent and knowledge of relatives. In November 1966, a reporter for the local newspaper, Mr. Friendly (what an oxymoron!) became apprised of the practice.

The news story was picked up by the local commentators on all radio and television stations where it received very critical comment over a period of several weeks. The County Commissioners demanded a complete review of the Medical Examiner’s Office by the Public Examiner and a Grand Jury investigation. The Public Examiner had completed a very comprehensive review of the office, establishing that all money derived from collection of pituitary glands could be account for, that none had been paid to pathologists or other personnel, and that these funds had been used exclusively for professional expenses.
This experience taught me how to operate in a public office, to let government control any monies spent for or by the office and to develop public relations constantly.

**Academic involvement through research, education and training**
Coe was a professor of pathology at the University of Minnesota and affiliated with that University for over fifty years.

**How I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety, personal performance issues.**
“Finally, the support of personal friends both in an out of church was critical to keeping me going through the ordeal.”

**My contributions to the field of forensic pathology**
“One of the most interesting developments in my forensic career was the opportunity to review in detail the deaths of John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King. Among the experts were nine forensic pathologists, all of whom participated in the reviel of Kennedy’s death. Three of these pathologists were then selected to review King’s assassination. To my delight I was asked to serve in both investigations.

There were understandable reasons for questioning the conclusions of the Warren Commission: the body should have been autopsied in Dallas; the pathologist selected was not trained in forensic medicine; the original rough notes of the examination were destroyed because they were stained with Kennedy’s blood; the brain disappeared before microscopic examination; the regular autopsy photographer was replaced; the pathologists in Washington D.C. did not contact the emergency room physicians in Dallas who had initially examined Kennedy; etc.

Suffice it to say that eight of the nine members in our panel concluded that only three shots were fired. All this supported the findings and conclusions of the Warren Commission. It is both interesting and enlightening to realize that the only pathologist quoted, the only one appearing in television documentaries, the only pathologist consultant utilized in the docudrama J.F.K directed by Oliver Stone, is the single pathologist of the nine who disagrees with all the rest of us. This would be acceptable if we had not carefully considered every objection that he raised in our conclusions. We shot down every single one without exception.

From the nine pathologists investigating Kennedy’s death, Mike Baden, Joe Davis, and I were chose to investigate King’s murder. It was a less complex endarvor compared to the Kennedy assassination. We had an enjoyable time together when we met in Nashville to view the scene, interview the pathologist who performed the postmortem examination, and confer with some witnesses. In actuality, a good investigation had been performed, establishing beyond reasonable doubt (doubters are not always reasonable) that Ray had purchased the gun and fired the fatal shot.

“The time spent with such knowledgeable colleagues in both the Kennedy and King panels was one of the highlights of my professional career.”

**Difficult cases I have managed**
“Doc, can you identify food eaten two hours before death? Thus began the most fascinating case of my early forensic career—the Hinter case. The material submitted consisted of charred, distorted bed springs covered with burned debris all of which had been put into a disaster bag and shipped to the corner’s office. X-rays were followed by a meticulous examination of the charred remains with complete autopsy and basic toxicology. At the end of eight hours, I was able to tell authorities that we were dealing with the torso of an
elderly white male of average height who had white hair. He died from a shotgun blast to the head while intoxicated and the body burned after death. However, at the time of autopsy a large amount of debris was taken off of the bed springs and turned over to the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension for further study. With the help of a handwriting expert it was possible to identify this [charred fragments of paper] as the signature of a man from St. Paul who had been missing an appropriate length of time, known to be white haired, the right age, and willing to go with anyone who would buy him a drink. This made the final link in the evidence convicting the owner [of the building] with murder. This case is particularly illustrative of the many specialists who commonly become involved in forensic problems.”

“With over 1000 homicide autopsies performed personally or under my supervision, there were relatively few that were more than locally newsworthy. I was relatively inexperienced in the field of forensic pathology when the Axilrod case came to trial in 1955. Dr. Axilrod was a dentist whose office was in downtown Minneapolis and he frequently practiced his dentistry at night alone with a patient. There had been one formal complaint about some sexual advances made while the patient was under anesthesia. In April a pregnant young woman was found dead in an alley and autopsy revealed she died of manual strangulation. It developed that the women had been a patient of Dr. Axilrod and had seen him the previous night. She had told her sister that the dentist was responsible for her pregnancy. Dr. Axilrod hired the very best local defense attorney, Sydney Goff, and he was a formidable opponent. There seemed little cause for concern until things started falling apart. First, the neck organs, saved from the autopsy to verify the manual strangulation disappeared. Next, the victim’s sister died of some mysterious condition that was ultimately classified as a natural death. Finally, just as the trial was to begin, I came down with infectious hepatitis. My personal physician finally agreed, under pressure, to permit me to go to court in a wheelchair. My entry into the courtroom was dramatic. I was concerned about cross-examination from such an expert [Goff] and remember being extremely apprehensive with a rapid, pounding pulse when I began—probably a panic reaction. The testimony completed, the jury deliberated and brought a verdict of manslaughter. That pleased neither side.”

How has forensic pathology changed through my career?

“He is old, dirty with ill-cropped white hair and scraggily beard. Spread-eagle on his back each extremity is bound by twine to a bedpost. Wrist and ankles are rubbed raw from attempting to get free, but there is no evidence of injury. His hermit hovel of a home has been ransacked, evidence that someone was looking for hidden treasure. What killed him? Was it simple starvation and dehydration? How to prove it?”

“A decade later when I became interested I, a constellation of factors favored my involvement. At that time, practical clinical chemistry was exploding. Machines using ever-smaller samples were being developed to rapidly determine a constantly expanding number of clinically significant substances i.e. practical clinical micro chemistry on a mass scale was being born. This was all occurring at a time when forensic scientists recognized a new fluid medium to test—the viscid fluid (vitreous humor) in the eyeball. Another factor was my totally unique position in the United States: a chief medical examiner who was also head of a large teaching hospital laboratory. When it became desirable, within two years, I was able to obtain postmortem blood samples on 1000 sequential cases. Within a decade, over 6000 vitreous specimens had been analyzed for a variety of elements and compounds. These series provide a large database for statistic analysis that existed anywhere else in the world and made it possible to determine diabetes, electrolyte imbalance, prerenal uremia, regular uremia as well as many other conditions not previously diagnosable from postmortem material. Further, vitreous humor analyses helped in determining the postmortem interval, i.e., the time between death and obtaining the specimens. Finally vitreous analysis proved useful in many toxicological evaluations.”
“The answers to the questions posed in the beginning [case] of this memoir are now available and the use of postmortem chemistry has become a staple of the forensic pathologist. My research in this field established my reputation in forensic medicine more than anything else.”

Other recollections
“My love of guns began as a freshman in high school. Mother was opposed but recognized my true interest and wisely arranged for me to received instruction from an ex-Army man who was a hunter. . . . Stub Hobart, a neighbor, also loved shooting. Soon the two of us began walking to farms near town to kill gophers. When we tired of gophers, Stub and I began more serious target practice and became, at least in our own minds, accomplished marksmen. About this time I was given a muzzle-loading, percussion cap, smooth bore Civil War rifle. But Stub saw more than just a valuable antique. He had obtained a cache of black powder and had the equipment to make a bullet for the musket. . . . We decided to shoot into a large wooden post in my backyard so we could retrieve the bullet. The kickback form the shot bruised my shoulder and, combined with the detonation, caused me to fall backward into a sitting position. The gun was never fired again in the 60 years I continued to own it.”

“Upon becoming chief of Pathology at the Old Minneapolis General Hospital several developments revitalized my interest [in guns]. First, Charles Petty, at the that time the most knowledgeable forensic pathologist on gunshot wounds, began holding some workshops on firearms to which I was invited. The submachine guns fascinated me and I was always shooting one of those when possible. Poor Charles watched hundred of dollar’s worth of ammunition go off in a prolonged clatter every time I could get my hands on the Thompson submachine gun or the Uzzi assault weapon. I began to collect and collate the material from our office when I became medical examiner in 1964, integrating it with the pictures, graphs and charts from Petty’s gunshot workshops and unusual and instructive cases obtained from speakers at nation meetings. Utilizing two projectors it was possible to show two slides side by side. This enabled the speaker to cover much more visual material and demonstrate similarities or differences between the wounds. My exposure because of these lectures brought me many consultations and certainly was a factor in my being selected by the Congressional Committee on Assassinations as one of nine pathologist to review the death of John F. Kennedy and one of the three chosen to review the death of Martin Luther King.”

Personal Credo: “Life itself is positive.”

Personal information such as family, hobbies, and interests
Dr. Coe was an active member of the Hennepin Avenue Methodist Church and an enthusiastic supporter of the Minnesota Orchestra and the arts community of the Twin Cities. During his life he was involved at any one time in a number of hobbies including: watercolor painting, photography, lapidary, art and coin collecting.

“In the seventies, I became caught up in gold fever and began to collect bullion gold coins . . . but it rapidly became apparent that building a collection of numismatically valuable gold was going to cost big bucks. . . . The fun began by purchasing dirty bronze Roman coins obtained from buried hoards in Great Britain. . . . It was only a matter of time before you wish to concentrate on some specific subject. In my case, that became coins of the Bible. . . . I always get a thrill when I hold any of these coins in my hands. One or all of them conceivably could have been handled by Peter, Paul, John, or even Jesus himself. Study of my collection revealed two readily apparent abnormalities of the skin, the first being the rhinophyma of Mithridates’ nose. . . . None of the other Parthian kings had this ailment, but many of them had nodules appearing on their foreheads. The location of the bumps and their repeated appearance is almost diagnostic of Epithelioma
adenoides cysticum or trichoepithelioma. This is a benign hereditary tumor arising from a hair follicle.”

This posthumous memoir was created from the written material contained in John Coe’s recent obituary and his autobiographical publication Some Personal Recollections (2000). Material has been edited to allow for space considerations.

—Jeff Jentzen
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Introduction
The NAME Past Presidents Committee selected “MEMOIRS” as the theme for their 45th Anniversary Meeting. We hope to continue this project as a means of documenting the development of the American Medical Legal Investigative System from the viewpoints of those of us who were there. My personal career and some of the cases that I have handled have been widely publicized, due to the high public visibility of some of the deceased. In addition, I have written the non-fiction books Coroner (1983) and Coroner at Large (1985), published by Simon and Shuster, about these cases of public interest.

Why I selected Forensic Pathology as a career
My father was an otolaryngologist, practicing in Yokosuka, Japan. He had an otolaryngology clinic next door to our home. As the eldest son, I was expected to follow in his footsteps and, from time to time, show up at his clinic. One day, I noticed a commotion in the office, and I saw my father giving CPR to a young patient. Apparently, the patient had complained about a sore throat and my father had swabbed his throat with iodine - a standard treatment at the time. However, the patient suffered a seizure and expired. At the time, Japan did not have - and still does not have - an ME system. The local prosecutor’s office accused my father of making a medical error. He requested an autopsy on the patient to clear his name. The investigation revealed that the patient had an idiosyncratic reaction to the iodine. That case directed my interest to the legal aspects of medicine.

My Educational Background
I obtained my basic education in Japan. In 1944, towards the end of World War II, I entered pre-med studies. Japan had been at war with the US and much of the country was devastated. Significant parts of Tokyo had been destroyed by the saturation bombings of hundreds of US Air Force B29s and by the resulting massive fires. After the end of the war, I continued through Nippon Medical School in temporary quarters, since
the school had been severely damaged by the US bombs. In 1951, I received my medical degree. While in medical school, I also studied law at the nearby Chuo University. While serving an internship at the University of Tokyo Hospital from 1951 to 1952, I spent time at the US Naval Hospital Medical Library, researching the possibility of going to the US for further training. On the advice of some US Naval medical officers, I applied for internship training to about 200 hospitals in the US. I received only a few positive responses, and ultimately chose to take on an internship at the Orange County General Hospital, as it was then called. Currently, it is part of the University of California San Diego Medical School. My dream of going to the US thus materialized in 1952.

**Specialty Training in Forensic Pathology**

After the internship, I took residencies in anatomic and clinical pathology at Loma Linda University Medical School campus in Los Angeles. After passing the examinations for these specialty diplomas, I looked for a place to obtain residency training in Forensic Pathology. Forensic Pathology as a Certified Medical Specialty was still in its infancy and there were no organized training programs. In 1962, I obtained an appointment as Deputy Medical Examiner at the Los Angeles County Medical Examiners Office and organized my own training program. A year later, I passed the examination for Certification in Forensic Pathology.

In December 1967, upon the retirement of Dr. Theodore “Ted” Curphey, I was appointed Chief Medical Examiner – Coroner of the Los Angeles County Medical Examiners Office. I served in this Office until 1982. I then served on the teaching staff as Professor of Forensic Pathology at the University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine until my retirement in 1999. Currently, I am continuing my professional activity as Volunteer Attending Staff at the LA County-USC Medical Center and as consultant to the LA County Medical Examiner’s Office.

**Major Accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner**

In 1972, the new Medical Examiner’s Facility opened. It is located on the grounds of the Los Angeles County General Hospital, next door to the University of Southern California Medical Center.

Since 1926, the Office of the Coroner had originally been located on the first floor of the old Hall of Justice in Downtown Los Angeles. Prior to 1926, from around 1880 onwards, the Administrative Office of the Coroner had been located at the old Hall of Records, across the street from the old Hall of Justice. The old Hall of Records was demolished in the 1970s. The Criminal Justice Center now occupies the site. The old Hall of Justice still stands, but it is empty.
History of the Los Angeles County Department of Chief Medical Examiner - Coroner

The Los Angeles County ME Office evolved from a lay coroner's system. The current ME system was established in 1957. The people of Los Angeles County had voted in 1955 to amend the County Charter to specify that the Head of the Coroner’s Office must be a Forensic Pathologist. Dr. Lester Adelson was invited by the LA medical community leaders to handle the transition from the Coroner to the ME system as Chief Deputy Coroner. He came, but after several months of trying, he declared it impossible to work in the prevailing atmosphere at the LA Coroner’s Office. He advised me that this was not a good place to work and left.

Then, in 1957, Dr. Theodore J. Curphey, who had retired as Chief Medical Examiner of New York, was appointed as LA County’s first Chief Medical Examiner. He was selected by a committee made up of professors of pathology at the three local medical schools: Loma Linda University, University of Southern California and the University of California at Los Angeles, which had opened its medical school just two years prior.

Photograph taken following the completion of the autopsy and toxicological examination with psychological autopsy investigation in 1962. From left, Dr. Theodore J. Curphey, Chief Medical Examiner-Coroners, County of Los Angeles, Dr. Thomas T. Noguchi, Deputy Medical Examiner, Mr. Edward Thompson, toxicologist, Mr. Raymond Abernathy, Chief Toxicologist.
Los Angeles County Department of Chief Medical Examiner presents the certificate of Appreciation to the AFIP and to three pathologists who assisted in the Robert F. Kennedy’s Autopsy conducted in Los Angeles in 1968. From left, Dr. Kenneth Earle, Dr. Charles J. Stahl, Dr. Thomas T. Noguchi, Captain Bruce Smith, Director of the AFIP, and Dr. Pierre Fink.

Psychological Autopsy

We now accept that term as a designation for an investigative technique to clarify equivocal cases as to whether the death was due to accident or suicide or other causes. The term was originally used in a USC NIH Research Grant study by the USC Suicide Prevention Center. Dr. Curphey worked with the group, studying equivocal cases among the deaths handled by the LA Medical Examiners Office. After I became Chief Medical Examiner, we began applying the technique routinely to all cases of equivocal suicide deaths.

In the 1970s, we also began looking into the application of psychological autopsies in non-suicide cases. In particular, we became interested in applying this investigative technique to a unique case: The slaying of American movie star Sharon Tate and her house guests on the evening of August 9, 1969. I asked forensic psychiatrist Frederick Hacker to analyze the crime scene and give us his opinion on the characters of the assailants. The scene had indicated that a gang of assailants was involved. However, the LAPD detectives were focusing on a drug connection in their search for the assailants. In the end, Dr. Hacker’s analysis and prediction of the character of the assailants as a group of fanatics, likely on drugs, fit the actual facts perfectly. Cult leader Charles Manson and his criminal accomplices were found to be the parties responsible. This was the beginning of the FBI profiling of assailants. We now readily accept the term ‘psychological autopsy’ and apply the procedure to solving many other types of cases, as well as identifying and assisting in suicide prevention programs, especially in juvenile cases.

Another notable case handled by the Los Angeles County ME Office, where psychological autopsy was applied, concerned the kidnapping of Patricia Hearst, heir to the San Francisco Hearst Newspaper family. She was abducted by members of the so-called Symbionese Liberation Army and later on joined in the criminal activities of the group. The incident ended in a final confrontation with the LAPD in a house in South Los Angeles. The members of the group perished in a standoff when the house they had been hiding in caught fire. Although they had been given a chance to surrender, they refused to leave. Their bodies were charred beyond recognition. Tests indicated that Patricia Hearst was not among them. This year marks the
50th anniversary of our first use of psychological autopsy as an investigative tool in the Medical Examiner’s Office.

Another area of my interest is road safety and the prevention of traffic accidents. In the early 1970s, USC received a US Department of Transportation (DOT) contract with regards to the Helmet Safety Assessment Program. Our Department participated in this project. We provided detailed autopsy reports on these cases and helped to make a determination as to whether the deceased was or was not wearing a helmet.

Promoting Professionalism of Department Personnel
When I became Chief Medical Examiner in 1967, I made it my goal to continue raising the standards of the entire ME Department staff. We began by setting up a regularly scheduled in-service training program for the Coroner-Investigators. I felt that all Department field investigators should be qualified by taking and passing the California State Peace Officer Standard and Training (POST) examination. In the early 1970s, in collaboration with Rio Hondo College in Whittier, special classes were set up and select personnel were enrolled. We still maintain the POST program and convene annual educational seminars. The West Coast Seminar is accredited by the CME as well as POST.

Forensic Pathology Training Program
Los Angeles County was the first County in California to authorize (1955) and convert (1957) to the Medical Examiner’s System. In 1962, I was the first Forensic Pathology trainee. The CME Office is currently authorized to train six (6) forensic pathologists annually. However, we currently train only one or two annually.

State Legislative Changes I was involved in
I have always been of the opinion that ineffective laws should be amended. Laws concerning Medical Examiners should be current and progressive. When I became Chief Medical Examiner of Los Angeles County, the Office was still in transition from the traditional Coroner’s system to the Medical Examiners system.

First Attempt to Establish Statewide ME System
As CME of LA County, I involved myself in State legislative matters of interest to the medical community. I was Chairman of the CAP Forensic Pathology. Dr. Frank A. Dutra of Castro Valley, California, was interested in pushing State legislation to create a statewide Medical Examiner’s System. In support of that, I promoted the concept of setting up three regional ME Offices with laboratories. Two in Sacramento and San Francisco to serve the Central area, and one in LA for Southern California. Opposition to the plan came from groups of pathologists servicing the current Coroner’s Offices in rural areas. The State of California Department of Justice has established its crime laboratories in several regional offices.

Heart Transplant and Bioethics
In 1969, Dr. Norman Shumway, a Stanford heart surgeon, pioneered the first heart transplants in the United States. The transplants were done at the Stanford Medical Center, where donor patients were brought in, their deaths pronounced and the transplants were conducted. In the beginning, patients were declared dead twice, first in the original hospital and again at the Santa Clara County hospital where the transplant was done. In order to assist in clarifying the situation, professional experts were called by the State Attorney General to define ”brain death”. California law clarifying the definition of death for transplant purposes was chaptered into the Health and Safety Code in 1972. Time of death and withdrawal of the cardiopulmonary resuscitation were a major medical and legal issue in the early 1970s.
Medical Law and Bioethics
We had a case of death following withdrawal of the cardiopulmonary resuscitation device at the request of the family of a child. The child had been hit by a car and had sustained injury to the upper cervical. Despite earlier hopes and diligent medical efforts, the family had lost hope. After several months of hospitalization, the relatives requested that the doctors remove the life support system. The doctors, in this 1970s case, were reluctant to withdraw the apparatus with the EEG still registering activity. Finally, the family took the matter to court. Following a hearing, the judge ordered the removal of the resuscitating cardiopulmonary device.

The District Attorney’s Office was of the opinion that removing the resuscitating device would cause death, thus the doctors and the hospital might be accused of aiding in killing. The public and the medical community were uncertain. But the Court order was carried out and the child expired a few minutes later. As the Chief Medical Examiner, as required by law, I conducted the autopsy in the midst of this controversy and convened a Coroner’s Inquest on the case. I signed the death certificate as “due to an accident” - the cause of the fatal injuries.

I set up a meeting with the Deputy District Attorney in charge of medical liaison, and together with the Chief of Forensic Medicine and the Public Information, I informed him of my final decision. I felt that the death of this child had been simply suspended by the use of modern equipment. When death finally occurred on its removal, it was due to the fatal injury sustained at the time of the traffic accident several months ago.

Some people appear to be hold on to the notion that, when a medical device is removed and the patient dies, the doctor is complicit in the patient’s death. In the 1970s, no consensus existed on this controversy, so I arranged to have the Los Angeles Medical Association help us by setting up a two day hearing on the current assessment of community standards. Over 15 experts testified at the Medical Examiner’s hearing on the prolonged use of resuscitation procedures. The hearing officers, aside from myself, were the ethicist Leslie Rosenberg from ULCA, as well as other experts on this matter. A decision was made to have guidelines drafted by a newly created Bioethics Committee. I was appointed Vice Chair of the Committee. At that time, there was considerable reluctance towards taking a clear and much-needed stand on this controversy. Ultimately, the Bioethics Committee established by the Los Angeles County Medical Association came up with guideline for the relinquishment of the cardiopulmonary resuscitation apparatus, published in 1973. This guideline was the first of its kind, and has assisted in the healthcare decisions leading to the Durable Power of Attorney in areas of self-determination by patients.

I feel there are many important health and healthcare issues that a Chief Medical Examiner could help clarify by participating in discussions on relevant topics of current public interest. This should be the extended work of the forensic medical specialists. In that way, I am still active in organizations dealing with bioethics and medical law.

I am currently, the President of the international organization, the World Association for Medical Law (WAML).

As Chief Medical Examiner, I pushed for Peace Officer status for the Coroner’s Deputies, subject to the Peace Officer Standard with Training and certification for all the CME staff, upgrading the qualification of our staff.
In 1974, I was elected President of the California State Coroners Association, and was active in legislative matters. In this case, it did not matter whether the official title of my Department, Office of Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner, was “Coroner” or “Medical Examiner”, and we needed to take a united stand on the issues. We were often in Sacramento, meeting with legislators and testifying before the Health and Safety Committee or the Judiciary Committee. We were effective. The legislators listened to us. Nationally, through the LA County Washington DC Office, I was able to meet with the FBI Directors and Congressional legislators.

**Effort to Improve the Public Image of the Coroner and Medical Examiner**

I often blamed the movie industry for not portraying the Coroner or Medical Examiner in a more realistic and positive way. In old black-and-white movies involving deaths, the police were always at hand, seemingly handling everything, but the coroner was usually nowhere to be seen. If the coroner did make an appearance, it was usually in a minor role. I gradually began to realize that was not necessarily Hollywood’s fault. Perhaps we were not doing enough to let the public know about our work and the essential public service we continue to provide. I decided it was our duty to educate the public. Several programs were implemented.

**Close Top-level Communication with the Law Enforcement Agencies**

To increase awareness of our work by members of the Justice Department, I set up a monthly lunch program with the department heads. Having come to the realization that we were not doing enough to keep the public abreast, I decided to make changes in the ways we communicated. However, medical ethics dictated that certain information remained confidential. That said, I felt it was important to shed as much light on a case as possible. In my view, the public’s right to know outweighed other considerations. Not everyone accepts these view. I also felt it was important to have equal levels of communication, and felt we needed to regularly meet with the County elective officers and other enforcement agencies.

I regularly had lunch with the Sheriff, District Attorney, Chief of Police and FBI Assistant Director at my Los Angeles office, which increased awareness in the education of our partners in the Justice system.

**Public Affair and Public information officer**

I established the Public Affairs Deputy, often borrowed from the Office of Chief Administrative Office, to assist us in media relations. In Los Angeles, the central news agency was called “City News”. Automatic notifications went out on a regular basis to all print and broadcast media. The Office directly dealt with the media through the Administrative Office. Our jurisdiction included Hollywood and the international entertainment community. I was very much interested in educating the public and strengthening the active participation of the investigative agencies.

I encouraged our staff to get involved with public speaking and education. I was interested in presenting our staff and our profession to the public as the agency involved in the prevention of sudden and unexpected deaths. Not so much as detectives, but, for example, in the prevention of alcohol-related traffic accidents. A Speaker’s Bureau was established in the Department. All DME and investigators were asked to participate in public speaking, emphasizing the role of the Medical Examiner. Scientists were encouraged to report their findings and publish their scientific papers. In addition, I regularly received invitations to talk to public service clubs and associations’ annual meetings. I generally accepted such invitations. Consider, for example, the current success of the merchandising department, a function which supports the County drunk driving prevention program. About 40 years ago, I started the sale of T-shirts. T shirts with the seal of the Department and the words “Tell like it is” became highly popular. This reflects my belief in the Office of Medical Examiner. Later, this developed into the present-day, famous LA Coroner merchandising “Skeleton in the Closet” shop.
Collaboration with the Television Industry
In 1972, we were approached by a TV production group from Universal Studios with plans to produce a television series called “Quincy”, starring Jack Klugman. The show was based on the work of a medical examiner. I responded with enthusiasm. Although I did not directly get involved in the production, two deputies were assigned in their spare time to assist in the production. Dr. Rosen, a UCLA scholar, became a consultant on the details. The six (6) advance tapings were done at our new Forensic Science Center. Normally, such shows were taped on sound stages, where three or four cameras capture the same scene from different angles simultaneously. In contrast, the actors here, “on location”, had to repeat the same scenario and same poses for different takes, so that the cameras could capture the front view, side view and close-up view. We set aside space for filming in the actual working area from 4 pm to 2 am. We began our autopsies by 6 am and worked until 3 pm. Filming took place every day, as scheduled, and lasted for several weeks. Eventually, the studio designed and built a duplicate facility. The TV series lasted seven (7) years, and has been shown many times here in the US. The series has also been shown internationally. It became a powerful positive image builder and helped promote forensic pathology as a profession, in addition to providing excellent entertainment and public education. Many of my European and Japanese colleagues have also given us credit for providing the public with positive information on forensic pathology and legal medicine.

Public education in the Work of the Medical Examiner
The LA Office has been actively involved in the orientation of Deputy District Attorneys, Sheriff and police cadets of LA County cities. Over the past two or three decades, some judges have sentenced traffic violators to spend time at the ME Office. It takes manpower to organize such educational projects. One incident involved a high-ranking university official who was involved in traffic accident with a fatality. He was assigned to the Office, where he was subsequently assigned to research traffic statistics as a part of his sentencing. The Office has always been interested in prevention of the alcohol-related fatalities, and the revenue from the souvenir department goes to the County alcohol prevention program. The Office has also participated in bringing high school students, for a one-hour presentation and lecture on how the Office contributes to the prevention of unexpected and violent deaths. As part of the public awareness program during my time, I instituted a Reserve Deputy Program. In order to maintain their active duty status, Reserve Deputy Coroners were required to spend the one weekend a month in orientation, education and working with the office. This helped created an accessible pool of qualified personnel in case of disasters or similar events. In a case of a mass disaster, we had the manpower to cover the acute shortage. In addition, Reserve Deputies were proud to work with the Department - to were our ambassadors and linkage between the communities and the Office.

International Scholarship Program
Being from Japan, I am keenly interested in a close working relationship with the Japanese Society of Legal Medicine, and have been invited to attend their meetings. Many Japanese forensic pathologists have come to the US and have attended the NAME Annual Meeting. As our Office grew in international renown, we began to receive requests by international forensic pathologists for additional education with us. We gladly tried to accommodate these requests whenever possible, offering training courses for visiting scholars for up to one year.
There are eight French professors who have rotated with the LA Office.

Publications and Public Awareness
It quickly became a New York Times bestseller, selling over one million copies. It is rewarding to know that many of the students and residents who have read my books, Coroner and Coroner at Large, ended up choosing the field of forensic pathology as a life career or are showing an interest in forensic pathology and the work of Medical Examiners. The follow-up book, Coroner at Large, is based on cases that I did not handle personally. Instead, I offer my professional opinions based on available information.

Another achievement was the investigator program. It was piloted in 1965 and later established. For example, in the early 1970s, female investigators were not even thought of as a possibility. I broke new ground by appointing an African-American woman as investigator, Ms. Evelyne Butler. She was qualified and had a BA in Psychology. She served the Department until her retirement. She reminded of the opportunity I had given her and recently gave me a newspaper clipping commemorating this important personal and civil rights milestone.

**Improvement of Public image of the Office and community Activities**
I was the first Japanese-American immigrant to be appointed as County Department Head. So I was actively sought after for appearances in the Los Angeles Nisei Week parade, which is traditionally held in August. I was invited to ride in a parade car. For a while, I have received invitations to ride with the Chicano Parade and African American community parades, such as the Watts parade. This was all for my achievements as Medical Examiner. In 1972, I established the scanning electron microscopic laboratory, a first in the Medical Examiner’s Office in Los Angeles.

**Recollections of places where I have trained and worked**
My pathology residency was in Loma Linda University School of Medicine. The teaching hospital for LLU was in Los Angeles. I began in 1953, and continued from 1956 to 1960. In 1960, I was appointed as Assistant Professor in charge of Autopsy Services. Oren B. Pratt, MD, Professor and Chairman of Pathology of the Loma Linda University, and neuropathologist Abraham T. Lu, MD was my instructor for the autopsy.

Following visits to many forensic pathology training programs, I finally decided to do a forensic pathology fellowship at the LA Office, later on becoming very active in the NAME and AAFS meetings. I owe a great deal to my Chief, Ted Curphey, a past president of the American Society of Clinical Pathologists. He remained active in the AAFS throughout the 1960s. An impressive academician, Dr. Curphey was interested in upgrading the LA Office to an educational institution working with USC, UCLA, and Loma Linda University. Those three medical schools, together with the LA County Medical Association, had originally invited him to take up his post as CME, and they continued to support Dr. Curphey throughout his career. Dr. Curphey was a graduate of the University of McGill, Canada, and was Chief Medical Examiner of Nassau County NY. His Chief Deputy was Dr. Leslie Lukash, who later became the Chief Medical Examiner in 1957, when he accepted an invitation to be Chief Medical Examiner – Coroner, County of Los Angeles. He was a tall man of impressive stature and he loved his cigar. I was proud to be his resident. When we attended the AAFS meetings, he introduced me to his colleagues. In the early 1960s, I regularly saw Dr. Helpern, Dr. Lukash, Dr. Spelman, Dr. Russell Fisher, and other pioneers. He took me to the first NAME meeting which was held at the Knickerbocker Hotel in Chicago during the AAFS Meeting. The NAME originally began with Dr. Helpern’s strong urge to have an organization that looks out for the Chief Medical Examiners’ specific needs. Membership was limited to only Chief Medical Examiners. The first NAME meeting was more or less an organizational meeting. About 15 to 20 members were attending. At that time, the expansion of NAME membership was discussed.
Recollections about people I have trained
I am proud to mention that my Chief at the ME Office was Dr. Theodore J. Curphey, the First Chief Medical Examiner of LA County. He was interested in teaching the general pathology residents, as well as forensic pathology residents and he regularly gave lectures at the three local university medical schools - Loma Linda, UCLA and USC. As the second LA County CME, I worked to maintained this tradition of teaching our younger colleagues to be leading Medical Examiners. The LA Office began its training program in Forensic Pathology in 1962, and the program continues to this day. The Third Chief Medical Examiner was the late Dr. Ronald Kornblum. The fourth and current Chief Medical Examiner is Dr. Lakshmanan Sathyavagiswaran, who trained under me as a resident. He joined the Department in 1976, with interim time off to complete a residency in internal medicine, and became CME in 1992. He is now the longest serving CME in LA history. Dr. Christopher Rogers, now the second in command as Chief of Forensic Medicine at the LA Office, also took his residency under me. I was already at LA County-USC Medical Center, and head of the LA County Medical Examiner’s Office at the Medical Center Satellite Office in the mid 1980s, where I was teaching a Forensic Pathology course for general pathology residents. Many of the forensic pathologists who currently working at the Office are my trainees. All LA County staff members, working as ME, must be Board Certified as Forensic Pathologists. Many current staff members at the LA Office were trained by me or taught by my trainees.

My Hobbies, Interests and Current Activities
Aside from serving as volunteer attending staff at LA County-USC Medical Center, I serve on the NAME Past Presidents and the International Relations Committees. I also actively run the World Association for Medical Law as current president. My main hobby can be described as “traveling internationally”. My wife Hisako and I almost always attend the scientific meetings of the several national and international professional associations in which I maintain active membership. Hisako is a retired professor at the California State University at Los Angeles, following a long career of scientific research at the UCLA. She, too, is very much interested in scientific presentations. We still enjoy visiting our many old friends, as well as making new friends on our travels in various cities in the US and in different countries to attend major international and national meetings.

I am never a passive attendee. Whenever and wherever I attend meetings, I am actively involved: Reporting, making presentations, and chairing and running selected special sessions. Looking back, we have also organized world wide tours together. In 1996, we took almost 100 AAFS and NAME members to Japan to attend the Meetings of the International Association of Forensic Sciences (IAFS) in Tokyo, the International Symposium on Advances in Legal Medicine (ISALM) Meeting in Osaka, and the World Association of Police Medical Officers (WPMO) Meeting held in Kumamoto on Kyushu Island. In 2005, I organized a meeting of groups of AAFS and NAME members with our Turkish forensic colleagues in Istanbul. With the World Association for Medical Law (WAML) Congress Board meeting almost yearly, we met somewhere around the globe for these special sessions in the past. Today, through the use of advanced technology, we hold these meetings more frequently, electronically, sitting in our own home offices.

Pressure and Stress Release
I feel tremendous pressures at times, but I also feel very rewarded by the resulting accomplishments. I have often said that if I have a worried look, I am busy working and accomplishing my goals. Continuous activity, I feel, is the key to good health. I usually rise early and establish a list of things to do that day. I realize, sometimes, I try to do too much, not allowing myself time for relaxation. I regularly do volunteer work at the USC Medical Center as a member of the Executive Peer Review Committee at the LA County-
USC Medical center, and Chair of the Trauma Center Combined Trauma Death Review Committee that issues the final quality assessment of our service based on the autopsy reports from the ME Office.

Currently, I serve as President of the World Association for Medical Law (WAML) founded in 1967 by the late Prof. Rafael Dierkens of Brussels, Belgium, whom I first met, when he came to Los Angeles in 1965. I have been a Board Member of the WAML for a long time. The WAML Board Members come from all over the world.

I found that the key to accomplishment is effective time management, balancing work you need to do with the time for things you would like to do, as well as relaxing and sightseeing in the areas around the host cities where the meetings are held.

Time with Hisako is our quality time. She has taken care of all the domestic work, shopping, cooking, washing, cleaning, etc. Even while she was working full time at UCLA, doing biomedical research or teaching at the California State University, I have never heard her complain. She had taken care of her aging parents and younger sisters, and then me. Recently, she has taken a fall, suffering an undetected hairline hip fracture, which developed into a painful major fracture, necessitating a need for hip replacement surgery. Another fall caused a fracture of her wrist. On her last birthday, a medical check up revealed that her heart was about to give up. The next day, she received a cardiac pacemaker implant.

I feel that it is now my turn to take care of our basic needs and the household chores as much as possible, and I am doing as much I can and as much as she will let me. But I still need her to go over my writings, to make sure my use of prepositions and expressions are understandable. Even though she has her own computer, she rarely uses it, except to edit my writings, transferred from my computer.

**Family**

We do not have our own children, but we are blessed to have looked after two girls of high school age, who came from Japan and stayed with us at different times in the late 1960s to 1970s, to go to school here, whom we consider our daughters. Masako Easton, nee Kumamoto, married Patrick Easton here in LA. His family is of Chinese ancestry but came from South Africa. She is now a grandmother of two grandchildren. For Father’s Day this year, Masako organized a lunch together with all the members of the family, including her two grandchildren - our great grandchildren.

The other person we refer to as our daughter, Takako Ono, is now an MD otolaryngologist, practicing in the Tokyo area. Whenever we visit Japan, we meet with her and her son Ken. Takako became very attached to Hisako and called her ”Mom”. Every night while she stayed with us, she wanted Hisako to “tuck her in.” It seems that both her real mother and father, as well as her paternal grandfather were very busy physicians and Takako felt neglected at home.

In addition, my work as a Medical Examiner has taught me the value of a healthy life. Prevention may not always be possible, but as part of my program to slow down the process of the aging, I think, we need to maintain our activities, both physically and mentally. So far, I have continued to enjoy my work. I do not intend to retire. At this age (84), I am beginning to experience minor aches and pains, but I remain active. Professionally, I have been very satisfied with the work I am doing and plan to continue my volunteer Attending Staff work at the Los Angeles County-USC Medical Center Department of Pathology, as well as in Emergency Medicine and Surgery. I also attend the weekly Conference at the LA County ME Office. The LA County-USC Medical Center, for the first time, recently funded the position and appointed a resident in Clinical Forensic Medicine to provide forensic services in clinical cases involving medical legal and bioethics issues.
As Chair of the Combined Trauma Review Committee, I keep myself busy. I enjoy being useful, helping people, and being involve with the NAME Past Presidents Committee work. I keep active, getting up early in the morning, but I also take naps, usually in early afternoon. Last year, USC started an International Medical Student Exchange Program with Nippon Medical School, my Alma Mater. Three medical students from Nippon Medical School came, and two students from USC went to Japan.

Artistic Pursuits
My father had artistic interests and did oil painting before he decided to enter Medical School at age 36. He became an otolaryngologist, but continued to paint in his spare between taking care of his patients. He retired from his medical practice at age 88 but continued to paint until his death at age of 92 years old.

I think I inherited his interest in artistic work. Each year, I sketch a landscape, a scene Hisako and I encountered on our travels to attend professional meetings. I then select one to use for our Christmas card for that year. I add a short explanation of the scene with a note relating to forensic pathology, legal medicine, medical law or bioethics. This is another way in which I have integrated my profession with my artistic hobby. One year, when the NAME met in San Francisco, I choose the San Francisco cable car as the central subject for my card. For my 2010 Christmas card, I chose a landscape in Zagreb, Croatia, where we attended the World Congress in Medical Law. For my 2011 card, I may choose a scene of Alaska, where the planned meeting on board a cruise will make a stop.

In 1995, at the Annual Meeting of the NAME, hosted by Dr. Brian Blackbourne in San Diego, our Past Presidents Committee hosted a Hobby Show, where members and/or spouses displayed examples of their arts and crafts, or pictures of their hobbies and paintings. I exhibited my watercolors and oil paintings, and Hisako's crafts, hook rugs and Japanese artworks. Brian, now retired, has become a successful artist. I was happy to see that his paintings are selling and he is enjoying his second profession. At this point in my life, I wish I could spend more time for painting, oil and water colors, but for the time being, I am happy to produce my annual Christmas – New Year greeting cards with my own art work.

Gardening
Since I no longer need to be in my office from 8 am. to 5 pm., I spend a lot of time in my home office located in the back of my house, set in large garden. Whenever I need a break, I just walk out from the office, taking care of the large vegetable garden where I grow tomatoes, cucumbers, varieties of peppers, and potatoes. We also have a variety of fruit trees. In the fall, we have persimmons, tangerines and California naval oranges to harvest. Our lemons seem to grow all year. Working in my garden is my way of releasing the stress of meeting deadline after deadline of work related projects.

Computer and Internet
In order for me to be up-to-date in current internet technology, I have been enrolled in weekly lessons at the Apple School in the Farmer’s Market complex. The one-to-one instruction begins at 7 am. Currently, I am studying movie editing and special effect, and placing a video onto the iDisk. I learned that I no longer need to send a big file by burning a CD or DVD. I love my MacBook and iPhone.

As President of the World Association, I often need to be at many places at the same time. Now I can record my message on video, place it on an iDisk and send it by link. Sometimes, I use PowerPoint presentations with automatic advancing and voice, so I can give my presentation without being there in person. What a great advance in technology!! There is much more I could write about, but for now, I want to try to limit my memoir to around fifteen pages. There will be more to come in the near future!
Tom Noguchi
Thomas T. Noguchi, MD
Professor Emeritus of Forensic Pathology,
University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine,
Attending Staff, Los Angeles County-USC Medical Center
Consultant, Department of Coroner, County of Los Angeles,
Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner (Ret),
President, World Association for Medical Law
Chapter 6

Donald T. Reay  M.D.
NAME President 1987-88
Chief Medical Examiner, King County, Seattle, Washington 1969-1999

I had no intention of pursuing a medical career in forensic pathology. When I received my draft notice to report for induction into the US Army, I was in an internal medicine program as an intern with a special interest in hematology. While in medical school, I had been performing basic research in the pathology of copper deficiency anemia in swine that required autopsies on pigs. With my draft notice, I sought a reserve commission in the USAF that allowed me to complete an AP/CP residency in pathology before active duty. Because of my research in medical school, the American Board of Pathology gave me one year of credit so I was eligible for certification after three years of pathology residency. I had a vacant year before military service and I chose to investigate what forensic pathology was all about. I sought a year of training in forensic pathology, a specialty about which I knew nothing.

There were not many programs available for training in forensic pathology, however, I was fortunate to be accepted by Dr. Lester Adelson for a year of training with him and his staff at the Cuyahoga Coroner’s Office in Cleveland, Ohio. What a marvelous experience! I was totally captivated by Dr. A’s intellect and dedication to forensic pathology. He had a unique perspective about the importance of death investigation and the value of good forensic pathology to the community. In addition to Dr. A, I had stimulation from my contact with Dr. Irving Sunshine who was the toxicologist at the Cuyahoga office. Oliver Schroeder, professor of law at Case Western Reserve, would occasionally drop by to kibitz with Dr. A and I relished their exchange. The year in Cleveland had transformed my perspective on the practice of pathology and remained as an inspiration for me to pursue a career in forensic pathology.

My interest in forensic pathology was further stimulated by my assignment to the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) when I entered active military service after leaving Cleveland. It was my good fortune to be assigned to the forensic pathology unit at the AFIP that was under the capable leadership of Dr. Charles Stahl. Although the unit was under the titular head of Colonel Pierre Fink, it was Charlie who became my friend and mentor. Through his quiet style, Charlie emphasized the value of careful death investigation
in the military and years later the Department of Defense (DOD) wised up and established the Military Medical Examiner as it exists today. It has Charlie’s fingerprints all over it. I learned much from Charlie about effective administration.

As I was completing my tour at the AFIP, Dr. Richard Froede arrived at the Institute to begin his year of forensic fellowship. Dick was regular Air Force and knew much about the military. He and I became friends and he became my military advisor. Dick and his family had spent several years in England with the Royal Air Force (RAF) on an exchange program and enjoyed it. I was curious about the program and became interested since I still had my father’s relatives in Durham, England where my father was born. The program sounded interesting since I had become aware that a forensic pathologist, Group Captain Ken Mason, was on staff and was involved with aircraft accident investigation. Although my duties would be those of a surgical pathologist, I welcomed the opportunity to be exposed to a new dimension of pathology. I arrived at the Institute of Pathology and Tropical Medicine in RAF Halton to do my duty for two years. Despite the culture shock, I had numerous opportunities to accompany Ken on a variety of investigations, including a hovercraft and commercial airline accident investigation. Ken was a most gracious mentor and advisor during my time in England. His dedication to forensic questions was stimulating and I was allowed to perform autopsies during many of the accident investigations for which he was responsible. This experience was most valuable.

When I returned to the U.S., I was assigned to a USAF hospital laboratory as director of laboratories. I had wondered whether I could regain my early interest in surgical and laboratory pathology. As I performed hospital pathologist duties, I became aware that I mostly enjoyed performing autopsies and, in particular, if there were forensic questions about the case. I knew then that I must search out a full time position in forensic pathology. In December of 1973, I left the USAF and my family and I set out for Seattle, WA to seek a new experience.

In 1969, Seattle-King County had converted its 1899 coroner’s office into a Medical Examiner appointed by local county government. I came to Seattle naïve about creating a new agency out of embedded traditions and customs with nepotism throughout. I came to Seattle with Dr. Patrick Besant-Mathews, who was to take over the administrative/executive functions while I would concentrate my activity on autopsies and death investigation. Patrick was more naïve than I was about county government and running an agency that was tethered to the past. In 1969, there was a desire by county government to have a medical examiner and dispose of the elected coroner but this was done without enabling legislation in the county charter except that the medical examiner would be in the Department of Public Health and perform autopsies. I didn’t realize that nothing had been done except change the name plate on the door. I hadn’t paid attention to such issues since money had been set aside to construct a new facility at the Harborview trauma center. The School of Medicine Department of Pathology at the University of Washington had agreed to regular faculty positions for ME pathologist staff and promote resident rotations in forensic pathology. The staffing of the office was structured so that there were 22 Investigators (deputy coroners) 3 office staff, 1 toxicologist tech and 2 pathologists to perform about 900 autopsies per year. I had energy then and I was content to perform autopsies with enthusiasm although the facility was a converted surgical suite at an old hospital. This was the time I created a rough design for roll around autopsy tables since we were using old hospital trolleys with cutting boards balanced on the body. The concept has been developed in many new autopsy facilities with roll around autopsy tables and stations. Although the conditions were primitive, I was content doing autopsies and responding to homicide death scenes.
However, things did not go well. There was staff resistance to reconfiguring office positions in order to generate quality autopsy reports and create other important administrative functions. After two years, Dr. Besant-Matthews resigned and I was left to put things together. The new facility was completed and that was a boost to morale. I was fortunate to create an administrative position that designed enabling legislation accepted by county government along with policy and procedure documents. With retirements, positions were reclassified in line with job functions and union negotiations. The process was slow but there was good support by different Directors of Public Health during my 26 years as Medical Examiner.

The state of Washington has 39 counties and Seattle-King County was the first to make the transformation from a coroner to a medical examiner system. Gradually the major population counties made the transformation much like Seattle-King County. The less populated counties have still retained the elected coroner or a coroner-prosecutor. The elected coroners were suspicious of me since there had been discussions by the state medical society of establishing a state ME like neighboring Oregon. I was less than enthusiastic about such overtures since I had become aware of political forces with which I had to deal at the county level. I had become more politically astute over the years and such issues meant money.

Because there was a desire to improve death investigation state wide, the governor established a Forensic Investigation Council and I was appointed as a member and eventually became chairman. I was very aware of what was needed to improve death investigation in Seattle-King County that would also improve death investigation throughout the State of Washington. To allay the fears of the coroners, I sought to establish a quality toxicology laboratory that would benefit all jurisdictions. Funding was accomplished by a death certificate surcharge and I offered to support the coroner’s need for pathologists to perform autopsies by having our fellow available to perform autopsies when needed. Fortunately, our fellows agreed to this arrangement that required an additional year and the success of establishing the state toxicology program was done with their cooperation. I felt like the typical politician pulling this off. It was necessary to do it, if death investigation were to advance in the State of Washington. Forget the State ME. It will never happened.

We always struggled with a shortage of pathologists to perform autopsies. Fortunately the medical school pathology residency program provided residents to rotate for forensic experience. In the early eighties we applied and received approval to have a recognized fellowship training program. Over the years and until my retirement in 1999 we always had a fellow, usually from the University of Washington Medical School pathology program, who joined us for a year or sometimes two years. Many continued on in forensic pathology, and a few who have been active in NAME are John Howard (recent president) and Greg Schmunk. It was always a delight to work with the fellows since they were both challenging and a joy to see them develop forensic skills. I constantly found myself using Dr. A’s aphorism that “you do an autopsy with your head and not your hands.” Generally within three months you could assess whether or not the fellow understood the issues.

I have always had a desire for investigative work whether it is a laboratory bench or an autopsy table. As in years past, forensic pathology is unique because the autopsy dissection and interpretation still remain the same. Yet there is a need to understand and discover new information about why people die. Death in infancy is still perplexing. Deaths in custody deserve careful scrutiny. I had the occasion to study neck holds used by law enforcement as a common method of restraint. The impetus for my work to understand what happens was prompted by autopsy observations in two victims of law enforcement restraint. The benefit of having a facility in a medical center is that there are cardiologists, radiologists, anesthesiologists etc., and if approached, are willing to assist in reasonable investigative studies. We were able to design and
utilize clinical instruments in our investigation of neck holds. Similarly, in evaluating the effects of hog-tied restraint we used resources borrowed from clinicians. Judicial hanging studies were performed on victims utilizing the latest radiological techniques.

In any major ME office, abundant epidemiological data is collected that can provide a wealth of information. I had the good fortune of working with a medical resident assessing the risk of firearms in the home. The study achieved national attention. In forensic pathology, funds for research are limited or none existent but autopsy observations can provide an opportunity to seek answers about what causes injury and death. I still have some studies I would have liked to have performed if animal material was available. To those beginning their career in forensic pathology, I suggest that you look first then see. There are still questions that need answering.

I end this memoir with a tribute to NAME. My association with NAME starts in the 1970s when NAME first developed Inspection and Accreditation. My memory is that the Seattle-King County ME office was one of the first offices to be officially inspected and accredited. Joe Davis did us the honors and I was pleased to have Joe spend time with us. He is one of the icons of forensic pathology. It was with Joe’s encouragement that I became active in the organization. It was my good fortune since this introduced me to George Gantner, an early driving force in the organization. I was on the board of directors for about eight years and then was president-elect in 1987. Jim Bell, president at that time, died and I assumed the office of president sooner than expected. Jim was a strong advocate for Inspection and Accreditation and I am sure he would be pleased with the growth of the program. When George Gantner died in 1988, I then became pro-tem secretary/treasurer. Both Jim and George were such stalwarts in the organization and I truly missed their advice and counsel during those years. Over the years NAME has been an important organization for me since it has allowed me the opportunity to develop cherished friendships. Since retirement in 1999, I look back to my years in forensic pathology as a rewarding and satisfying experience. I would do it all over again.

Donald T. Reay M.D.
Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
I always liked figuring out puzzles and I liked actually doing things. I enjoyed all branches of medicine, especially surgery, rheumatology and nephrology, but surgery was out of the question for a woman in the 1960’s. After internship I decided to do a year of pathology while my husband finished up medical school. I liked it so well, I decided to stay. While in pathology residency at the Cleveland Clinic, I would travel to the Cuyahoga County (Cleveland) Coroner’s office with the lady forensic fellow sponsored by the Clinic. I thought, “this is interesting and the pathologists very willing to talk with me,” mostly Dr. Lester Adelson and the other pathologists. While in Virginia, after my husband's two year Viet Nam era service at Fort Riley, Kansas, I took an elective in forensic pathology. My husband said my disposition really improved after this forensic pathology experience and I ought to investigate this. I asked Dr. Wiecking, the Virginia Chief Medical Examiner if women could do this job. I had had it as a pioneer woman in medicine and was not interested in more gender grief. He said, “Why not?” and accepted me into the Virginia program. The rest is history.

Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner:

Major Accomplishments:
- Development of a lay medical investigator system to assist the pathologists and local county medical examiners.
- Expanded full-time pathologists from nine to thirteen.
- Increased fellowship slots from two to three.
- Obtained several million dollars in federal grants; the first time the office received any grants
- Established Review Teams for Child Abuse, Family violence, Maternal Mortality, Adult Fatality and
Federal NVDRS reviews and working to establish the enabling statutes
• Establishing a full-time epidemiology position in the OCME
• Built thee regional medical examiner facilities.
• Survived major budget stringencies.
• Enabled several statutes and statutory changes.

Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences:
• Established rotations through the forensic laboratories for the fellows.
• Continued rotations for medical students,
• Participated at the national level as an officer of NAME, Forensic Pathology Council of ASCP, Chaired the CAP Forensic Path Committee, FBI unidentified and missing persons files, and National Academy of Science Committee on Forensic Science: A Path Forward.
• Taught forensic pathology to all who needed it.

Recollections of places I have trained and worked:
• Internship – slave labor
• Residency – Cleveland Clinic – best place to train in the world. While a fellow (resident) on surgical pathology I found a note on the lab fridge. It said, “Fierro there’s a heart for you in the fridge.” It turned out to be the Clinic’s first heart transplant, now more than 40 years ago.
• VCU (Medical College of Virginia in those days – final year of residency – good clinical labs for clinical pathology (CP). Dr. Seymour Bakerman made it possible for me to pass CP boards.
• East Carolina University as Professor of Forensic Pathology – after 17 ½ years as a Deputy Chief for Central Virginia, I thought I died and went to heaven. Worked with former fellow Mary Gilliland, Stan Harris, and Page Hudson.
• Back to Virginia as Chief – first battle to keep the OCME out of under the Public Safety Department and instead, transferred to the Public Health Department.
• As Chief in Virginia, it was a good run. I was ready to retire. No regrets, not one.

Recollections about people who trained me and from whom I have learned:
• Dr. Lester Adelson, wise and kind a philosopher physician
• Dr. David K. Wiecking, Intelligent, incisive, no nonsense, legal thinker – taught me forensic pathology and I think some wisdom
• Richard Froede, MD a born mentor and much appreciated in the CAP
• Grover Hutchins, MD – a cardiac pathologist who knew what FP was about
• My fellows who asked innumerable questions and made me think
• Fellow OCME pathologists who labored in the fields with me and solved innumerable problems wisely.
• My Chief Administrator Rochelle Altholz – my wise, efficient, can-do administrator

Recollections about people I have trained:
I am proud of all of them.
• Beverly Leffers and Bill Massello were my first fellows as a deputy chief medical examiner.

Major Controversies and frustrations:
• Battle to stay out of Public Safety and remain in Public Health.
• The budget: Virginia is very frugal. Budgets were a major legislative effort within Public Health and the General Assembly.
• Reduction of building size in Richmond office by half due to budget stringencies. We filled it the day we moved in.
• Virginia Tech Shootings: The Governor, Cabinet and Secretaries of Public Safety and Health did not understand the process. My boss, the Commissioner took a lot of heat and I was sorry for that. The investigative panel did not find any wrong doing.
• Staffing: Most of our staff picks were excellent. A few were a problem. Letting people go was difficult.

**Academic involvement through research, education and training.**
• I was Professor and Chair of Dept of Legal Medicine – mostly teaching effort. I liked academic committees and assigned academic tasks.
• Cooperated with forensic scientists to do some studies on firearms and DNA.
• Established a second fellowship program in the Norfolk office.

**Legislative changes:**
• Educated legislators and spoke to General Assembly Committees yearly on bills assigned to OCME to track.
• Promoted some good changes to the OCME code, establish investigator positions, protection for third party records, and created review teams. I tried to make lemonade out of the lemons.
• This year I found a legislator to sponsor a baby DNA bill wherein all hospitals providing obstetrical care are required to collect and give to mother a dried blood spot card as proof of her child’s identity. I lobbied it before the committees and it was passed uncontested in the face of opposition by the hospital association.

**My contributions:**
• See above
• Mentored fellows
• Served as President of NAME
• Serve on panels and task forces

**Perspectives I gained as a medical examiner:**
• Savor each day. There may be no tomorrow
• If in doubt – post.
• No body ever misidentified someone on purpose – Do the drill and do it right.
• No case is routine - be alert.
• Assumption is the mother of all screw-ups.
• Good enough for government work is not good enough for Virginia.
• Educate up.
• Toot your Office’s horn where it counts with the legislators.
• To staff and fellows: Make up your medical examiner mind – nobody knows more about this case than you! If you don’t know enough, you find out.
• Accommodate all as much as you can. If you can’t explain why and make sure they get connected with the right person, agency etc. who can help.
• Be patient…..
• Listen…..
• No dark humor in the morgue….ever….NAME History 2011 FINAL-1 eBook.docNAME History 2011 FINAL-1 eBook.doc
• Professionalism, or find another job.
• Help the staff through their personal crises.

Difficult cases I have managed:
• Spencer cases: first cases to link an unknown assailant in rape murders in two cities by DNA
• High profile cases that never go away
• Virginia Tech

How did I deal with stress?
• Busy family life so I had to let the office go quiet even if I was on 24 hour call for the system
• “Got out of Dodge” overseas for vacation – had good staff and could do this.
• Subscribed to cultural events, opera, theatre
• Movies

Other recollections:
• Scene work- they were adventures
• Figuring out the perfect case – usually was so perfect it never went to court and the suspect pled out.
• Met all kinds of professionals not remotely related to medicine – detectives, fire, social services, etc

Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field:
• Forensic pathology is important work, helps many people and is often fun.
• Stay broad based in your reading
• Join the local and state medical society and volunteer. Participate in the specialty and FP societies. They need to know who you are and that what you do is medicine. Call clinicians yourself if there is a question– don’t staff it out. It is a professional courtesy that will be remembered when you need that community behind you.
• Make friends with the political people and bureaucracy but no favoritism ever. They need to know always that you are a straight arrow. Word will spread – don’t even ask [you].
• You are not a prosecutor’s witness. You are witness to the medicine and the decedent. Prosecutors become defense attorneys when they get tired of being poor. All attorneys need to know you are straight with all. Never let either think you did them a favor on a case.
• Beware of assuming cases are routine.
• Being compulsive pays off.
• Be timely.
• Watch your mouth – say nothing and write nothing about a case that can’t be seen on the front page of the local newspaper and make sure your staff doesn’t either.
• Be kind to all even the dead.
• Counsel your staff on confidentiality and mean it.
• As a public health officer try to make something good come out of all the death you see. Become an advocate for safety, civility, healthy behaviors and promote the recommendations for prevention generated by the review tea.

How work experience changed me:
• Learned each day is a gift
• Enjoy life as you go
• Became a public health advocate
How forensic pathology has changed:
- More forensic pathologists.
- Married women physicians can now serve in the medical corp. Army refused to enlist me and it still smarts.
- A journal and now two.
- New technologies that helped, AFIS and DNA.
- Better microscopes and cameras.
- Computer systems to collect our data.
- Public awareness thanks to Patricia Cornwell and the TV programs she inspired about forensic pathologists who prior to that were usually portrayed as fat old men who smoked cigars while doing autopsies in their shirt sleeves – weirdoes.
- For worse – there are some poor performers out there.

Would I do it again?
- Under the same circumstances, yes.
- Under today’s circumstances – don’t know- women have more choices now – it was only a fluke that I took the year in pathology while my husband finished – I did not consider a path in forensic pathology before that.

Personal information:
- Married 45 years to a Bob Fierro, a gynecologist. We still prop one another up.
- Two perfect children – Francesca – a lobbyist for clinical labs, and Robert Jr. a career prosecutor.
- Three grandchildren, Robert- 7, Cecilia-3 and lovely Hannah-1.
- Retirement is wonderful. Do a little consulting, work for organizations and justice focused organizations.
- Hobby remains travel – “Get out of Dodge to restore your sense of wonder”
- Three pooches – 2 Walker hounds (supposed to be beagle rescues) George and Gracie (You have to be over 50 to appreciate those names) and a cocker spaniel rescue named Honey.

Marcella F. Fierro, M.D.

Dr. Marcella Fierro accepts the AAFS NamUs Award in 2009
I started in pathology because as a young teenager, I babysat for a 5 year old from South Africa whose father was the new pathologist for the local hospital in Hudson, NY. I would peruse his medical textbooks looking at all the pictures of horrible diseases. It was fascinating. Later on I applied for a pathology training program during my third year of university, and was turned down since I had no medical school training. So, I went to medical school, being accepted at the University of Cincinnati in Ohio after two years of applying. I was one of three females in the class but only two of us graduated out of a class of 100 or so students. After a lot of uncertainty as to which internship to choose, I ended up at the Cincinnati Memorial Hospital as a rotating intern, 1962-3. I then applied to and was accepted in a pathology residency four year program, and chose to remain in Anatomic Pathology. After residency and a military tour for my husband in Nurnberg Germany, a job opening became available in the Coroner’s office in Cincinnati (Hamilton County) and I worked there for 1½ years. In 1973, my husband’s pharmacology department relocated to the Medical University of South Carolina in Charleston, SC, and I was appointed Deputy Chief Medical Examiner and then Chief Medical Examiner in 1982. I remained there as Chief until 1998, practiced autopsy pathology for a couple of years and finally retired totally in 2001. Now I act as a consultant mainly to defense attorneys regarding mostly criminal cases.

I have always promoted a Medical Examiner system for South Carolina, together with the department chairman Dr. Gordon Hennigar, Joel Sexton, retired, and Robert Brissie, the latter now in Birmingham, AL. However, despite years of trying, the ME system only remains in Greenville, SC ours in Charleston, decaying and dying in 2000. Our system had dual coroner/medical examiners, and conflicts arose between the two offices. The political office survived, but the M. E. system did not.

Dr. Frank Cleveland was my mentor in Cincinnati, but he had a full time job at another hospital as their pathologist. So after performing the autopsies during the day, I discussed puzzling findings when Dr. C came in late in the afternoon. I knew nothing about forensic pathology, so didn’t know about artifactual
epidural hemorrhages in fires, an example of my ignorance. Dr Hennigar and Dr. Sexton were my mentors when I first started working at the Medical University of South Carolina. Dr. Hennigar was a large man, some 300 lbs and six feet plus in height or so he seemed to me. His heart was a large as his size as was his bellowing voice when he was angry about or at someone. He was a tireless fighter for a medical examiner system for our State, but not even his cajoling could sway the legislators to change the age old coroner system. We were not able to follow in North Carolina's footsteps. Dr. Sexton was just the opposite in terms of boisterousness. He was soft spoken, patient to a fault and a super teacher. Dr. Hennigar claimed Joel should have been a preacher.

Our facility trained dozens of residents, some of whom went on to medical examiner offices. To name a few: Jamie Downs, Steve Cogswell, Steve Cina, Kim Collins, Eric Eason, David Wren, Clay Nichols, Mike Ward, and on and on.

We offered month long rotations for police detectives, and others, and the waiting list was long. Our semester fall course consisted of 13 weeks of lecture presentations with quizzes at the end and covered dental forensics, toxicology, anthropology and the usual forensic path subjects. This course was a sophomore medical student elective and was always well attended. Eventually this course was videotaped and sold to other institutions including the FBI. The most popular of the lectures was Dr. Clay Nichols pumpkin bashing with a hammer to illustrate blunt trauma.

My work has mainly been in South Carolina, but my husband's military service did send me to Nurnberg Germany for three years where I performed autopsies on individuals dying in the huge Nurnberg Hospital. The OberArzt, our director chose interesting cases that medical students at the nearby Erlangen Hospital might profit from seeing. No family permission was needed. We saw widespread TB with granulomatous disease on tubes and ovaries, endocarditis in a young girl with a brain abscess, Myesthenia gravis with a thymoma (I predicted the thymoma and was the star of the department). On the weekends I would surreptitiously peruse the autopsies done on concentration camp prisoners during the war, with the reports signed Heil Hitler. Most of these deaths were due to typhus.

Stress on me and my young family weighed heavily at times during my tenure as medical examiner in Charleston. I can remember one time, the three girls and my husband decided to throw a surprise birthday party for me just as I was called to a stabbing case in a bad area of town. We always went to scenes of violent or suspicious deaths together with the coroner. I was told there would be a divorce if I didn't attend this home party. I attended, and by the time I got to the scene of death, the body had been removed, and just about everyone has left. I thought I'd be fired, but it didn't happen. Another incident involved my pager, which my husband, then an internist, got tired of hearing beeping. He threw the $200. instrument against the brick fireplace. I claimed it got run over by a car, but no one thought to do forensic testing on it, thank goodness.

Difficult cases: My most noteworthy, newsworthy case was the one involving Susan Smith. She had deliberately drowned her two youngsters in a car she drove down a boat ramp into a lake, accusing a black man of stealing the car and abducting the children. A colleague declined the autopsies on the little boys. The autopsy area was cordoned off and the bodies arrived about midnight, and by three in the morning we finished without the news media scouting us out. The next day we could tell the throngs of press, everything was over, and the coroner in the upstate county had all the information.
One case involved a “drowning” in shallow water on the fourth of July of a young man pulling and deflating a raft from the deeper water to shore. We found out much later, the raft had been inflated with Freon from a friend’s air conditioning business. Sure enough, testing revealed Freon toxicity, in blood and brain tissue.

I am glad I did my training before all the “rules and regulations” came about. I am glad I did not have to deal with budget cutting to prevent the complete autopsy I always do. I am afraid the personal protective devices required now while performing an autopsy would cramp my style. I wish I could have cooperated more successfully with the coroners in our county to preserve our ME system.

Although I absolutely hated my job when I started in this field (it was the only good paying path job in town at the time) about 6 months into it, I finally began reading and learning what forensic medicine is all about. Now, I still find it challenging but rewarding. Hopefully other young residents will feel as I do as they enter this amazing medical practice. The tenets of my forensic work are to be totally honest in your work, and always do a complete autopsy, with microscopic examination.

Sandra Conradi M.D.
After graduating from Ursinus College, Collegeville, PA, in 1952, I went to The Jefferson Medical College of Philadelphia. When I received the Doctor of Medicine degree from Jefferson in 1956, I became a rotating intern at U.S. Naval Hospital, Philadelphia, where I began clinical clerkships in 1954. After completion of the rotating internship in 1957, I became a resident in anatomic and clinical pathology at USNH, Philadelphia, where I stayed until 1962 when I was assigned to the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C. for special training in forensic pathology. After completion of the year as a resident, I was assigned to USNH, Guam, as Chief, Laboratory Service, and Deputy Medical Examiner, Government of Guam, 1963 – 1965.

I was assigned to the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, as Chief, Forensic Pathology Branch, and remained there until 1975 when I was Chairman, Department of Forensic Sciences. After AFIP, I was assigned to the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, MD, as Chairman, Department of Laboratory Medicine. I was also Acting Director of Clinical Services and Acting Commanding Officer several times during the period 1978 – 1980. I remained in the position of Chairman, Department of Laboratory Medicine until I retired from the U.S. Navy in 1980 after 25 years service. Although I had been encouraged to become a Rear Admiral, Medical Corps, I turned down the position which would require frequent travel and change in assignment since I wanted to remain as a forensic pathologist.

I went to Johnson City, TN, as Chief, Laboratory Service, Veterans Administration Medical Center, and began my career with civil service. While I was there, I accepted the assignment of Assistant Chief Medical Examiner in 1983 and remained in this position until 1986 when I was assigned to the VAMC, Dayton, OH, as Chief of Staff. I also served frequently as Acting Director. Finally, I was assigned as Deputy Medical Inspector, Veterans Health Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, D.C. and retired from the Department of Veterans Affairs on 31 August 1992. My last position as Chief Medical Examiner,
Office of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner; Member, Graduate Education Committee; Director, Residency Program in Forensic Pathology; and Distinguished Scientist, American Registry of Pathology, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C., as well as Member, Department of Defense Forensic Science Advisory Committee.

During my residency in anatomic and clinical pathology at U. S. Naval Hospital, Philadelphia, 1957 – 1961, Russell S. Fisher, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner, State of Maryland, travelled to identify a serviceman who had died. Subsequently, I passed the boards in Anatomic Pathology and Clinical Pathology and I attended the Forensic Pathology Course at Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, which reinforced my interest in the subspecialty. From 1962 – 1963, I completed the requirements to take the boards in this subspecialty at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C., under the direction of Colonel Edward Johnston, but I was assigned to U. S. Naval Hospital, Guam, Marianas Islands, from 1963 – 1965. I took the boards in this special field in 1964, and I became one of the first 100 pathologists to become certified by the American Board of Pathology in Anatomic Pathology, Clinical Pathology, and Forensic Pathology.

I was Chief, Forensic Pathology Branch, Military Environmental Pathology Division, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, 1965 – 1970. During this time I had the opportunity to participate in the medicolegal investigation and autopsies of Astronauts Grissom, White, and Chaffee following the Apollo disaster in Florida, and in the investigation and autopsy of Senator Robert Kennedy following his assassination in California. I was Chief, Military Environmental Pathology Division, AFIP, from 1972 – 1974, then Chairman, Department of Forensic Sciences, AFIP, from 1974 – 1975. During this time, I conceived the Office of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner which was published in United States Navy Medicine 61:20-27, 1973: Forensic Sciences at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology – Its Role in Military Medicine. I turned down the appointment as the first Chief Medical Examiner after the role was approved in 1988 – 1990, because I was serving as Chief of Staff, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Dayton, OH. I subsequently became the Chief Medical Examiner of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System , 1992 – 1996.

Major Accomplishment as Chief Medical Examiner - Development of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner system.

Recollections of Places I have trained and worked?
I spent 1957 – 1961 at U. S. Naval Hospital, Philadelphia, which has since been demolished. It was an interesting place. Subsequently, I was assigned to AFIP for training in the special field of forensic pathology and I had the opportunity to work with Russell S. Fisher, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner, State of MD. After returning from Guam to the AFIP, I trained numerous people in forensic pathology.
Comments about people who trained me:
Bruce H. Smith, M.D. was the Chief of Laboratory Service at USNH, Philadelphia where I was trained in Anatomic Pathology and Clinical Pathology. He became Director, AFIP, as Captain, MC, USN. Colonel Edward Johnston, MC, USA, and Russell S. Fisher, M.D. were responsible for my training in Forensic Pathology.
Recollections about people I have trained:
I trained numerous people in the special field of forensic pathology including Richard C. Froede, M.D., who was a Colonel, MC, USAF and Flight Surgeon.


Contributions to the field of forensic pathology:

Difficult cases I have managed:
Temporary duty at Harman Air Force Base U. S. Air Force Hospital to perform medicolegal autopsies on two servicemen who died at Thule, Greenland, and one who died a Keflavik, Iceland, 1963; medicolegal investigation and autopsies of Astronauts Grissom, White, and Chaffee following Apollo disaster at Cape Kennedy, FL, 1967; medicolegal investigation and autopsy following assassination of Senator Robert Kennedy and consultant to Chief Medical Examiner – Coroner, Los Angeles, CA; exhumation, investigation, and autopsy of serviceman at San Diego, CA; consultant in forensic pathology to Civil Rights Division, U. S. Department of Justice to conduct exhumation, investigation, and autopsy of man killed in Puerto Rico, 1974; consultant in forensic pathology, Naval Investigative Service, to participate in the investigation of the death of a military dependent, 1977.

Job related stresses, anxiety, and personal performance issues:
I tried not to bring these home to my wife and children and as a military man and civil servant I was always in charge of my own performance.
Advice for forensic pathologists entering this field:
Work hard. Remember that each case requires a pre-autopsy analysis including circumstances of death, medical and social history, and environmental factors, followed by autopsy, when indicated, including microscopic examination of tissues, laboratory tests for chemical agents, toxins, infectious agents, and drugs, and review of any photographs obtained at the scene of death or during autopsy. Determine that the death was caused by accident, homicide, suicide, or natural causes, the time of death if indicated, and the information which must be placed on the death certificate.

Has forensic pathology changed?

Although the training programs have improved during the years, the number of autopsies performed by hospital pathologists continues to decline from 80% in military hospitals to less than 5% in hospitals today. We still have a mixed bag as far as the coroner system and medical examiner system are concerned, and this has affected the need for increased numbers of forensic pathologists. In fact, some people serving as Chief Medical Examiner are not board certified!

Personal information:

My wife, Ellen, and I married in 1954. We had three children, Charles, IV, Marcia, and Kim. When we met, Ellen was a RN at Jefferson. We liked to go camping, including our honeymoon and later distant places such as Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, the outer banks of North Carolina, and the far west. Later, Ellen and I visited most countries in Europe, as well as Australia, New Zealand, China, Japan, Russia, Finland, Turkey, Greece, Italy, etc. By this time our children had married and had two children for each family

Would I become a forensic pathologist again?
Yes. I had an interesting career in the Navy, Department of Veterans Affairs, and Armed Forces Institute of Pathology.

Charles J. Stahl, III, M.D.
In 1965, I entered medical school at the University of Minnesota, intending at the time to become a “general practitioner”, as most primary care physicians were then called. I graduated, in 1969 and began a “rotating internship” at St. Paul-Ramsey Hospital, the city/county hospital in St. Paul. By that time I had come to the conclusion that “general practice, the field that had attracted me, either no longer really existed or was quickly becoming a thing of the past.

Early in my internship, I applied for, was accepted into, and scheduled to begin St. Paul-Ramsey’s OB/Gyn residency program. I had decided that the breadth of that specialty was the closest thing to general practice that then existed. However, I was unable to obtain a specialty training deferment from military service via the “Berry Plan”, a lottery used in those years which matched prospective residents entering medical specialty programs with the Armed Services’ future anticipated needs for medical specialists. I was instead given a one-year deferment, prior to what appeared to be certain induction into the Armed Services. Next, I would most likely be deployed to Southeast Asia where the Viet Nam War was in full swing.

So in July 1970, I decided strike out in a new direction and to begin a pathology residency at St. Paul-Ramsey Hospital. With even a single year of pathology training, I was told that I’d likely be assigned to work as a pathologist when I was drafted. I would furthermore become eligible for one year of training credit from the American Board of Pathology in recognition of my anticipated two years of service obligation. If I should subsequently develop an interest in some other specialty, many of the other specialty boards allowed credit of varying durations for prior residency training time in pathology.

St. Paul-Ramsey’s pathology program was new, and the staff comprised a dynamic young group of colleagues who had recently taken their training together at the University of Minnesota. All were outstanding pathologists, but none of them had any special forensic pathology expertise. Their own training program had been situated in Minneapolis (in Hennepin County) where Dr. John Coe was the medical examiner.
Any forensic cases at the U of M program were routinely transferred over to the Hennepin County Morgue where Dr. Coe and his staff would perform those autopsies. In those years, there was no provision for a required forensic pathology component as a part of either anatomic or clinical pathology training, as there is today.

My first rotation at St. Paul-Ramsey was a six-month assignment on the autopsy service, where there was a broad range of case material. Many were “Ramsey County Coroner’s Cases”. The staff pathologists in my program performed essentially no autopsies themselves, but supervised me, as well as a continuous steam of surgery residents who rotated on our autopsy service as part of their own training requirements. After completing their autopsy rotations, many of these surgery residents “moonlighted” at the local Ramsey County Coroner’s Office where the coroner, a general practice physician with no forensic training, delegated the autopsies to residents and to a few general pathologists from some of the private hospitals who were willing to do them for the thirty-five dollars that his office paid for them.

St. Paul-Ramsey, I had at least some supervision when I performed autopsies on difficult cases. Amazingly, I even autopsied a few homicides while I was yet a beginning resident, and would then be called to testify in the resulting trials. For a first-year resident, it was nerve-wracking. On one of my early cases (I think it was my first stabbing), my staff pathologist suggested that I call John Coe, outline the case to him and ask for any suggestions he might have. Dr. Coe had given a couple of (optional) lectures to my medical school class, and I’d found him to be a most engaging teacher. I assumed that he’d be very busy and probably not very enthusiastic about receiving a phone call from me. How wrong I was. He was cordial and extremely helpful. Furthermore, he invited me to call him whenever I had any questions or difficult cases.

This first contact with “The Professor” as Dr. Coe was called, developed into an important opportunity. Over the next few weeks, I helped initiate a monthly “Forensic Pathology Conference” at St. Paul-Ramsey. Dr. Coe, often accompanied by Dr. Calvin Bandt (the only other board-certified forensic pathologist in our part of the country) would come to SPRH. They would bring slides, both photographic and microscopic, of their recent cases. I reciprocated by showing some of the cases we’d encountered at St. Paul-Ramsey. This was the beginning of my close friendship with John Coe, a friendship that lasted until his death earlier this year.

Not long after this, the pathologists at SPRH agreed to permit me to moonlight at the Ramsey County Coroner’s Office. That opportunity only deepened my resolve to take further training in forensic pathology as part of my education. It also taught me how to perform autopsies without a “diener” to assist me. Assisting with autopsies was “not in the job descriptions” of the staff of the RCCO, and the coroner himself only came to the office a few times a month to sign death certificates. In fact, I worked on a part-time basis there for three years or so and never met the man. He never once called me for clarification of any details of any of the autopsies I’d performed for him, and I was never informed how any of my cases were signed out.

Ironically, at the end of my first year of pathology residency, I was not drafted (as I had anticipated), and instead was informed that it was unlikely that I would ever be.

During the second year, I was invited by my undergraduate mentor, Professor William McDonald, to spend six weeks with him the following summer, excavating Bronze Age skeletal material at Nichoria in Southwestern Greece. As an undergraduate at the University of Minnesota, I had majored in Classical Greek, and Bill McDonald, a noted Aegean archeologist, had been my advisor. In fact, before I finally decided to attend medical school, I had planned to enter graduate school in “The Classics” under Professor McDonald. My pathology program director was very supportive of my opportunity to do archeology, and deemed the experience to be “part of (my) autopsy rotation”!
Therefore, I spent part of the summer of 1972 at Nichoria, assisting an anthropologist from the University of Manitoba. We slowly and painstakingly excavated about a dozen skeletons that had been buried in a small “stone circle grave” during the Late Helladic Period, sometime around 1400 B.C. My companion was not, however, a physical anthropologist, and my only reference was William Bass’s small paperback handbook. That intense course of self-instruction very effectively taught me an immense amount of physical anthropology and osteology. Years later, an attorney cross-examining me about an exhumed body snidely asked, “So, Doctor, what’s been the longest interval after death where you’ve been asked to examine a human body?” Thinking back to my summer at Nichoria, I answered, “About thirty-four hundred years”.

Another event that year brought about a change of direction that was pivotal in my career. It had been determined that a national shortage of forensic pathologists was hampering the criminal justice system. The Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, a federal program founded in 1968, offered grants which were to be overseen by the College of American Pathologists. Those grants offered full funding for practicing pathologists, and for pathologists in training, to receive a year of forensic pathology training in any approved program. I applied for and received one of the grants.

One of the conditions was that an applicant had to have already been accepted into a training program. Before I applied, I called Dr. Coe. He had just recently received approval for a forensic pathology fellowship, but he had not yet received any applications. At that time, in order to be eligible for approval as an FP training program, a jurisdiction was required to have a homicide rate of thirty-five cases per year. Hennepin County just barely qualified. I did briefly consider applying to a program in one of the larger jurisdictions, one with a much larger volume of cases, but I decided to stay in the Twin Cities and to train under Dr. Coe. I reasoned that the quality of the teaching I’d receive would easily compensate for any disparity in case volume.

How times have changed! Without any complicated paper work or formalities and in the course of a simple phone call, Dr. Coe accepted me as his first fellow. He then merely sent me a brief letter of acceptance to append to my grant application.

So in July 1973, I began my year of forensic pathology fellowship, even though at that time I had only completed my third of the required four years of AP/CP training. I spent that year working with Dr. Coe and Dr. Bandt and interacting with the pathology staff doctors and residents at Hennepin County General Hospital, as it was then called. By then, the Medical Examiner’s Office had moved out of the old county morgue building and shared autopsy facilities with the hospital. All of the hospital’s staff pathologists participated to some extent in the teaching I received.

All of them were superb pathologists, and because of their interest in both autopsy pathology and FP, it was a great place to train. Dr. Kenneth Osterberg was especially interested in physical anthropology and in the identification of human remains. He eventually qualified for FP certification on the basis of experience, and he and I both took our board examinations at the same time in 1976.

Dr. Coe took me along with him to my first national forensic pathology gathering, the joint meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and the National Association of Medical Examiners in 1974. While we were there, he kept me close by him and took every opportunity to introduce me to many of the pioneering members of our sub-specialty, Milton Helpern, George Gantner, Charles Petty, Marvin Aronson, Joe Davis, Thomas Noguchi, Michael Baden, Ali Hameli, David Wieking, Irving Sopher, Bill Sturner, Charles Hirsch, John Smialek, James Weston, Werner Spitz, Russell Fisher, and many others. The list could
go on and on. All of them seemed pleased to meet me and made me feel as if I belonged along with them as part of this small but special alliance, in this tiny corner of the medical profession.

Just a few weeks into my FP fellowship, I realized that I wanted to take my final year of AP/CP training at Hennepin County General Hospital (“The Old General” as everyone called it), instead of returning to St. Paul-Ramsey Hospital, where I’d either have to endure the unsupervised world of the Ramsey County Coroner’s Office or temporarily stop doing forensic cases. The pathology staffs at both hospitals agreed to the change, and I finished my AP/CP training at Hennepin County Medical Center, the hospital’s new name, the following year, 1975.

I had hoped to join Dr. Coe at the Hennepin County Medical Examiner’s Office, but no funding was available. I was approached by William Randall, the Ramsey County Attorney (co-incidentally one of John Coe’s football teammates at Carlton College), and asked to apply for the position of Ramsey County Coroner, since the term of the current coroner was about to expire. I assumed that the Ramsey County Board would be happy to appoint me. I lived in St. Paul, and was the only person anywhere nearby with formal training in death investigation. The pathology staff at St. Paul-Ramsey Hospital were very supportive and hoped that I could gain the position and also join that department.

The Chief Investigator at the Coroner’s Office, a man with deep political connections was violently opposed to my candidacy, apparently fearing that I’d update and modernize the office, and perhaps ease him out of his position of power. Perhaps he assumed that I’d require the staff to assist in the autopsy room. He assured his friends and acquaintances among the local funeral directors that I’d most likely perform an autopsy in virtually every case that fell under my jurisdiction, and urged them to intervene with members of the County Board. He was especially close to one board member, an attorney who later became a district court judge. The future judge had no understanding of the issue. I remember sitting through a meeting where, in expressing his opposition to my appointment, he said, “Well, it may be fine for Hennepin County, but we don’t need a forsenic (sic) pathologist here in Ramsey County.”

I lost four votes to three and the old coroner was reappointed—not bad, though, for an “out-of-towner” who’d grown up in rival Minneapolis. As a concession to the board members who had supported me, a resolution was passed to “study” the issue for the next four years, at which time, the old coroner had indicated that he planned to step down.

I was still interested in the position, so I accepted a half-time position on the St. Paul-Ramsey staff, worked on a part-time basis for The Hennepin County ME Office, and entered night law school at William Mitchell College of Law in St. Paul while I waited.

I thought the additional credential of a J.D. degree might be of benefit in a career in forensic pathology. When I asked my wife Mary Ann what she thought of the idea, she had replied, “I worked you through medical school, but one professional school is my absolute limit. I’ll help you in every other way I can, but you’re going to have to work while you do it and still find enough time to be a father to our (three) boys.” With her support and understanding, the four years at “Mitchell” flew by.

The following year, 1976, one of my residency mates at St. Paul-Ramsey Hospital secured the pathology contract for two St. Paul hospitals, Midway Hospital and its smaller sister institution, Mound Park Hospital. He and another pathologist staffed Midway, and he recruited me to be the pathologist at Mounds Park. I left St. Paul-Ramsey to take the new position, but kept my part-time position at the Hennepin County ME
Office, and plodded on in law school. Those two and a half years at Mounds Park gave me a chance to practice conventional hospital pathology, and I found that I rather enjoyed it. However, that all changed in August of 1978, as I began my final year of law school, when I received a call from John Coe.

Dr. Osterberg, an ostensibly healthy man in his forties had suffered a tragic and debilitating stroke, and Dr. Coe asked me to replace him. Half of my time was to be in the ME Office, and half to be in clinical chemistry at the Hennepin County Medical Center. I accepted immediately, and took the new position in January 1979. I became Dr. Coe’s “Assistant Medical Examiner”. I never pursued the position of Ramsey County Coroner when it later became vacant. Dr. Coe and I worked closely together, and I stayed on as his assistant until 1984, when he retired and I was named to replace him.

I was appointed to five consecutive terms and spent the next twenty years as Hennepin County’s Medical Examiner, until I retired in 2004. Over those years, I worked with so many fine colleagues, including Kathryn Berg, Jim Wahe, Mitch Morey, and Calvin Bandt. I was involved in the training of many other forensic pathologists including Susan Roe, Ruth Viste, John Teggatz, Karen Kelly, Dan Davis, Michael Heninger, Shannon Mackey-Bojack, Jerome Bond, Kenneth Gallagher, and Ray Rivera. Some of them went on to become Chief Medical Examiners themselves, including Jeff Jentzen, Lindsey Thomas, Brian Hunter, Quinn Strobl, Thambirajah Balachandra, and Andy Baker, the person who succeeded me as Hennepin County’s Medical Examiner when I retired.

I consider all of those students and colleagues to be the most enduring aspect of my career. I hope that through them and the students that they in turn teach and inspire, I might gain at least some small measure of (at least temporary) immortality. And of course, all of them taught me so much in return.

Garry F. Peterson, M.D., J.D.
Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
This is an easy question to answer. I did my pathology training at University Hospital at Ohio State University in Columbus. At that time, the morgue was divided in half by a glass block wall and hospital autopsies were done on one side of the wall and coroner cases were done on the other. I had regular exposure to the coroner cases and a particularly likable pathologist, Nobuhisa “Nobi” Baba (he used to tell us to call him BA2), who ran the autopsy service but also was a forensic pathologist for the coroner. He was very intent on telling us about forensic pathology. Further, Ohio State was among the first seven places which had an approved training program in forensic pathology beginning in 1961. Although the forensic pathology program was inactive when I trained at OSU in the 1970s, I had known about it and the program became active again in the mid 1980s until the mid 1990s. Even when the program was inactive, there was strong emphasis on forensic pathology in the anatomical pathology training program at OSU. In fact, forensic pathologists Margaret “Peggy” Greenwald, Michael Clark (deceased), Steve Phillips (no longer practicing FP), and Greg Wanger all were in medical school at OSU about the same time as me. That says something about OSU and its fostering of forensic pathology at the time. I went into the field and didn’t really even consider salary and income when I made my decision.

Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner
I have been the Chief Medical Examiner in only one office, the Fulton County Medical Examiner’s Office (FCMEO) in Atlanta, Georgia, where I have been Chief Medical Examiner since July 1998. I began working in the office in 1982 when I began my fellowship and I stayed on there full-time until 1991. I then left to work for Emory University and the CDC through an interagency agreement, and between 1991 and July 1998, I oversaw the autopsy service at Grady Hospital and worked on many projects with the CDC’s Medical Examiner/Coroner Information Sharing Program (MECIS). Also during most of those years, I continued to do forensic autopsies on weekends at the Georgia Bureau of Investigation and later, back at the
FCMEO before I came back as Chief in 1998.

Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner
Although our new facility which opened in April 1999 had been planned prior to my becoming Chief ME, it was during my tenure as Chief that the facility was built and we moved into the new facility. Of course, we had to migrate our operations and change the way we did things. We had to re-write policy and procedure and we faced many challenging problems with the new physical plant. Much planning and time went into remedying those problems.

During my tenure as Chief ME, we pursued, obtained, and have maintained full accreditation by the National Association of Medical Examiners (NAME). Also, after becoming Chief Medical Examiner, we upgraded and improved significantly our accredited training program in Forensic Pathology.

Although we have faced budget challenges in recent years, we have managed to become more efficient yet continue to provide professional service compliant with the law and applicable professional guidelines and standards. The pathologist case load is quite acceptable and our turnaround times for reports are quite good. Maintaining such conditions has been a top priority.

Most gratifying has been a restructuring of our organization and the way we do things. We have many more conferences than we did in the past and all types of staff attend. There are better opportunities for in-house career advancement, and we have developed an improved and more professional approach to medico-legal death investigation. Team work has improved and I believe everyone feels much more a part of the team than in the past. Turnover has been very low, especially among the forensic pathologists, and has occurred mainly when people have retired or decided to pursue opportunities in the private sector.

Of the awards I have received in my career, the ones most important to me have come after I returned to FCMEO as FP Training Director and while I have been Chief Medical Examiner. These include the NAME Outstanding Service Award (1997), the AAFS Path/Bio Section Milton Helpern Award (1999), the NAME Lifetime Service Award (2007), and the AAFS Distinguished Fellow Award (2009).

Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences
I have attempted, in the list below, to document what I consider to be the most significant efforts on behalf of forensic pathology, forensic science, and death investigation, beginning with the first projects and ending with the most recent:

- Along with James “Jack Frost,” established the NAME Pediatric Toxicology Registry in the late 1980s. This was later turned over to John Howard.
- Developed a nomination and selection process to recommend a new editor for the orange journal upon William Eckert’s retirement in the late 1980s. This was a long and involved process but the journal did not accept NAME’s recommendation, one of the first issues that arose regarding the relationship between the journal and NAME. Note: this question asked about “efforts,” not necessarily successes!
- Worked with the CDC’s Medical Examiner/Coroner Information Sharing Program for approximately 10 years
- Implemented and edited “NAME News,” a regular newsletter for NAME members which continued until email and NAME-L became widely used
- Developed and managed NAMEs first website. This project was later turned over to Mike Bell.
- Set up “PIC-NIC (NAME Information Center),” a web based bulletin board for NAME members, subsequently replaced with NAME-L.
- In conjunction with Emory University, established NAME-L.
• Assisted with the development of the CDC guidelines for sudden unexplained infant death investigations along with project manager Solomon Iyasu of the CDC.
• Assisted with the development of the National Institute of Justice’s Guide for the Death Scene Investigator under program manager Dick Rau of the NIJ.
• Served on the CAP Autopsy Committee (Chair Grover Hutchins, deceased) and CAP Forensic Pathology Committee (Chair Don Reay).
• Served on the ABP Forensic Pathology Test Committee (William Hartmann, ABP EVP and Ross Zumwalt, Test Committee Chair)
• As NAME President, implemented the practice of the President preparing a one-year plan each year for NAME to detail committees, goals, and objectives
• Co-Authored and edited two CAP Manuals on Certification of Death
• Co-Authored the NAME Guide for Manner of Death Classification and several other NAME guidelines such as infant death certification and others.
• Was the initial NAME representative on the Consortium Forensic Science Organizations (CFSO)
• Hosted the NAME Office at FCME when NAME decided to remove NAME from a University setting.
• Developed electronic databases to manage NAME Membership Information (MILTON) and The NAME Foundation. MILTON is still used today to prepare the annual meeting abstract book and for some other NAME activities.
• As Chair of NAME’s ad hoc Data Committee, assisted in numerous projects involving collection and analyses of data from NAME members and other sources, with nearly 30 reports of project outcomes.
• Assisted with the development of the NAME Forensic Autopsy Performance Standards
• Helped develop the NamUs system for missing and unidentified persons with Steve Clark in conjunction with the National Institute of Justice and funding provided to the National Center of Forensic Science (NCFS) National Forensic Science Technology Center (NFSTC)
• Currently serve as a local advisor to the Whitehouse Subcommittee of Forensic Science (SoFS) Standards, Practices, and Protocols Interagency Working Group (SPPIWG)
• Currently serve as Vice-Chair of the newly formed Scientific Working Group for Medicolegal Death Investigation (SWGMDI)

Recollections of places I have trained and worked
I have very fond memories of my pathology residency at Ohio State University (see below). It was a great place to train and the faculty was excellent and diverse in expertise. Further details have been provided elsewhere in this memoir.

During the years 1991 to 1998, I worked with both Emory School of Medicine and the CDC through an interagency agreement. At CDC, I worked in the Medical Examiner/Coroner Information Sharing Program (MECISP) primarily with Roy Ing, Gib Parrish, and Deborah Combs. Steve Thacker, a long-time CDC employee, was one of MECISP’s founders. For most of its life, the MECISP Program was run through the CDC’s Center for Environmental Health and its program for Injury Prevention and Control, led by Vernon Hauck (deceased) and then Henry Faulk. In its later and final years up to 2001, MECISP was moved to the CDC Epidemiology Program Office. CDC Directors during the MECISP years included William Roper, David Satcher, Jeffrey Koplan, and Julie Gerberding. All of the people I worked with in MECISP were dedicated, talented, helpful, and a pleasure to work with. It was this MECISP group that published the first comprehensive directory of death investigation systems in the United States and Canada, among many other projects such as helping to develop standard medical examiner/coroner databases. At this same time, I served as Director of the Autopsy Service at Grady Hospital. I worked with many people there
including Pathology Assistants Linda Leslie and Eugene Semple; autopsy assistants Shirley McWilliams and Audrey Hargrove, surgical pathologists Chester Hermann, Karlene Hewan-Lowe, David Schwartz, Victor Nassar, Victor Napoli, and Bagirath Majmudar; clinical pathologists David Vroon and Bob Allen; and cytopathologist George Birdsong. I learned much from all of these colleagues and they also were a pleasure with which to work.

I also have done a lot of work with the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and its mortality branch. I spent much time working with Harry Rosenberg, George Gay, Donna Hoyert, Julie Kowaleski, and in more recent years, Robert Anderson. With these persons, efforts were spent to revise the US Standard Certificate of Death, to develop plans to re-engineer the death registration process and for electronic death registration, to improve instruction manuals for completion of the death certificate, and to conduct an-in-depth analysis of the mortality statistics branch of NCHS.

The list of persons with whom I have worked since becoming Chief ME in 1998 is quite long and I cannot mention all of the names here. A comprehensive list is available on the FCME web site at www.fultoncountyga.gov/me-home. During my tenure as Chief ME, I was fortunate to have on my staff Eric Kiesel, John Parker (part time), and Carol Terry in the past, and presently, Michele Stauffenberg, Geoffrey Smith, Michael Heninger, and Karen Sullivan. In addition, one could not ask for a better administrative, investigative, autopsy, and facility support staff than we have working at FCME.

In the mid-1980s, I “moonlighted” at the Cobb County and DeKalb County Medical Examiner offices which were run by Joseph L. Burton. I remember fondly the days I worked with him and his Chief Investigator Mickey Shockley, Autopsy Assistant Drew Ollie, and Drew’s brother Chris. On many days, I would drive from county to county doing autopsies at different facilities. This experience gave me insights into the pros and cons of privatized forensic pathology.

I was fortunate to meet many District Attorneys as a result of testimony I had to render related to autopsy cases I performed for the GBI. I have testified in more than 60 of Georgia’s 159 counties and I learned much about the state during my many long drives to court in various regions of the state.

Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned
At Ohio State, I received pathology training from a variety of people. I’m sure I will fail to mention some, so I apologize. But the following are ones who had significant impact on me: Don Senshauser, Emerrich von Haam, Nobuhisa “Nobi” Baba, Kitty Claussen, Leona Ayers, Leopold Liss, Alan Yates, Dieter Assor, Bill Holiday, John Neff, NT Shah, Melanie Kennedy, Hari Sharma, Tom Stephenson, and Adelaide “Heidi” Koestner. Adelaide’s husband’s name was Adelbert and we used to tell jokes that any boy or girl children by them should be named Adaboy and Adagirl. A fellow pathology resident named Joel Lucas and I used to have a lot of laughs. A man named Elwin Poe was the non-physician head of the autopsy service and functioned as a pathology assistant and taught us a lot about the autopsy. I think he sells wine now near Columbus, Ohio.

At FCME, my mentors were Robert “Bob” Rutherford Stivers, MD; John Feegel, MD, JD, and Saleh A. Zaki, MD, PhD. Stivers was practical and to the point. He was a nice guy with a good sense of humor. Feegel, also being a lawyer, was the most legalistic and liked to write novels. Zaki concentrated on thinking about one’s cases and the issues they raise. Feegel used to tell me “it does not have to be the truth, it only has to make sense.” He offered that advice for tough cases, such as trying to reconstruct the sequence of multiple gunshot wounds. One can come up with a plausible sequence which may be useful, but which may
not actually be the absolute truth. I think he was emphasizing the role and usefulness of opinion rather than absolute fact. Near the end of my fellowship, I wasn’t making much money and local jobs were not particularly attractive. I asked Feegel why he didn’t quit and let me have his job, because he had other sources of income. He quit and I took on his staff position. Nice guy. Stivers and Feegel are now deceased and Zaki is retired and has taken up travelling. We also had a great investigative staff while I was training. At the time, they were Fulton County Police Sergeants assigned to the medical examiner’s office, and they included John Cameron (deceased), Richard Eskew (deceased), Don Pike (deceased), Hugh Haynes (deceased), Jeri Hendrix, and Eugene Horton. I learned a lot from all of those guys who were always more than willing to take me to scenes and explain the way things work, and provide a good sense of humor and friendship at work.

There are a number of NAME members who encouraged and spent time with me soon after I first joined NAME in 1984. These include George Gartner (deceased), Jerry Francisco, Jim Luke, Richard Froede (deceased), Charles Stahl, James “Jack” Frost, John Pless, William Eckert (deceased), R Page Hudson, Bob Brissie, Jack Frost, Bob Goode, John Pless, James Spence Bell, Marvin Aronson, Elizabeth Balraj, Thomas Hegert, Sandra Conradi, Lawrence “Stan Harris,” Patricia McFeeley, Haresh Mirchandani, Joel Sexton, Jerry Spencer, Dimitri Contostavlos, Ed Wilson, George Nichols, Larry Lewman, Don Reay, Brian Blackbourne, Eliot Gross, Marcella Fierro, Stan Kessler, Ronald Rivers, John Coe (deceased), Joseph Davis, John Butts (Canada), William Q. Sturner, Thomas Noguchi, Ross Zumwalt, Mary Case, and John Smialek (deceased). Others with whom I worked closely in more recent years as an officer or other capacity and from whom I have gained insight are Garry Peterson, Ed Donoghue, and John Hunsaker. Other NAME members with whom I have had a long-lasting friendship, and who may be considered “contemporaries” include Mike Graham, Steve Cohle, Jeff Jentzen, and Mary Fran Ernst. And through my work with NAME, I have also appreciated the efforts and friendship of Julie Howe, Kathleen Diebold Hargrave, and Denise McNally who I first met in 1984. There are many others who I am proud to know and to have worked with, but they are too large in number to name here.

During my fellowship and in the years after fellowship I met numerous people at the GBI, which is where we got our exposure to forensic science. I worked with former Crime Lab Directors Larry Howard, PhD, and Byron Dawson, PhD (recently deceased). Other forensic scientists with whom I worked at GBI include Bob Clemenson, Warren Tilman, Lou Cuendet, and Larry Peterson. Kelly Fite was the firearms examiner, John Wegel was a serologist, and toxicologists included Ann Eskew (deceased), Horton McCurdy, Everett Solomons, Bill Wall, and Larry Lewellen. All of these people were very generous with their time and I learned much from them over the years. More recently, I have what I view as a positive relationship with current GBI Director Vernon Keenan and administrative staff who work with the GBI Division of Forensic Sciences including Paul Kirk, George Herrin, public information officer John Bankhead, and of course, Kris Sperry and his staff of GBI Medical Examiners, a good number of which trained at Fulton County.

**Recollections about people I have trained**

Either as a Deputy/Associate ME or the FP Training Program Director, I have been involved in the training the following people who successfully completed their training in our program (the state is where they now are):

- Wayne Ross, MD, (PA)
- Gerald Gowitt, MD, (GA)
- Janet Pillow, MD, (FL)
- Keith Norton, MD, (MO)
I have very pleasant memories about all of these trainees. Probably the single most memorable moment involved Gerald Gowitt who trained with us in the 1980s. We were walking along a very long creek and culvert looking for additional bones in follow up to some skeletal remains found in the creek. The creek was heavily grown with moss and algae, and multiple times during our trek, as he followed me, I would hear a splash, an ensuing expletive, and the clanking of his shovel on the stream bottom. Each time, I would turn around to see him lying in the stream bed. He was soaked throughout the trek. I’m sure he remembers that, probably better than I do. I am sorry to report that despite the effort and calamity, we found no additional bones.

With as many trainees as we have had, I can’t really say something about all of them, except that it has been a pleasure to know them and assist in their training. Some were easier to train than others, and some have been more successful in forensic pathology than others. But they were all good people and all have been successful in some form of pathology practice.

**Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities**

Without doubt, the most difficult part of my career has been being the Chief ME and having to deal with county government structure and the intrinsic bureaucracy that goes with such governments. In addition, since my job as Chief is provided via a contract between the county and Emory University, I have had to serve two masters which, at times, is difficult.

I have been involved in very little controversy over the years, in fact, none of significance that I recall. A recent problem has been related to the CIS effect in which users develop unrealistic expectations about what we can do and how quickly we can do it. Over time, the number of problem calls has increased, almost
exclusively because of the CSI effect rather than any wrongdoing by the office. Yes, we have made some mistakes, but in general, they have been honest mistakes, few in number, and have been resolved without major controversy. Only once can I recall adverse press coverage, and it was about a delay in notification of next of kin in a specific case.

Sometimes it seems that “no good deed goes unpunished.” For example, after we obtained Accurint to assist in locating next of kin, we applied it to cases that were many years old. We located next of kin in some of those old cases, then some families were angry that it took so long to find and notify them. Such things come with the job and we just need to accept that.

**Academic involvement through research, education, and training**

As an academic pathology department professor, I have been expected to engage in service, teaching, and research. Teaching was in two forms for the most part. One was an annual lecture to medical students on forensic pathology and death certification. The vast majority of teaching has been on-site at the medical examiner’s office through conferences and case supervision involving residents, medical students, and mainly forensic pathology fellows in our ACGME accredited forensic pathology training program.

Research has largely involved case reports, case series, and publications such as guidelines. Overall, I have approximately 200 publications which include journal articles, letters to the editor, books, manuals, book chapters, and author-involvement in professional guidelines and standards.

**Legislative change in which I was involved**

In the late 1980s, I was involved in the writing of legislation that revised the Georgia death investigation laws and to some extent, laws pertaining to child death investigation and fatality review. Much of the current Georgia Death Investigation Act includes words from draft legislation which I helped prepare, especially various definitions and qualifications to hold certain jobs. A long-remembered sore point is that we went to much effort to create law which developed a medical examiner commission to help professionalize and improve death investigation in the state, and to lessen the oversight of the GBI and make the office more autonomous and perhaps academic. The law passed, but the commission was never implemented. Then, in the latter 1990s, the GBI had the provisions for a commission stripped from the law (rather quietly), although a State Medical Examiner position was implemented formally in 1997 and operates today under the GBI Division of Forensic Sciences.

I have reviewed and commented upon drafts of proposed federal legislation such as the Coverdell Act, current Leahy bill to improve forensic sciences and other proposed legislation to improve infant death investigation, and have also reviewed much proposed state legislation which could impact on death investigation in Georgia.

**My contributions to the field of forensic pathology**

I believe that my major contributions involve my service as an officer and active member of NAME and in the Path/Bio Section of the AAFS, in conjunction with my publications and service on numerous working groups and advisory groups over the years, always in a capacity of looking out for, and trying to improve the practice of forensic pathology. I have served on the Editorial Board of JFS, AJFMP, and most recently AFP, the new journal of NAME.

If I had to summarize briefly what I have tried to do in my career, it would be to identify issues that need to be addressed and then address them in a systematic fashion and proper forum to fill gaps or foster needed change. Almost everything I have done relates somehow to that goal.
Perspectives I gained as a medical examiner
Probably the biggest change in perspective during my career was the realization that much of what we do has more to do with public health than criminal justice. I also have realized that the court system is no where near as efficient and professional as it could be or as I thought it would be when I entered the field. Unfortunately, I also have the perspective that many others have stated—that in many government settings, the governments do not appreciate the need to have trained and qualified individuals working in the death investigation system and that many governments seem satisfied as long as the jobs are filled.

On a more general note, I have realized that bad and unfortunate things happen on a daily basis, and that we see the same types of violence over and over again. Despite attempts to reduce or prevent violent deaths, little of substance seems to happen. But maintaining a good attitude is important, if for no other reason, to do a quality and professional job on individual cases and address the issues which those cases bring into play. Other societal benefits, studies, and prevention programs are important but less important than the primary case work.

Difficult cases I have managed
I must say that I have tried to proactively avoid having difficult cases by adhering to the principles I try to teach the fellows as described in Question 15 below. However, difficult cases do occur.

Probably the most difficult I have managed was the unexplained death of a 28 year old, whose death was believed by the father to have been an assassination with an exotic poison such as ricin or abrin. We had no basis for suspecting that and all findings suggest some sort of cardiac or other problem which remains ill-defined. I spent more time (and money) on that case than any other I have ever had, and we did do extensive testing for just about everything known to man. Seven years later, I still get occasional irate phone calls or emails from the father, some with messages which could be construed as threats or death wishes. Its been the most frustrating case I have ever managed, both in terms of not being able to define an irrefutable cause of death, but in terms of the time required to deal with issues raised by the deceased’s father.

Another case I can recall that brought some challenges came at a time when some politicians were claiming that the police were using “exploding bullets.” I was asked by one local politician to stay out of the issue. What I did was a local review of cases of police shootings and various ammunitions simply to have some evidence that the claims of excessive tissue damage done by such bullets were not founded in fact. The issue quickly went away. As I recall, this was the only time in my entire career that a politician attempted to influence me. There have been a few cases in which prosecutors urged us to classify the manner of death as homicide to facilitate prosecution, but we resisted that, explained why, and no significant issues ever arose.

A third difficult case I remember involved an apartment break-in and murder of a woman. The scene was processed by police and the medical examiner and the body was transported to the morgue for autopsy. Hours later, calls began coming in indicating that the decedent had a baby but its whereabouts were unknown. We returned to the scene and found the baby, dead, under a very heavy pillow on the sofa in a different area of the apartment. The mother (victim) had apparently placed it there to hide it from the perpetrator. The issue is whether the baby was alive at the time of first response to the death scene. As best we could determine from the findings and timing, the baby was probably already dead when police first arrived. As you can imagine, however, our conclusions were not without controversy.
Other “enigmas” and difficult cases I have encountered include, but are not limited to: a naked, embalmed, and burned man found in the woods, with a gunshot wound of the head; a young girl with multiple extremity fractures apparently incurred during an attempted exorcism; a dismemberment case in which the perpetrator attempted to get rid of body parts with a in-sink garbage disposal; and determining whether a fetus was “live” when it was expelled through a shotgun wound of the mother’s abdomen. There are many more. But I have discovered that as one’s list of challenging cases grows with experience, the challenging cases seem to get less frequent. I have also learned, however, to never say “I have seen everything.” I have not, and I never will.

How I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety, personal performance issues
I have seldom, if ever, demonstrated significant anger nor have I ever “blown up” at work as I recall. Somehow, I have managed to keep frustration inside while at work, and I tend to release it when I am out of the office. On rare occasions, I must admit that I have caught myself talking to myself and have approached the stage of animation like some of those folks you see walking down the street waving their arms and talking nonsense to themselves. I have tried to follow the philosophy of leaving my work-related problems on the coat rack when I enter the house, but that does not always work. Over the years, I have been a fairly consistent “busy body” around the house doing yard work and repairs, and such activities are great stress relievers. Writing articles and the pre-requisite reading has helped as well. I have been known to drink alcohol now and then. Occasionally I do yell at my wife or my dog, inappropriately. Throughout my career I have been a workaholic of sorts and as far as I know, have not had significant performance problems. I will admit however, in the past couple years after nearly 30 years of practice, I do perceive a little burn out within me. I have tried to address this by spending a little more time out of the office doing things other than work, and trying to do fewer work-related things when I am not at the office. That approach does seem to be working, thus far.

Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field
I will state here what I tell all of our fellows upon graduation. Know your limitations, and know when to ask for help. Never be afraid to admit that you don’t know the answer to a question. Try to foresee potential case-related questions and issues and try to address them. Leave no stone unturned. Tie up all loose ends. Don’t shoot from the hip or jump the gun. Don’t go off half-cocked. Be circumspect, reflect, and think twice or more when needed. Treat co-workers well.

Some other advice I would offer to help one stay out of trouble is as follows. Get things done well and completely and in a timely manner. Use consultants liberally. Do not step outside your area of expertise. When issues arise, refer people to the appropriate person or agency. Make sure all findings and opinions are consistent with the known facts. Do not be a media hound. Address, but try not to create issues, especially ones based on personal politics or agendas. Address problems with logic, planning and fairness, not anger.

What I would recommend as a goal is the following: Live, learn, love, laugh, lead, and leave a legacy. The legacy need not be Nobel prize material. Just something for people to have a positive memory about you and your work.

How my work experience changed me, changed my life, and what I learned from my work
Coming from a blue collar family, becoming a physician and pathologist has allowed me to live a life that I otherwise would not have known in terms of being a professional and also having the ability to enjoy some niceties that may otherwise have eluded me.
Probably the biggest change that has occurred in my life as a result of my work is that I became more well-known in the field than I ever thought would happen. This has been gratifying but also has placed large demands on my time. In retrospect, I have probably spent too much time working, but I have enjoyed that time never-the-less.

Finally, I have learned that if you start out on a planned course and stick with it, despite obstacles and drawbacks, achieving one’s goals is possible.

**How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse?**

In most ways, I believe forensic pathology has changed for the better. The recent requirement to complete an accredited fellowship for board certification was a good move. Gradually, we are becoming more scientific and less anecdotal. Salaries are gradually increasing although most still remain below average for physicians. Fewer people seem to be selecting forensic pathology as a second career, and more seem to be starting fresh in the field at an appropriate young age. NAME has become much more proactive, and I believe that will be beneficial for death investigation as time goes on. The everyday work of forensic pathology has not changed much during my career. We still see the same things most of the time. But we are evolving toward more reliance on laboratory work such as genetics to make the most of our work and address relatively new questions that case work brings into play, such as the role of pharmacogenetics in the physiologic and metabolic response to drugs. I’m sure that other emerging science and technology will continue to modify the way we practice and think.

I am not sure that “maintenance of certification” will prove useful in the long run. Responsible professionals voluntarily keep abreast of things and provide themselves with ongoing learning and training experience. Imposed requirements and documentation may prove to be counter-productive, or at least, not add anything substantial to the quality of practice.

I cannot think of an example of how forensic pathology has changed for the worse except, perhaps, the increased scrutiny brought about by the entertainment and media industries. This publicity has not changed forensic pathology per se, but it has made forensic pathology more difficult to practice.

Regrettably, there have been a good number of forensic pathologists who have gotten in “trouble” or faced adverse publicity. Whether or not the incidence of such problems is higher in forensic pathology than other specialties is hard to tell, because we often get public attention as government-paid public servants. All we can hope for is that such incidents will be less common in the future, and do our own personal best to avoid them.

Finally, I am pleased to see so many young, energetic, and talented people entering the field of forensic pathology. Many are active, vocal, thoughtful, productive and friendly. It gives me confidence that our profession will be in good hands in the future. There are a good number of emerging “stars” out there.

**Knowing what I do now, would I “do it again” under the same circumstances as when I began, or under today's circumstances?**

Without a doubt, if I “had it to do over again,” I would still select forensic pathology as a career. It’s a very interesting line of work, and it is appropriately challenging. The opportunity to work with a variety of professionals in different work areas is a great thing about forensic pathology. The continuous encounters
with death (and life) cause one to reflect on life regularly. Although the salaries are often badmouthed, one can live well on such salaries and there is much more to life than money. Even if I were starting my career today, I would select forensic pathology. The course to success is well-defined, there are very good training programs, and the job market is still pretty good and may even get better. I would recommend that if having a higher than average salary is a priority, that one might consider working in a privatized forensic pathology setting or in a practice group that also does hospital-based pathology in addition to forensics.

**Personal information such as family, hobbies and interests (optional)**

My wife, Mary, and I have been married for 28 years and have two daughters, Caitlin (age 27) who is a teacher and Marinna (age 26) who works as a paralegal. Hectar (“the Horrible”) is our dog which we think is a Mountain Feist, although he was sold to us as a puppy and billed as a Chihuahua. Mary obtained a bachelor and master’s degree in nursing and a Ph.D. in Educational Administration. Her professional career including bedside nursing, nursing administration, teaching, and consulting. We spend considerable time in the North Georgia mountains where we also enjoy boating.

Years ago, I used to write a lot of songs (words and music) but my interest in that has declined over the years. I still get a royalty check for one of them, although it’s only a few dollars per year. One thing a like to do is write limericks, and I usually write one for each employee who is retiring from the office or graduating our fellowship program. For sure, the largest amount of time I spend outside of usual work hours has been spent writing about, or working on projects which actually do relate to, forensic pathology.

Although not an avid historian in general, I do like reading or researching some histories. For example, when I was AAFS Path/Bio Chair, I wrote a history of the Path/Bio Section titled “Hanzlick’s Guidelines for Passing On” with the idea that subsequent Chairs could add to the history yearly. Similarly, the history of NAME, forensic pathology, and death investigation systems has intrigued me, and I enjoy digging into the past in those respects.

Finally, I have really enjoyed my time with NAME. I have met and gotten to know a lot of nice and very fine people in that organization, and I plan to continue to be active. My life would have not been the same without forensic pathology and NAME. I am quite happy with the choices I have made and pleasures I have derived from working in the field of forensic pathology.

Selected photographs are shown below:
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Atlanta Journal-Constitution newspaper article from the early 1980s when I was about 32 years old. The article gave me more credit than I deserved. Most of the solving was done by the late Don Pike, who was the investigator on the case. My third published journal article concerning forensic pathology was about this case and appeared in the American Journal of Forensic Pathology in 1985. As I re-read that journal article, it reads more like a newspaper article or story than an article in a medical journal. It probably wouldn’t be accepted by today’s medical journals.
Photo taken in 2011 which shows how things (like me) can change in 30 years. For all you young forensic pathologists out there who think us older folks need to go away, such changes will happen to you sooner than you think, but you will not forget your younger days and the way you thought and behaved when young. I guess that’s why us older folks tend to linger. We mainly get old on the outside and it is not until the inside begins to fail when we finally throw in the towel.
Current forensic pathologists at the Fulton County Medical Examiner’s Center. From left, Forensic Fellow Rhome Hughes, Randy Hanzlick, Deputy Chief Medical Examiner Michele Stauffenberg (front), Forensic Fellow Anindita Issa (front), and Associate Medical Examiners Geoffrey Smith (behind Issa), Michael Heninger, and Karen Sullivan.

A brief history of the Fulton County Medical Examiner and its staff is available in a separate document prepared for NAME’s 45th Annual Meeting on the Alaska Cruise.

**Brief Fulton County Medical Examiner History**
*Prepared by Randy Hanzlick, June 2011.*
The Steiner Building on Butler Street near Grady Hospital was the first home of the Fulton County Medical Examiner. The Medical Examiner was located there from 1965, when the coroner’s office was abolished and the Medical Examiner established, until 1974. Thomas Dillon (left) was the first Medical Examiner, then Robert Stivers (right) became Chief in 1970 after Dillon died. Stivers served as Chief in the Steiner Building until the new facility was opened in 1974.
At 50 Coca Cola Place SE, still only a block from Grady Hospital, the 9000 square feet, two story building opened in 1974. The offices were upstairs and the morgue was downstairs. Robert Stivers (left) served as Chief in this building from 1974-1988 when he retired. Saleh Zaki (middle) served as Chief from 1988 through 1997 when he retired. Randy Hanzlick (right) served as Chief in this building from July 1998 until April 1999 when the newest of the Fulton County Medical Examiner buildings was opened, where he remains Chief today. Eric Kiesel served as Acting Chief in the time between Zaki’s retirement and Hanzlick’s appointment as Chief.
In the 1980s, the medical examiners were Robert Stivers (top photo left; photo taken in the early 1980s in the Coca Cola Place building), John Feegel (top photo, right), and Saleh Zaki (lower photo taken in 1997 just before his retirement).

Other staff medical examiners who worked in the Coca Cola Place facility were:

- Gerald Gowitt, now Chief ME in adjacent DeKalb County
- David Rydzewski, now in Carrolton, GA
- Steven Dunton, went on to DeKalb County and now Buffalo, NY.
- Thomas Young, left to work in the Kansas City area
- Cliff Nelson, now with State Medical Examiner in Portland, OR
- Frederick “Rick” Hellman, now in the general Philadelphia area
- Mark Koponen, went to GBI and now in North Dakota
- Anthony Clark, went to GBI and now in Tallahassee, FL
- Kris Sperry, now Chief ME for the GBI Medical Examiner System
• Geoffrey Smith, went to GBI and now back at FCME
• Michael Heninger, remains at FCME
• Carol Terry, now Chief ME in nearby Gwinnett County
• Eric Kiesel, now in the Tacoma, Washington area

Some of the above staff were paid by the GBI via a contract with Fulton County, and the staff did autopsies for both GBI and FCME. In 1997, the GBI went to having its own medical examiner staff. Hellmann, Koponen, Clark, Smith, and Sperry left FCME and went to work in the GBI system. Thus, in 1997, the following remained at FCME:

• Saleh Zaki
• Eric Kiesel
• Michael Heninger
• Carol Terry

Photos of previous staff are shown at the end of this report.

1997 Ground breaking for the new FCME facility to be built at 430 Pryor Street SW, Atlanta. Saleh Zaki (third from right) wields a shovel at the ceremony.
33,000 square feet, 3-building Fulton County Medical Examiner’s Center which opened in April of 1999. Randy Hanzlick has been the only Chief ME to serve in this facility.

Current forensic pathologists at the Fulton County Medical Examiner’s Center. From left, Forensic Fellow Rhome Hughes, Randy Hanzlick, Deputy Chief Medical Examiner Michele Stauffenberg (front), Forensic Fellow Anindita Issa (front), and Associate Medical Examiners Geoffrey Smith (behind Issa), Michael Heninger, and Karen Sullivan.
Smith returned from the GBI when Carol Terry left FCME to work in DeKalb and Gwinnett Counties. Heninger trained in Minneapolis (Hennepin County) and has worked at FCME since 1995. Stauffenberg trained at FCME (2001) and remained on staff. Sullivan trained at FCME (2002) and continued to work part-time, then returned full time when Eric Kiesel left for Tacoma.

In the past couple of years, Kim Collins and Jonathan Eisenstat have also worked at FCME part-time providing weekend coverage.

### Previous FCME Forensic Pathologist Staff: 1985 – 2011

Gerald Gowitt did his forensic pathology fellowship in 1986. He remained on staff until the mid 1990’s when he went to work in adjacent DeKalb County, where he later became Chief ME. His forensic pathology group has, and still does serve several other counties in Georgia which still have coroners in the greater Atlanta Metro Area. He, along with Steve Dunton, Thomas Young, and Randy Hanzlick, were the first of the Fulton County Medical Examiners to perform autopsies for the GBI, beginning in 1989.

Thomas Young trained at FCME in 1988 and stayed on staff until 1995 when he went into forensic pathology practice in Kansas City, Missouri.

David Rydzewski began his fellowship at FCME in 1988 and he remained on staff until 1990 when he moved to Carrollton, Georgia where he practices forensic pathology.
Steve Dunton, shown here in the obviously cramped facility at 50 Coca Cola Place, trained at FCME in 1989 and stayed on staff until 1996 when he went to work in adjacent DeKalb and Gwinnett Counties. Recently, he has continued his forensic pathology practice in Buffalo, NY. Dunton, along with Gerald Gowitt, Randy Hanzlick, and Tom Young were the first FCME medical examiners to do autopsies for the GBI, helping with the GBI’s efforts to cease the performance of autopsies by non-physicians.

Kris Sperry trained and worked in Albuquerque, then joined the FCME staff in 1990. He remained on staff at FCME until 1997 when he became Chief Medical Examiner for the GBI Medical Examiner system. He still holds that job today.

Mark Koponen started his FCME fellowship in 1990. He remained on staff until 1997 when several FCME medical examiners (Sperry, Hellman, Koponen, and Smith) were hired as medical examiners in the GBI Medical Examiner System. Mark has now returned to his home state of North Dakota where he continues to practice forensic pathology.
John B. Parker trained in Dallas and then joined the FCME staff on a part-time contract basis in 1990, and he worked with FCME until 2004. He then moved to Boston where he works in the Medical Examiner’s Office.

Anthony “Tony” Clark trained at FCME in 1991 and stayed on Staff until 1994 when he went to work for the GBI Medical Examiner system. He worked at the branch lab in Moultrie, GA for many years and then joined a hospital-based pathology group in Tallahassee, Florida.

Cliff Nelson came to Atlanta from Oregon to do his fellowship at FCME in 1993. He remained on staff until the end of 1994 when he returned to Oregon where he now works for the State Medical Examiner in Portland.

Carol Terry started her forensic pathology fellowship at FCME
in 1995. She remained on staff at FCME until 2004, when she went to work in nearby DeKalb and Gwinnett Counties. She now is Chief ME for Gwinnett County.

Fredrick “Rick” Hellman trained in Philadelphia and joined the FCME Staff in 1996. In 1997, he was one of the forensic pathologists to leave FCME and work with the GBI. He later returned to the Philadelphia area.

Eric Kiesel trained in Seattle, practiced forensic pathology in Washington state, then joined the FCME staff as Deputy Chief ME in 1997. He served as Acting Chief Medical Examiner in 1998. Eric left FCME in 2007 when he returned to Washington state (Tacoma).

FCME Pathologists of the 70s
In reviewing old log books, it appears that the following were among pathologists who did autopsies for the Fulton County Medical Examiner during the 1970s:

- Robert Stivers (deceased)
- Saleh Zaki
- Lawrence Alligood
- Eugene McNatt
- Joseph L. Burton (late 1970s)
- Jack Bechtel
- Charles P. Garrison (late 1970s)
- Steve Phillips (late 1970s)

None of the above practice forensic pathology today, except for Joseph Burton who does private consulting. Among the above, Stivers, Zaki, Alligood, and Burton did the most autopsies. Zaki and Phillips are board certified in forensic pathology.

The “Wall of Fame” at the Fulton County Medical Examiner’s Center bearing photographs of the nearly 40 people who have trained formally or informally in forensic pathology at FCME since 1979. James Metcalf was the first official fellow in 1979.
I was planning on becoming a pediatrician when I first entered medical school at the University of Oklahoma in 1979. As fate would have it, I was assigned to module 129, the pathology small study group that was taught by Dr. Fred Jordan. At that time Dr. Jordan was Deputy Chief Medical Examiner of the State of Oklahoma. He would come into the module telling us about these interesting cases. Pathology was becoming much more interesting for me because I really didn’t like pharmacology. It was the year 1980.

As I began my clinical rotations, I decided to take the Forensic Pathology rotation as the first rotation of my senior year of medical school. The rotation was interesting and I learned how to perform my first autopsy from Dr. A. Jay Chapman who was Chief Medical Examiner of the state of Oklahoma at that time. He would always talk about getting all “the decomps.” Whenever I do an autopsy on a decomposed individual, I remember Dr. Chapman. While at the medical examiner’s office, I met individuals such as Clyde Snow, PhD. He is a renowned forensic anthropologist and was studying artifacts from Geronimo during this time. He let me help photograph these artifacts and he showed me a lot of forensic anthropology. I also met Betty Pat Gatliff who used clay to reconstruct the faces on unidentified skulls.

After medical school, I did a four-year residency in anatomic and clinical pathology at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center in Oklahoma City. After completing my residency, it was time to find a forensic pathology fellowship. The Oklahoma Medical Examiner’s Office did not have funding for their fellowship at that time. I asked Dr. Jordan for advice on what programs I should apply for. I applied for several programs; however, I was impressed with the first program that I interviewed at and accepted their offer.

I began my fellowship in Forensic Pathology at the IUPUI campus in Indianapolis under the direction of Dr. John Pless. The other staff pathologists were Dr. Dean Hawley and Dr. Michael Clark. Dr. Pless did his fellowship in Oklahoma under Dr. Jim Luke who was the first chief medical examiner of Oklahoma.
I thought it was only fitting that I was from Oklahoma and did my fellowship in Indianapolis. The year in Indianapolis was wonderful. The staff treated me like family. Dr. Pless would give me tickets to the symphony and other cultural events. I met Dr. Neal Haskell who was getting his PhD in forensic entomology at that time. That was where I learned how to make “maggot motels”.

After my forensic pathology fellowship, it was time to pay back the United States Air Force for my scholarship to medical school. Initially, the Air Force was planning on sending me to the Philippines to do surgical pathology. Fortunately, Dr. Michael Clark had been Chief of Forensic Pathology at the AFIP and he was able to intervene and get me stationed at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) in the Forensic Pathology Division.

When I arrived at the AFIP, the Armed Forces Medical Examiner was becoming a reality. I worked under Dr. Richard Froede who was the first Armed Forces Medical Examiner. Additional colleagues included the following forensic pathologists: Dr. William Gormley, Dr. Glenn Wagner, Dr. Donald Wright, Dr. William Rodriguez, Dr. Victor Weeden, Dr. Charles Springate, Dr. Jerry Spencer, Dr. Joyce Carter, Dr. Carl Stacy, Dr. Jack Daniels, Dr. Deborah Kay, Dr. Art Burns and many other residents, forensic odontologists, and support personnel. Some of the major operations included “Just Cause” in Panama, the USS Iowa explosion, Desert Storm/Desert Shield, and identification of key executives of Conoco in Borneo. I was also involved in investigating numerous aircraft accidents. The Air Force also sent me to flight surgeon school at Brooks AFB, San Antonio, TX. I was glad that I had attended survival training during medical school. It is a big difference in being 23 years-old vs 35 years-old when I was in flight surgeon school. Dr. Joyce Carter and I were the course directors for the Forensic Pathology Course at the AFIP. While at the AFIP, I was involved in setting up the DMORT program. I worked on the Oklahoma City bombing case through DMORT.

During Desert Storm, the Deputy Chief Medical Examiner in Dallas was called to active duty at Dover AFB. He worked under me at that time. Dallas had just appointed Dr. Jeffrey Barnard as chief medical examiner. The deputy chief told me that there would be three new openings at Dallas if I was interested. I applied and Dr. Barnard hired me. He held the position for one year until I had completed my Air Force obligations. I started my work in Dallas in June of 1992 as a medical examiner and became Deputy Chief Medical Examiner in October 2004.

During my tenure in Dallas, there have been many outstanding fellows. Among those are Dr. Karen Ross, Dr. Mark Fischione, Dr. Joe Prahlow, Dr. Susan Comfort, Dr. Nick Batalis, Dr. Leon Kelly, Dr. Frank Miller, Dr. Evan Matshes, Dr. Meredith Lann, Dr. Reade Quinton, Dr. Jill Urban, Dr. Tracy Dyer, Dr. Mary Anzalone, Dr. Darshan Phatak, Dr. Morna Gonsoulin, Dr. Kathy Haden and others whom I apologize if their names are not listed.

I became a member of NAME in 1988. The meetings are intellectually informative as well as entertaining. The group trips, scientific field trips and other social events are important ways to network among colleagues. This is what separates NAME from the AAFS. I have served on numerous committees of NAME. I have also had the honor of being Vice-President of NAME, President of NAME, and Chairman of the Board of Directors of NAME. I served 6 years as a member of the Board of Directors. NAME has become like family. Mary Fran Ernest and Denise McNally have become friends. Both have been dedicated to NAME for many years. Mary Fran will be missed as our meeting manager.

As a medical examiner, I realize that every day is a gift. Life is short. My advice to new forensic pathologists is to tell the truth. If you don’t know the answer to a question, tell the individual that you don’t know. Use
common sense. Remember that we speak for the dead. Never compromise your principles for money.

I have enjoyed my career as a forensic pathologist. I have traveled the world and seen things that I never would have imagined. Being a forensic pathologist is an interesting and exciting career. You will meet all kinds of people and see amazing cases. Each case is unique and I continue to learn something new everyday. Forensic pathology is never boring.

When asked if I would choose this career again, the answer is definitely YES! I continue to enjoy every day at SWIFS. It makes it especially nice to have a new building and a new office after waiting 18 ½ years.

I would also like to thank Dr. Jeffrey J. Barnard for being a great boss and friend during my 19 years at SWIFS. I would like to acknowledge the current staff at SWIFS: Dr. Jeffrey J. Barnard, Chief Medical Examiner, Dr. Janis Townsend-Parchman, Dr. Lynn Salzberger, Dr. Jill Urban, Dr. Keith Pinckard, Dr. Reade Quinton, Dr. Che Gwin, and Dr. Tracy Dyer. We are a good team and we get the most unusual and interesting cases everyday.

Joni L. McClain, M.D.
Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
I think it was an inevitable outcome. I have always sensed that my curiosity about death went beyond most people's interest. I was determined to be a physician since I was a child, if for no other reason than to be one. In college, Dr. Wimsat, whose research involved bats, introduced me to histology and organology. I loved it. Now if only I could get a paying job where I could do this all day. In medical school, I decided to be a pathologist and after listening to a medical examiner (actually he was a coroner) for two hours, I knew that is what I wanted to do every day.

Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner
I have been the Chief Medical Examiner of Palm Beach County in Florida for six years, since 2005. This is the longest I have ever held a single job in one place. I have never been a Chief anywhere else, although I did apply for the Chief position in Massachusetts in 2004. I am so glad I didn’t get that job!

Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner
Remaining employed! Let’s face it. When things go wrong, you are the target. But seriously, I am proud of the people who work in my office. They make all the difference and are the reason why I will stay. I am also proud that our office is NAME accredited.

Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences
I have written journal articles and book chapters pertaining to forensic pathology. I have been a reviewer for the major forensic pathology journals. I am also active in AAFS, NAME, and FAME.

Recollections of places I have trained and worked
I trained at the Broward Medical Examiner Office in Fort Lauderdale, Florida at the infancy of its Forensic Program. The Office was an exciting place to work and autopsies were varied and plentiful. I gained much
valuable experience from that office.
Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned

Dr. Larry G. Tate of the Broward Medical Examiner office personally spent a large amount of time with me during my training. Dr. Ron Wright was the Chief who I admired and tried to emulate - including his often cavalier demeanor. Dr. Jim Benz taught me the importance of a thorough report.

Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities
One of the more frustrating problems in Florida is the “Earnhardt Law,” which narrowly restricts the use of autopsy photographs. Teaching and publishing scientific articles in forensic pathology has suffered because of this stupid law.

Perspectives I gained as a medical examiner
Don’t show trials on television.

Difficult cases I have managed and how I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety, and personal performance issues
Child abuse cases are difficult because the examinations are extraordinarily detailed and will be scrutinized beyond that which occurs in most other cases. The scrutiny is not what bothers me. It is often the bizarre and deliberately contrary opinions proffered by those who would have come to the same opinion as me if it had been their case. High profile cases will also turn your hair gray. I find talking to others helps reduce my anxiety and put things in perspective.

Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field
You have to love autopsies. Don’t take things personally. Avoid taking sides in a trial.

How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse?
Definitely for the better.

Knowing what I do now, would I “do it again” under the same circumstances as when I began, or under today’s circumstances?
Hell, yes! Imagine working from sunrise into the evening, like a surgeon, or treating colds all day, seeing patients for 10-15 minutes and worrying about reimbursement. How about spending your days looking down a patient’s gullet or up their butts?  No thank you.

Michael D. Bell, M.D.
Chapter 14

John C. Hunsaker, III, M.D., J.D.
NAME President 2006
Associate Chief Medical Examiner State of Kentucky (1983-Present)

Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
My anfractuous journey to the practice of forensic pathology began as an undergraduate at Yale College. At that time, many of my classmates planned to go into medicine and, without any deep-seated passion, I enrolled in the premedical courses, over the four-year stint completing biology, inorganic chemistry, calculus, and physics. As a German major, I was recruited during senior year by the National Security Agency, an offer I almost accepted in June, 1964. Deciding I did not want to be a bureaucrat at the time, I declined the offer. Fortuitously during that summer, I applied to and entered the University of Kentucky College of Law. During law school I worked as a clerk for a local attorney in Lexington, KY, whose civil practice included interaction with physicians of many specialities. He assigned me to do research for a paper on pretrial interviews with medical witnesses, which subsequently appeared in the Kentucky Law Journal. From that research I discovered there were MD-JD’s, some affiliated with the American College of Legal Medicine (ACLM), who combined the interface of law and medicine in many interesting ways. During law school, I toyed with the notion of ultimately practicing law and medicine in some—at that time undetermined— fashion.

After law school, I served two years (1968-69) in the US Army performing legal work for a military intelligence unit in Germany, then (1970-72) worked several years as a teaching assistant while completing a master’s degree in German at the University of Kentucky. I was able to take advantage of GI benefits. During graduate school I familiarized myself with the ACLM, whose fellows then had combined degrees in law and medicine. After refreshing myself through class work in the premedical courses over approximately a year and taking the MCAT, I entered the University of Kentucky College of Medicine in fall, 1973. During medical school the disciplines with formal, established law-medicine interactions were psychiatry and pathology. I did early realize that psychiatry was a discipline that I would have difficulty coming to grips with. In stark contrast, the hands-on approach of pathology, which emphasized concrete observations via various modalities and inference that not infrequently afforded the pathologist the good fortune to reach
a reasonable, intelligible final diagnosis, was compelling. The challenge of solving the puzzle invigorated and engaged me. I was hooked. Accordingly, I started the AP-CP residency at University of Kentucky, then chaired by Dr. Abner Golden, with the idea of learning as much as I could and entering forensic pathology fellowship.

During the 1970’s the Commonwealth of Kentucky enacted legislation creating the Medical Examiner program to assist the constitutionally elected officers, i.e. lay coroner’s, in investigating delineated types of human death. George R. Nichols, M.D. became the first Chief Medical Examiner based in Louisville, Kentucky, and was assisted early on by his first forensic pathology fellow, Dr. Barbara Weakley-Jones. The program included appointment of an Associate Chief Medical Examiner at the University of Kentucky. Mr. David Jones, then Executive Director of the program, ultimately selected Dr. William Hamilton, who was freshly out of the forensic pathology fellowship at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. Dr. Hamilton was appointed Associate Chief Medical Examiner and faculty member at the University of Kentucky in the late 70’s. So, during my regular pathology residency, I had the opportunity to complete several months of forensic pathology under Dr. Hamilton. Although not adopting a complete laissez-faire approach, Bill gave me a lot of freedom in pursuing the cases, which constituted a great learning experience. The differences in approach and goals between “traditional” and forensic pathology became clearer. And in those days, even though I had no board certification, I was called to testify in several cases in criminal court, where the only qualification to be admitted as an expert was to have a medical license.

By 1980 my course to pursue FP was well established. Initially, I had hoped to stay in Central Kentucky area and do a forensic pathology fellowship at the Hamilton County Coroner’s Office, then run by Dr. Frank Cleveland and headed up by two outstanding forensic pathologists, Dr. Charles Hirsch and Dr. Ross Zumwalt. Unfortunately, I was in competition with Dr. Carl Parrot for that fellowship position, which he eventually was offered. In due course, he became Coroner of Hamilton County for many years. I had also considered a Fellowship with Page Hudson and Dr. John Butts at University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. There were many attractive features about that program and the individuals in it. In early 1981 I became aware of an opening for Fellow at the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Washington, DC. As my wife at that time was an attorney, we elected to pursue the opportunity in D.C., and I became a member of the staff and fellow at that office in July, 1981. (My wife, Ann, was appointed to a high position in the Justice Department). The Chief was Dr. Jim Luke, the Deputy Chief, Dr. Brian Blackbourne, and Dr. Rok Woon Kim was one of the staff members together with Dr. Douglas Dixon.

At around that time, Dr. Stuart Dawson, who had just completed a fellowship at Hamilton County, OH, became the new member of the staff. In sum, it was a wonderful crew of forensic pathologists with widely different experiences and personalities for a novice like me. D.C. was a great venue. One could easily go to death scenes. The spectrum of cases, including victims brought in to the medical meccas from MD and VA, was large, with special concentration on gunshot wounds and heroin-related deaths. Having access to specialists from federal agencies was a distinct bonus. Another wonderful aspect of that fellowship was regular interaction with a host of celebrities from the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Anthropology at the Smithsonian, and meetings of the Mid Atlantic Forensic Pathology Association [D.C. ME, AFIP, Northern VA ME, MD ME] when Dr. Russell Fisher was still active. I became associated with the D.C. office at a time just before funding and organization issues started to decline, as a result of which most of the staff had left that office by the mid 1980’s. Those nineteen months were fulfilling. The approach to official medicolegal death investigation, which was exemplified by members of that office in D.C., made me firmly convinced that forensic pathology was the right career choice for me.
In the meantime, Dr. Hamilton had left the position of Associate Chief Medical Examiner at the University of Kentucky Medical Center, and that position remained open for several years. I was invited to return to Kentucky by officials of the Justice Cabinet and the University Of Kentucky Department Of Pathology. Accepting that offer, I returned to Kentucky in February of 1983, and since that time (over 28 years!) I have served as Associate Chief Medical Examiner and joined the faculty of the Pathology Department, a tenured position with eventual promotion to Professor while heading up the Division of Forensic Pathology.

**Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner.**
As I note above, I have never served as Chief Medical Examiner. I served as a Deputy Medical Examiner in Washington, D.C. for a brief period in the early 80’s and as Associate Chief Medical Examiner in the Commonwealth of Kentucky since 1983.

**Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner.**
Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner mutatis mutandis (see # 2) first and foremost relate to opportunities to educate a whole generation of residents in pathology about the practice of forensic pathology. During the course of a 4-year residency, the postgraduate trainees typically spend at least several months on the forensic pathology rotation. Some of these residents have chosen to go into Forensic Pathology (see below # 7). Also in the area of education, I and members of the Division of Forensic Pathology provide formal lectures to 2nd year medical students at the University of Kentucky, offer elective rotations for senior medical students from the University of Kentucky and other schools of medicine, and also participate in the training of graduate students in the Graduate School of Toxicology at the University. In addition to the more formal training, there have been many occasions over the last nearly three decades in which trainees in various fields, ranging from nursing and EMS, together with trainees in various arms of law enforcement, regularly visit this office for information about medicolegal death investigation and to observe an autopsy. So a major accomplishment for me has been participation in the education of students and trainees in various areas. Another significant accomplishment has been the provision of expert testimony in a variety of courts, predominantly criminal courts in Kentucky, over the years. As Associate Chief Medical Examiner, I have also participated in the training of coroners of Kentucky, who are lay coroners (i.e., neither physicians nor pathologists), and who initiated the process of death investigation in Kentucky coroner’s cases. Even though the process has been slow and occasionally arduous, the education of the coroners has lead to significant improvement in medicolegal death investigation in Kentucky, and I am proud to have participated with the current Chief ME, Dr. Tracey Corey in that accomplishment.

**Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences.**
Above all, I have been an author, mostly in collaboration with others, of peer-reviewed papers, which have appeared in various journals familiar to NAME, certainly including the major forensic journals and in other recognized journals outside the field of forensic pathology. I have also collaborated on book chapters on various topics in forensics, primarily involving aspects of forensic pathology and, to a lesser degree, of forensic toxicology. Like many medical examiners, I participated in various conferences and symposia put together by various medical, legal, and health-field related groups. For example, I lectured before emergency medical physicians and before attorneys (collision dynamics, sponsored by Kentucky Associate of Trial Attorneys), and various coroners’ and medical examiners’ associations in such places as Indiana and Virginia. I was the co-editor of a chapter on “Autopsies” in the Fourth Edition of the Lawyers’ Medical Cyclopeda, and I contributed an article in medicolegal primer of the ACLM. Other contributions in behalf of FP and forensic sciences include service on the editorial board of the **American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology** (thanks to Dr. Vincent DiMaio, known well to this audience and one of the best spokespersons for the field), as North American editor for **Forensic Science, Medicine, and**
Pathology (having a gratifying collaboration with Dr. Roger Byard of Australia and Dr. Michael Tsokos of Germany, both indefatigable researchers and writers), and, most recently, as a reviewer for the newly instituted NAME-Sponsored Academic Forensic Pathology.

I have participated in a variety of professional organizations in both medicine and law, including the Kentucky Bar Association, Kentucky Medical Association, the AMA and ABA, and as a Fellow on the ACLM. In addition, I have been long affiliated with the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, having been selected as a Fellow in the 1980’s and served as an Officer of the Pathology/Biology Section (Secretary 2003-04 and Chair 2004-05). Part of that service included the responsibility as program co-chair (with Dr. Donna Stewart), for one of the annual meetings in Atlanta. I have been associated with NAME since 1980’s, serving as a member of the Board of Directors and also the Executive Committee in the mid 2000’s. I had the honor of being elected Vice President in 2005, President in 2006, and Chair of the Board of NAME in 2007. In all of these positions I have striven to advance the goals, policies, and best practices on the field of pathology. One small contribution to that end was a published editorial in the orange journal on NAME Accreditation and Professional Practice Standards (Hunsaker III, J.C. A Word from the President (Editorial). Am J Forensic Med Pathol 2006;27:197-199.)

Recollections of places I have trained and worked.
As noted, my training in pathology was AP/CP at the University of Kentucky and a Fellow in FP at the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Washington, D.C. The experiences as a pathology resident were influenced by highly regarded professionals such as Dr. Golden, Dr. Deborah Powell, Dr. William O’Connor, Dr. Mike Cibull, Dr. Norbert Tietz, Dr. Kosheki Yoneda, all of whom were consummate academicians and teachers with wide recognition and who offered a thorough, rigorous program of study. All of these mentors were excellent diagnosticians, who advocated the school of thought which held that the autopsy examination needed to be extensive, thorough, and evidence-based and, further, who were meticulous and demanding in making the correct diagnosis in surgical pathology. Laboratory pathology, including clinical chemistry experience under the world renowned Dr. N. Tietz of text book fame, allowed me to appreciate ways to reasonably ensure that the results of any study done was accurate and consistent with established specificity, sensitivity, and positive predictive value.

Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned.
Dr. Jim Luke, Chief in Washington, D.C. trained under Dr. Milton Helpern in New York City. He was exemplary in his approach to death investigation, aiming to find out what happened in each individual’s death, and adopting the methodology to answer that question in a scientific and reasoned way. Dr. Douglas Dixon, who previously had worked at the AFIP, was a very skilled pathologist, who had written some seminal papers involving various aspects of cutaneous gunshot wounds, in part based upon animal experiments. These have become standard references in the field. He was a meticulous prosector, a very active and articulate teacher, and the epitome of organization. Dr. Brian Blackbourne, having trained at Miami under Dr. Joe Davis, was Deputy in the D.C. office, had great organizational skills, was an outstanding pathologist, and loved to teach. Dr. Stuart Dawson, relatively new and young pathologist at the time we worked together, had an undergraduate degree in physics. His intellect and ingenious ways of dealing with pathological issues were of great value to me as I progressed at that office.

On my return to Kentucky, I was initially a solo practitioner with a secretary and a forensic technician, based at the University of Kentucky. Colleagues in the Department of Pathology, some of whom I have mentioned above, and clinicians in the medical school were indispensable consultants through a wide range of cases. It was my good fortune that there many resources, both in pathology and on the clinical and
laboratory services. The clinicians and faculty at that institution are too numerous to count in the terms of thanking them for contributing to my education. Dr. George R. Nichols was the Chief Medical Examiner at the time, based in Louisville, Kentucky. Whenever I had questions or difficult problems, I would arrange to meet with him for sage advice. Since the earlier days, the number of forensic pathologists in KY has increased to more than ten; all have been helpful collaborators in the journey of continuing education. In particular, the current Chief, Dr. Tracey Corey, is a proactive administrator, teacher, and advisor, who have seen the program through tough times with success. I have learned a lot and been humbled occasionally by the astute findings and observations of dedicated forensic autopsy technicians, among whom Ms. Winnie Stanton and Mrs. Annette Carter deserve special recognition.

I have garnered much insight and wisdom from the universe of colleagues, particularly in AAFS and NAME, who have participated and presented in the annual conferences. Dr. Gregory J. Davis has been a reliable colleague for nearly twenty years, and personifies the desirable attributes of dispassionate analysis, intellectual honesty, the education of pathology residents, an outstanding writer, a proactive public servant, and a source of wisdom and medical knowledge. Dr. Emily Craig, Forensic Anthropologist in KY for nearly twenty-five years, has been an active collaborator and teacher, who have done much to advance the KY program. I also pay homage to her predecessor, Dr. David Wolf. I have enjoyed working from time to time with Dr. Mark Bernstein, Forensic Odontologist, internationally regarded and a fount of knowledge. Choosing the right consultants is a major charge of the medical examiner. I acknowledge Dr. Peter Oeltgen as a valuable contributor as clinical chemist. Many neuropathologists have made invaluable contributions to my education and to the KY system, and to the following I am indebted: Dr. William Markesbery; Dr. Dianne Wilson; Dr. Daron Davis; Dr. Joseph Parker; Dr. M. Gregory Balko; Dr. Richard Reichard; and Dr. Michael Johnson.

Recollections about people I have trained.

Although I have never overseen a forensic pathology fellowship program as a director, several residents in pathology have become active forensic pathologists, including the following: Dr. Karen Chancellor; Dr. Stacy Turner; Dr. Donna Stewart, Dr. Sam Simmons, Dr. Polly Purcell, and Dr. Jen Schott. I served as one of several fellowship directors for Dr. Victoria Graham within the program at the Office of the Associate Chief Medical Examiner. Each resident stands out as an amazing dynamo in undertaking forensic investigations with great initiative at a very early stage in his/her career development. Some now head up offices (Dr. Chancellor), and some are very active in writing and editorship for forensic publications (Dr. Stewart).

Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities.

With rare exception, budgetary issues have always been in play in Kentucky as a medical examiner in the dual medical-coroner system. In most cases, if one is persuasive in making the appropriate argument, funding would be available to do a specific type of study, as indicated by good forensic pathology practice. Making the argument to the State Legislature and other funding agencies in order to maintain salary and benefits for staff, as well as for professionals in the office, has not always been a successful endeavor. It is difficult to explain to staff lack of any raise over several years. Another general frustration is just dealing with the bureaucrats within the state government, most of whose officials either have no idea about the practice of forensic pathology or have no desire to inform themselves. The short-range cost-saving demands of the bureaucrats range from purchasing the cheapest gloves possible, those which invariably tear, as a cost saving measure as opposed to giving permission to purchase the gloves requested by members of the office, to begging for funding to replace a decrepit, outdated, inefficient dictating system.
One of the frustrations in this particular position has been the wide spectrum of competencies of various officials (the classic bell shaped curve), including lay coroners and law enforcement. Many lay coroners in Kentucky are clearly outstanding investigators who understand the issues in a given case and proactively do what is necessary. At the other extreme there are coroners who believe apparently that their job is to go to a scene of death, put the cadaver in a body bag, and ship it to the medical examiner.

**Academic involvement through research, education, and training.**

I have participated in training of various officials in Kentucky, ranging from fire officials to coroners, those involved in mass disaster planning, emergency medical technicians, and various specialties in the health care professions ranging from nurses to respiratory therapists. Certainly this office has been actively involved in the training of members of law enforcement, including sheriff officials and local police department as well as the Kentucky State Police. All of these activities constitute a significant component of the academic involvement.

In the earlier half of my career, I have the opportunity to work with a research biochemist, who has special interest in neuropathology, Dr. Larry Sparks. He and I collaborated in academic, bench-driven research in the realm of organic heart disease and relationship to various changes in the central nervous system; and in CNS reviews on deaths once considered to be Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (that classification more recently falling into less acceptable practice or terminology). Early on in my career I was fortunate to receive a small grant having to do with time of death by comparing certain chemicals in the putamen to the vitreous potassium levels (1984-85. Determination of Postmortem Interval by Putaminal Levels of 3-Methoxytyramine, BRSG Principal Investigator. Funded ($4500, 1 yr.). I also was more the research academician, when I participated in a grant as co-investigator: 1990-95. Senile Plaques in Alzheimer’s and Heart Diseases. ADRC, NIH, Project 0003. Funded, 1990. ($592,189, 5 yrs.)

As I noted above, I have been regularly and actively involved in the education of residents in pathology and medical students, predominantly in the field of Forensic Pathology and, to a lesser degree, Forensic Toxicology (interpretative toxicology).

**Legislative change in which I was involved.**

I have not been involved directly in any changes in the legislature, relating to death investigation, coroners and medical examiners laws, elder abuse, or child abuse. I was one of many who supported legislation that became law affecting the training of KY coroners. I was instrumental in having an outmoded definition of SIDS in the statutes abolished.

**My contributions to the field of forensic pathology.**

My major contributions have consisted of participation as an officer in the pathology/biology section of the AAFS, and as an officer and member of the Board of Directors and Executive committee of NAME. I have also been reasonably active as an individual who has either served as an editor on various forensic journals or author/co-author in various forensic journals and textbooks.

I wrote an editorial while President of NAME on NAME’S 2-pronged approach to improving medicolegal death investigation, namely office accreditation and guidelines for forensic practitioners (see above). I am pleased to have collaborated with many in the organization to have seen the practice standards come to fruition and be approved by the membership during my presidency. With much gratitude to Dr. Randy Hanzlick, I was an author on guidelines for manner of death, which was approved by NAME some years ago, and, if nothing else, has lead to much discussion about that elusive concept known as manner of death.
Perspectives I gained as a medical examiner.
Observation by the senses, primarily but not exclusively visual and tactile, is a starting point for sound conclusions. In a specific investigation, it is prudent to hypothesize different possibilities as to why given individual died, but the hypothesis should not stand in the way of observation. Commonly, thorough and reliable circumstantial investigation and review of history are more important than the findings at necropsy in discovering why the person died. Never venture out beyond the evidence, physical or otherwise. Use language appropriate for the audience, certainly including family members of the deceased and lay members of the jury panel at trial. Don’t provide any form of service or advice to attorneys in civil actions until the ground rules are clearly laid out as to the means of payment for professional services is clearly established, i.e., don’t get bamboozled or tricked more than once by deceptive or dishonest attorneys. Basic rules for testimony at trial are simple: tell the truth; say I don’t know when you don’t; say I cannot recall, if you can’t; don’t answer rhetorical questions; don’t answer questions by any attorney if you do not except the underlying premise (the old “when did you stop beating your wife” question). Don’t be condescending to anyone, including courtroom participants and students at various levels. While testifying, answer the question posed by any attorney as briefly as possible, and certainly reply “yes” or “no” if indicated and compatible with your sense of intellectual honesty. Don’t hesitate to request guidance from the judge. In recent times, the “CSI” effect is real and requires skill to overcome the misleading notions by attorneys, judges, juries, and the public on what the real world of medicolegal death investigation is in fact about.

Difficult cases I have managed.
In every death investigation of an infant or a young human, and irrespective of whether the cause of death was traumatic or natural, I have considered those cases difficult because the interests of so many are greatly affected by the decisions that the forensic pathologist makes. It requires humility and forthrightness to discuss such cases with all interests, and is particularly trying when dealing with the next of kin. Many cases, which, for whatever reason, gain a lot of attention from the media and the public, are commonly circumstances that require management skills beyond the training as a forensic pathologist attempting to answer the medical and investigative issues. Like many in the practice, I have encountered some “never ending” cases, usually falling into two categories: (a) one in which the conclusion on manner of death was suicide, and vocal, sometimes angry interests of the deceased vigorously opposed that conclusion for various reasons; and (b) the other cases in which family members believe that medical personnel were negligence in diagnosis or treatment, which caused the death, and vigorously oppose the conclusions and findings at autopsy, which run counter to their interests as plaintiffs in a medical malpractice or similar law suit. In more recent times, the growing controversy over trauma in infancy and childhood requires great skills and wisdom in reaching conclusions based on the state of medical and biomechanical science coupled with findings at autopsy.

Cases that are particularly challenging as well are those in which politics in various contexts plays a role. Performing forensic death investigation on a former governor of Kentucky or state representatives requires especial thoroughness and articulation of the issues to media. Another form of politics occurs when a police officer either kills or is killed by someone. Many of these cases are difficult in the jurisdiction where I work because there is not much separation between the agency of which the individual was a member and the agency investigating.

The most outstanding example of a case like this in my experience was that of a trooper who was found with a contact gunshot wound of the forehead and his weapon next to him, as he was seated on an embankment near his parked official vehicle. Firearms residue tests were conducted at least three times, and all of which showed abundant residue on both hands. In the vast majority of cases of this kind, the investigative
officers would have had little doubt in deciding that such a case was suicide. However, for whatever reason, investigators of the very same post in which this individual worked concluded immediately that it was a homicide. So when I initially considered the manner to be undetermined pending investigation, hoards of individuals from throughout the organization came to meet with me and to go over my findings. The coroner involved in the case declined to sign the death certificate in the matter, because he opined it was a suicide. In essence, the case was removed from my hands and moved upstairs in the organization. Indicators in the background investigation by an honest detective at that post established that there was much in the deceased’s background to support the notion of suicide. Of course, this never came to light since the manner was under investigation and being worked as a homicide. Now, more than two decades later, this individual’s name is memorialized in stone as an officer killed in the line of duty, and his family has received considerable compensation from various governments. Until I am convinced otherwise, my belief is that his killer in this “cold case” will never be found.

I have been involved with varying degrees of responsibility in the investigation of mass catastrophes, including the Air Florida crash of 1982 in Washington, D.C., the Air Canada fire in Northern Kentucky in 1983, and more recently the Comair crash in Lexington, Kentucky, in 2006. Such mass fatality events require the expertise and efforts of many individuals and agencies from various governmental levels, and certainly I was not the manager of these but actively involved in the investigations. Investigating multi-fatality casualties in plane crashes in the mountains of Eastern Kentucky is an especially difficult investigation, notably as that there is extensive skeletal and soft tissue trauma and widely dispersed fragmentation coupled with effects of post-crash fire. Again, such investigations are a team effort, and I certainly owe special thanks to Dr. Emily Craig, for many years the forensic anthropologist for Kentucky, and to many coroners of KY, who played indispensable roles in such investigations.

How I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety, personal performance issues
My particular approach in dealing with the stresses of the job is to focus on the reason for the investigation, namely, to answer critical questions about what happened to the individual and the cause of the individual’s death. Recollection of certain cases always causes my eyes to moisten, and certainly I have had many tear-filled discussions with family members over the years. How one phrases findings certainly is very important to the next of kin. I try to be honest but considerate in answering such questions as “Did my love one suffer?”. Those who know me reasonably well likely consider me a workaholic, but when I am not at work my major leisure activity is reading German, both contemporary, political and social issues, as well as literature. I never tire reading Goethe’s Faust, but admit that part II is a real humdinger.

Other recollections.
Over the last nearly three decades I do specifically recognize the prosecutors of Kentucky, who have never placed any pressure on me with regard to the findings in the given investigation. Moreover, they have never attempted to discover the results of a conversation with defense attorneys. Members of the public defenders’ office are to be congratulated for their yeoman’s work in the face of overwhelming workloads and painfully low pay. In current times, most attorneys in civil matters are honest. Some attorneys have “stiffed” me, like so many others, by refusing after the fact to pay for consultation or investigation, with the argument that this is an official, publicly funded state autopsy and investigation.

Advice for forensic pathologist entering the field.
Like the decision for making any career choice, I recommend those interested to learn as much as one can about the field. Autopsy practice requires an alert observer and an inquisitive mind. What is discovered in a case may,
to your joy, rebut the initial hypothesis and lead to insight and create reasonable certainty about a cause of death. Not only is your sense of worth fulfilled, but you have contributed greatly to the commonweal. Although you will in spite of the best intentions occasionally fail if conclusions are drawn too hastily, you will best serve your mission and justice by being excruciatingly cautious and humble. Always be a life-long learner and devote your practice to the scaffold of science.

Realize that every case is unique, and that one can usually learn something of value from that case. Become keenly aware of the wide range of changes that the human body can experience under various natural and traumatic conditions, and develop a strategy personally to cope with such changes; do not fail to attend autopsies with such changes before deciding to become a forensic pathologist. Be aware that your work product will be scrutinized critically and at times unfairly by others in your specialty and by attorneys. Develop a thick skin in the sense that, for example, while testifying you may be subject to personal attacks in addition to fielding questions about your intelligence or experience. A good way to deal with some of those issues is to communicate with the attorney who called you to the court in the first place, so that attorney can take the appropriate steps during trial to counteract such offensive tactics by opposing counsel. Do not fudge findings and conclusions in order to please those who have hired you. Don't personally attack any colleagues who disagree with you for whatever reason. Irrespective of compensation, do not choose a work environment or location that you, and your family, really don't want to be a part of. Become active and participate in the organizations designed for the specialty.

I have learned that the most successful offices are ones who have leaders that tend to the politics of the office every day and are successful advocates for the cause of death investigation. These leaders are able to explain to the lay politicians the value of funding for various types of operations in order to answer the questions and responsibility mandated by legislation. Even though the forensic pathologist may be considered the “Captain of the Ship” in a given death investigation, reliance on consultants and specialists from a host of fields is indispensable, and going at it alone usually results in no success.

**How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse?**

For the most part, I believe there has been an increase in the general competence of forensic pathologists, although, unfortunately, there are people still “practicing” forensic pathology without any or only minimal qualifications, and whose poor performance has resulted in oversight of the specialty by unfriendly, outside interests. The improvements have come in spurts and sporadically over time, most notably via the establishment of accreditation for offices and standards for practitioners individually by NAME. These are certainly great strides forward, but still have yet to have wide application for various reasons. Also and in general, remuneration for many practitioners in certain jurisdictions is extremely low and inappropriate for the degree of training and expertise. It appears to be true that only when crises arise in such jurisdictions do the funding agencies eventually see the wisdom in upgrading salary, working conditions, facilities, and the like. This process has been excruciatingly slow. It is well known that many highly qualified people have chosen to pursue as a primary occupation other fields in pathology because of the question of compensation. Certainly an improvement in the field of forensic pathology is manifested in the digital age where such nearly immediate communication via the “LISTSERV” that provides opportunities for collegial discussion. Another change in the field is that virtually in any criminal case it is necessary for the defense to have an expert evaluate the materials and possibly testify at trial; in that sense there is a lot more work for forensic pathologists and, overall, is certainly a type of quality control over one’s work.

**Knowing what I do now, would I “do it again” under the same circumstances when I began, or under**
today’s circumstances?
Being a Kentuckian, I probably would, even in hindsight, have chosen to practice in Kentucky, which is a duel coroner/medical examiner system. I certainly had the opportunity to do a fellowship and work as a peer in the medical examiners office in Washington, D.C. before its collapse. That system, if properly supported and funded, is an ideal setting to practice the profession. Since I began working in Kentucky in the early 80’s, there have been vast improvements in death investigation, although, as they say, there is still a long way to go, and it is an evolutionary process in engaging elective coroners in some instances to conduct proper investigations proactively.

Personal information such as family, hobbies and interests.
As I noted above, I have tended to focus too much on work and neglected family life. I have been married three times, I thank my wives for having put with me for as long as they did, and am comforted to know that they have moved on and apparently are doing well. I have one son, John IV, who is now 41 and has two master degrees, just having completed one in management of non-profit organizations at Brandeis University, Waltham, MA. I was a very active athlete in high school and college years, having played baseball at Yale and been chosen the most valuable player in my senior year, 1964. So a hobby, which is diminished over the years, is being a spectator of various sports. As noted, my primary outside interest is reading contemporary German-language books, magazines, newspapers, and journals, and German literature, including re-reading some novels, poems, and dramas first encountered during my undergraduate years.

John C. Hunsaker, III, M.D., J.D.
Chapter 15

Joseph A. Prahlow, M.D.
NAME President – 2007
Forensic Pathologist, South Bend Medical Foundation (1999-present)

Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
Ultimately, I chose forensic pathology as a career based on my exposure to and interactions with various forensic pathologists during my formal medical school education at Indiana University School of Medicine and my pathology residency training at Wake Forest; however, prior to that, I sort-of “backed into” pathology as a career. During the summer between my first and second years of medical school, I participated in an externship program, operated via the medical school, in which I rotated with various physicians in order to become exposed to the “real world” of medicine. It was a very eye-opening experience for me. In fact, I was advised by a majority of the physicians with whom I rotated to “get out of medicine while you can.” Many of these physicians were very unhappy with their work. They had experienced medicine in the “golden age,” without much of the bureaucracy and government intervention that now exists. As they dealt with this intrusion, many of them became quite frustrated. Ultimately, I stuck with it, based on some excellent advice by several individuals. As I looked back on that summer, I realized that the pathologists with whom I worked seemed to be some of the most happy in their work. As I rotated through my 3rd year rotations, I quickly began eliminating career choices. Medicine and surgery were given the “nix” almost immediately. I wasn't too keen on pathology because of a very unfortunate second year pathology course experience (the course was “taught” by a pathologist who had never taught anything…very unorganized…very frustrating for all students). Eventually, after recognizing that “pathology the course” was not equivalent to “pathology the career,” I settled on pathology, because of my love of the basic sciences and my love for “problem-solving.” I did a one-month rotation in forensic pathology with Dr. John Pless, Mike Clark, and Dean Hawley early in my 4th year, and I was hooked. I had the great fortune of doing my pathology residency at Wake Forest, with Pat Lantz, Greg Davis, and Don Jason, where my love of forensics was confirmed and grew tremendously.
Places and times served as chief medical examiner.
Although the term “chief medical examiner” does not exist where I am currently employed, I can be considered the “chief forensic pathologist” here at the South Bend Medical Foundation, where I have been employed since July of 1999. The South Bend Medical Foundation is a large, not-for-profit pathology laboratory in South Bend, IN, which serves many of the area hospitals and clinics, including offices/hospitals in numerous Midwestern states. We have approximately 20 pathologists. I perform a bulk of the forensic (coroner) and hospital autopsies for the local community. I also am a professor of pathology, responsible for teaching the second year pathology course, at Indiana University School of Medicine-South Bend at the University of Notre Dame.

Major accomplishments as chief
I think that providing solid, consistent, and professional forensic pathology service to the local community has been one of two major accomplishments in my time here in South Bend. The other has been developing and providing a solid foundational basic science pathology course for the second year medical students.

Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences.
I believe that many of my efforts with regard to FP and forensic sciences peaked during my year as NAME President (2007). I believe that these efforts can best be divided into two areas: education and organization. I have always been a strong advocate for teaching. Throughout my career I have devoted much of my time and energy to pathology training and forensic pathology training. While in Winston-Salem and Dallas, I thoroughly enjoyed teaching pathology residents. In my present position, I likewise enjoy teaching medical students. I have served (and still serve) on various educational committees for numerous organizations, including NAME, AAFS, CAP and ASCP. I was an associate editor for the 2nd edition of the CAP’s Handbook of Forensic Pathology, the editor of the NAME/CAP publication Basic Competencies in Forensic Pathology, and the author of Forensic Pathology for Police, Death Investigators, Attorneys, and Forensic Scientists. Regarding efforts toward organization within the field of forensic pathology, I have been a strong advocate for ensuring appropriate FP training within pathology residency programs. I have served as the AAFS Pathology/Biology section Program Chair, section Secretary, and section Chair, as well as the NAME Board of Directors, Executive Committee, Vice President, President, and Chairman of the Board. During my time within the NAME leadership, I attempted to strengthen the role of forensic pathology within and outside of the organization, and I made efforts to respond as an organization, in tangible ways, to the needs of forensic pathologists.

Recollections of places I have trained and worked.
Indiana University School of Medicine-Northwest – Where I grew to love the basic sciences (as much as that is possible).
Indiana University School of Medicine-Indianapolis – Where I discovered pathology as a career-choice, and where I first was exposed to forensic pathology.
Wake Forest University – Where my love of forensics was confirmed and grew.
University of Texas-Southwestern, Dallas – Where I completed my formal education and gained a tremendous amount of valuable experience within a very busy office, as I remained on staff for 3 additional years following my fellowship.
South Bend Medical Foundation and Indiana University School of Medicine-South Bend – Where I have been able to continue doing what I love to do, forensic pathology and teaching.
Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned.
I’ve already mentioned my mentors at IUSM (Drs. Pless, Clark, and Hawley) and Wake Forest (Drs. Lantz, G Davis, and Jason). Mentors at UTSW include Dr. Jody Barnard, Joni McClain, and Joe Guileyardo. A current mentor, colleague, and fellow forensic pathologist here in South Bend is Rick Hoover. I have many fond memories of each place. I am indebted, not only to those I’ve mentioned above, but also to other teachers and colleagues, including, but not limited to: Robert Prichard, Kim Collins, Karen Ross, Sheila Spotswood, Janis Townsend-Parchman, Charlie Odom, and Juan Zamora, with whom I worked during my training. Of course, there is an extremely long list of individuals with whom I have worked and learned from within NAME and other organizations. They are spread-out all over the USA and the entire globe. I count each of them as friends and colleagues, and I am honored to know them.

Recollections about people I have trained
I have played at least a small part in the education of numerous physicians and pathologists through my roles as instructor/resident at Wake Forest, assistant professor at UTSW, and associate and full professor at IUSM. The following is a partial list of FPs of whose training I am honored to claim at least a small part: F Miller, J Oeberst, A Lopez, M Gonsoulin, L Salzberger, W Kemp, S Turner, K Haden-Pinneri, N Batalis, J Clouse.

Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities as NAME President
My year as President was not a very calm year. Among other issues of importance were the following four relatively major events within the world of FP and/or NAME: Charlie Siebert’s ordeal in Florida, a major effort by tissue procurement agencies to insert language into state laws that would essentially make tissue procurement equivalent to organ procurement with regard to interaction with the death investigation community, the conversion of the NAME administrative offices into a “virtual office” setting, and a major updating of membership categories within the organization (via bylaws changes), advocated for and implemented in order to ensure that NAME is truly (as now stated in the bylaws) a medical/physician organization for forensic pathologists, while maintaining (and hopefully clarifying) the acceptance of various affiliate members which had become commonplace (although somewhat haphazard) over the previous decades.

Academic involvement through research, education, and training
As mentioned above, I consider education to be a very important aspect of my work. I also enjoy presenting and publishing forensic research, most typically in the form of case reports. I also am a strong advocate of “teaching the next generation” through experience. As such, I have long been an advocate for providing publishing and presenting opportunities to those in training. When I was in Texas, pathology residents were the focus of such advocacy. Now that I am in an environment where pathology residents are few and far between, medical students are my focus. During my career, I have published 75 articles in peer-reviewed medical journals, of which 28 have included either residents or medical students as co-authors (usually as first author). Not all of the students become pathologists, let alone forensic pathologists, but I believe each of them gains a tremendous amount of valuable experience by participating in such academic exercises. Each of the textbooks that I have been involved with, either as editor, author, or chapter contributor, has had, as its primary focus, education and training.

Legislative change in which I was involved
As NAME President, I spent a tremendous amount of time combating the language that existed within the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act model legislation. As mentioned above, the model legislation advocated making tissue and organ procurement essentially equivalent in certain important regards as they relate to
death investigation. Had this language been incorporated into various state laws, medical examiners and coroners would have lost a tremendous amount of control over many cases, with the very real potential for evidence loss/compromise.

**My contributions to the field of forensic pathology**
See above. My hope is that I have been (and continue to be) a strong advocate for excellent education and training within forensic pathology and death investigation.

**Perspectives gained**
I believe that I have gained a tremendous diversity of perspective when it comes to forensic pathology practice and death investigation. I have had the good fortune of working within, or observing from the national scene, a variety of death investigation system types. As most within this line of work understand, all systems are not equivalent. At the same time, it is unwise to suggest that a certain system type, based on name alone, is superior. The best system is one that is well-funded, well-supported, well-staffed, free from undue political or other influence, functions within a NAME-accredited facility, follows NAME autopsy standards, has ABMDI-certified death investigators, and has the important decisions regarding autopsy performance and death certification carried-out by ABP-certified forensic pathologists.

**Difficult cases I have managed**
Too many to discuss in any amount of detail. The most difficult cases I encounter now tend to be those that I encounter via consultation where the guidelines described above (perspectives gained) were not followed.

How I deal with job-related stress, anxiety, and personal performance issues. Like many others, I suppose I haven’t done the best job here. When I’m stressed, I tend to eat too much and don’t find time for exercise. Ultimately, when I’m handling the stress well, it’s because I don’t “take my work home with me,” I’m supported by a loving wife and family, I eat in a healthy fashion, I exercise regularly, and I trust in a higher power.

**Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field**
Be honest in all that you do. Be open to the ideas/opinions of others. Be willing to admit that you may be wrong. Don’t over-commit. When testifying, pretend that there are several other FPs in the room, to guard against being too self-assured. If consulting or reviewing a case, attempt to treat the case as if it were a case in your regular daily practice.

**How my work experience changed me, changed my life, and what I learned from my work.**
I don’t think that my work experience has changed me as much as it has confirmed for me what I already believed about life: 1) Human bodies represent physical entities…there is a spiritual component to life. When death occurs, the spiritual component is no longer present within the physical body. 2) The human being is a marvel to behold, from the grossly-visible anatomic structures to the microscopic features to the submicroscopic physiologic and biochemical processes that make-up what we know as “life.” 3) It never ceases to amaze me how humans are so very similar to one another, both inside and out, and yet so incredibly unique.

**How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse**
Better – Guidelines/standards are being advocated. NAME and other organizations are stronger advocates for FP. There’s more “mainstream” knowledge about the profession. Some places are paying better. Worse – “Mainstream” knowledge about FP has propagated and created new myths. Many places continue
to underpay FPs. Recruitment of FPs has not increased as much as should be. We are limited to a great extent by the fact that our closest recruitment pool is general pathologists (or more specifically, pathology residents), many (most) of whom despise the autopsy.

Joseph A. Prahlow, M.D.
Professor of Pathology, Indiana University School of Medicine-South Bend
Why did I Select Forensic Pathology as a Career?
I entered the anatomic and clinical pathology residency at Hennepin County Medical Center in Minneapolis with the intention of being a general pathologist in the mold of my father-in-law. Dr. John Coe was the chairman of pathology at Hennepin County Medical Center and medical examiner for Hennepin County. I was reluctant, at first, to enter the forensic fellowship; however, I changed my mind after medical reimbursements changed in the early 1980s there were few jobs available in general pathology. Coe accepted me into the forensic fellowship with the simple words, “You’re tall enough!” Coe retired as medical examiner the year preceding my fellowship. During my fellowship the assistant medical examiner left the office and I was offered the position by Dr. Garry Peterson, Coe’s replacement as medical examiner. I stayed in Minneapolis for six months as Peterson’s assistant until I left for Milwaukee in 1987.

Places and Times I served as Medical Examiner
I became the Medical Examiner for Milwaukee County in 1987, at age thirty-three, one of the youngest medical examiners of a major American city at the time. I intended to stay in Milwaukee for a short time, but quickly fell in love with the city. I stayed in Milwaukee for twenty-one years as medical examiner before retiring in 2008. I was extremely fortunate to have a supportive district attorney, E. Michael McCann, and a number of physicians in the local Milwaukee community who understood the importance of the position. I relocated to the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor in 2008 as the Director of Autopsy and Forensic Pathology where I also act as deputy medical examiner for Washtenaw County.

Major Accomplishments as Medical Examiner
I arrived in Milwaukee to an office with a deteriorated reputation among the local law enforcement and legal community. My first accomplishment was to recruit Dr. John Teggatz, who also completed his pathology residency and fellowship training with me at Hennepin County, as the Deputy Chief medical examiner.
Because we shared the same professional objectives and philosophy such as pathologists at crime scenes, performing only complete autopsies, and investigator education, it was easy to move the office forward. Over the years we developed child death review teams, a regional medical examiner system with coroners, co-operative relationships with organ and tissue agencies, and public health authorities, and an annual two-day forensic seminar.

**Efforts on Behalf of Forensic Pathology and the Forensic Sciences**
I believe my most lasting accomplishments to the field have been in the area of education. Certainly the best thing I ever did professionally was to interest Dr. Steve Clark, a Ph.D in curriculum design, education, and testing, in the death investigation field. Steve and I are childhood friends; on vacation in 1995 I expressed my frustration that there were no formal measures of investigator performance and training. Steve’s answer was to recruit the best death investigators in the country to Milwaukee to develop the 52 essential skills and practices of death investigators into a training curriculum. The result was a training manual and test, which eventually developed into the American Board of Medico-Legal Death Investigation (ABMDI). Since that early project, Steve has made a number of contributions to NAME and forensic medicine including: National Guidelines for Death Investigation and Crime Scene Investigation, certification examinations for the Board of Forensic Document Examiners (BFDE) and the American Board of Forensic Odontologists (ABFO), NAMUS program for missing and unidentified persons, and computerizing the NAME Inspection and Accreditation process.

The other accomplishment is a two-day seminar in forensic medicine. Patterned after the Hennepin County program, the lectures attracted large audience for over 20 years.
Finally, the many residents and fellows John Teggatz and I successfully taught over the years including: James Henry, Marie Lavin, Michele Catellier, George Mizell, Douglas Kelly, Susan Venuti, Michael Stier, Mary Mainland, Brian Mazrim, Butch Huston, Alex Milanovic, Victor Forlov, Daniel Hess, Dan Carver, Marie Olsen, Robert Stoppacher, many of whom are current chief medical examiners.

**Recollections of Places I have trained and Worked**
During the 1980s, Minneapolis contained one of the best groups of forensic pathologists in the country. I was fortunate to train at Hennepin County with some of the real greats in forensic pathology. Dr. John I. Coe was the medical examiner and chair of the department of pathology that gave him a lot of power in the medical center. Coe was active nationally including a past-president of NAME. He was involved in the Kennedy and King Assassination investigations. His annual conference brought the top people in the field to Minneapolis to lecture. Coe was of course known for his work in vitreous fluid analysis helped to a large degree by his access to the hospital lab and Cal Bandt. Coe published and lectured widely. Coe was especially supportive of lay death investigators and I learned a lot about training and education while at Hennepin County.

Dr. Calvin “Cal” Bandt was the unsung force behind the scenes. He was a board-certified forensic pathologist and supervised and ran the clinical labs at Hennepin County Medical Center. Cal was a great mind. He was the first to recognize the phenomenon of postmortem drug re-distribution and assisted Coe with the investigations of vitreous fluid. Bandt refused to publish academic papers on his findings, leaving the chore and glory for others.

Garry Peterson MD, JD took over as medical examiner in 1984 following Coe. I was Garry’s first forensic fellow and we shared interests in college hockey and books. Gary was and still is an incessant reader of fiction. The fellowship training at Hennepin was hands on, with the fellow involved in every aspect of the
office. Supervision was at a distance, which allowed fellows to develop decision-making skills. Pete was an excellent teacher and friend. His law degree provided him with superior skills of deduction which he used when dissecting difficult cases. My later success in Milwaukee was to a large degree because of the almost weekly telephone conversations, “sessions” I had with Garry during the early years of my career, right out of training.

John Teggatz MD, obtained his pathology and forensic fellowship training at Hennepin County and joined me in Milwaukee shortly after my arrival. John had been a forensic investigator and autopsy assistant in the Minneapolis office and as a result knew death investigation inside and out. He was very knowledgeable about scene investigation and had seen everything related to forensics. John had a genuine passion for teaching and directed the fellowship program. His patience and understanding for residents and fellows was truly amazing and far exceeded my own. I credit John with the discovery of the “Teggatz Lesion,” artefactual hemorrhage in frozen bodies as the result of postmortem trauma. John died too young of lung cancer; he was a special colleague and friend.

I spent the major part of my career in Milwaukee, the most underappreciated city in the country. The office was staffed with hard-working professionals. There was a good mix of cases. The toxicology laboratory was especially prominent. Susan Gock was the toxicologist most responsible for the excellent service and reputation of the lab. Steve Wong, Ph.D, came to Milwaukee in the mid-1990s and provided academic foundation for many of the publications and developed a forensic toxicology fellowship program. Steve developed the first forensic pharmacogenomics laboratory and did extensive work in the field. Warren Hill was a dedicated administrator and public servant with whom I worked for twelve years.

Major Controversies and Frustrations in Completing My Responsibilities
Budgets were a constant source of headache and frustration. In that the office performed 300 referral autopsies for revenue, we had a little flexibility; however, the lack of comfortable funding was always hanging over our heads. Other than budgets, personnel management was the only other frustration. Pathologists are trained to perform autopsies and diagnose cancer—not deal with the continual people problems that come with managing a large office.

Academic Involvement through Research, Education, and Training
My major areas of interest include pharmacogenomics (the study of genetic influence on drug deaths), medical history and child death investigation. I have written on the field of death investigation and initiated and created the book The Medicolegal Death Investigator: A Systematic Training Program for the Professional Death Investigator. and development national forensic autopsy standards. While a medical examiner I obtained a Ph.D. the history of science from the University of Wisconsin. My most recent publication, Death Investigation in America: Coroners, Medical Examiners, and the Search for Reasonable Medical Certainty is a history of forensic pathology in America published by Harvard University Press (2009).

Legislative changes in which I was involved
I have been involved in few actual legislative efforts. One was the successful defense of NAME organ and tissue procurement suits in 6th Circuit of Federal Court (2010). I tried to include statutes to require board-certified forensic pathologists as medical examiners in Milwaukee and institute therapeutic accident designation on death certificates, —Both Failed.

Difficult Cases I have Managed
The most high profile case I handled was the Jeffrey Dahmer serial killing (1991). Although it received
a lot of publicity, it was really more like dismantling a museum. Although Chicago received most of the publicity related to the 1995 Midwest heat wave, Milwaukee saw the same relative number of cases. We had 100 people die overnight of heat-related deaths, which stretched our capacity. Finally, the most difficult case came after the plane carrying six members of the University of Michigan transplant team went down in Lake Michigan, a mile offshore in 50 feet of water. The medical examiner’s office was the only agency without a boat, and recovery was tedious and difficult.

Advice for Forensic Pathologists Entering the Field

• The autopsy begins at the scene.
• No guts; no glory. (Make a decision)
• No good deed goes unpunished. (Treat everyone the same.)
• Get your butt out of bed. (Go to crime scenes!)
• The chief has to spend time in the autopsy room.
• You don’t know how much authority you have until you try and use it.
• The statutes won’t save you, use common sense.
• Speak to politicians like you visit with your neighbor over the back fence.
• Always do a complete autopsy.
• Specialize in something.
• If you think about it; Do it!

Knowing What I Do, “Would I Do It Again?”
Looking back on my career, I realize that I was specially wired for the position of medical examiner. Medical examiners need special skills and gifts of patience, suspicion, paranoia, and common sense. They need to be public servants that know how to avoid perceptions of conflicts of interest.

Personal Information: Family, Hobbies, and Interests
I was lucky to have married my wife Dorianne, the daughter of a pathologist! This kept me out of trouble on numerous occasions. I am a sports nut, having played college football. I enjoy reading and writing history and movies.

Jeffrey M. Jentzen, M.D. Ph.D.
Why did I select forensic pathology as a career?
I desired to be a medical detective and wanted to help bring closure to families.

Places and times I served as Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner
I was the acting Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner from April of 1990 to June of 1990. I was appointed Chief Medical Examiner-coroner in 1992 to the Present.

Major accomplishments as Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner
I believe my major accomplishments have reflected the many improvements in the professional image of the medical examiner-coroner office. The improvements and accomplishments include:

- Attaining and Maintaining NAME, ACGME, ASCLD (Provided support) and POST Accreditation for the office.
- Increasing the number of professional consultants (31) for the office in different subspecialties.
- Improving the Health and Safety measures of the office through implementation of policies and procedures.
- Developed and strengthened the visiting Physician-Scholar Program
- Improved relations with the County Government Administration
- Upgraded and expand the office facility with a $32 million program to refurbish and renovate the existing facility with new HVAC and body storage capacity.
- Instituted systems of automated reporting to public health authorities
- Implemented funding and planning for DNA laboratory for the ME/Coroner
- Assisted in the development of the Electronic Death Certificate System in California
Efforts on behalf of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences
• I am a co-author of the first published textbook in Forensic Neuropathology.
• I have been involved in the training and education of over 65 forensic pathology fellows, hundreds of pathology residents, medical students, emergency room, family medicine residents, Law enforcement personnel, Coroner investigators, Paramedics, Public defenders, District attorneys, during my career as Medical examiner, Senior physician, Chief Forensic Medicine and Chief ME-Coroner

Recollections of places I have trained and worked.
I worked part-time at the New York Medical Examiner Office where I had the opportunity to trained under Drs. Dominick DiMaio, Devlin, Presswala, Hyland, and Michael Baden. While in the NY office, I gained valuable experience in autopsy dissection techniques, evidence collection, identification and learned the importance of toxicology testing.

Comments about people who trained me and from whom I have learned.
My medical school mentor, Dr. Ganapathy taught me the value of the autopsy in diagnosing infectious diseases and the role of the pathologist in public health.

My internal medicine program Directors Drs Harvey Chase and S. Bleicher emphasized compassionate patient care, importance of obtaining a good history, be an attentive listener and explain diagnosis to the patient and family in plain language.

My Infectious disease fellowship Directors Drs Dickinson and Thadepalli emphasized the importance of the microbiology laboratory/radiology staff interaction in making a proper diagnosis and role of the ID physician infection control.

My pathology residency program director, Dr. Begg, a gracious and kind man, taught me to be open-minded and thorough. He emphasized to do the right thing, to share knowledge with others, and to never assume anything, but always ask questions.

Dr. Wisely, my forensic pathology fellowship program director instilled the need to be sensitive to families and acknowledge when you are wrong. I learned the value of the scene investigation as a part of good training in forensic pathology.

Dr. Noguchi, my former boss and former Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner, taught me that the family, next-of-kin, and the public have a right to know the facts of the case. He also instilled in me the importance of giving back to one’s medical community through the Visiting Physician Scholar Program.

Dr Choi, my former supervisor in the Los Angeles Medical Examiner Office fine-tuned my approach to handling forensic cases.

Finally, the late Dr. Ronald Kornblum, my other boss who followed Dr. Noguchi, gave me valuable management skills and taught me to be broad-minded and the value of establishing policies and procedures.

Recollections about people I have been involved in training in the field of Forensic Pathology
I have trained many fine forensic pathologists. A few have become chiefs medical examiners: Dr. Greenwald-Maine, DR Landron-LANDRON, Virgin Islands, and Dr Marzouk, Senior Forensic Pathologist at the AFIP.
Major controversies and frustrations in completing my responsibilities
Inadequate budget and constant staffing issues, along with the need for a new Forensic science center have mostly have been addressed during my tenure. Also, handling high profile trials/major disasters all have been a constant source of frustration but have also added immensely to my overall professional experience.

Academic involvement through research, education, and training
I graduated from medical school from Madras University in India. I have been affiliated with the Los Angeles County Coroner’s Office for over thirty (30) years and was appointed as Chief Medical Examiner-Coroner for Los Angeles County in 1992 by the Board of Supervisors. The office is the only Coroner's Office for Los Angeles County and serves an area of 5,000 sq. miles, 88 cities, and interacts with 52 different law enforcement agencies.

I am board-certified in 6 medical specialties including Anatomic, Clinical & Forensic Pathology, Internal Medicine, Infectious Disease, and Geriatrics. I am also certified in pathology by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. I am a Clinical Professor both at USC-Keck School of Medicine and at UCLA, Geffen School of Medicine.

I addition to my own abstract presentations, journal articles, and book chapters, I have been a supporter of publications by staff and fellows in the medical examiner-coroner office. We have improved the continuing medical education program through video conferencing and enhanced mode conference formats along with the use of the psychological autopsy.

Legislative changes in which I was involved and provided input
a) AB777- Establishes procedure for cases where ME /Coroner is considering withholding permission for organ procurement
b) AB 275 – Requires California Coroners to collect DNA samples from unidentified decadents and submit to DOJ for identification

My contributions to the field of forensic pathology
• Development of a business Continuity plan for Department of the Coroner (DOC) with division chief
• Development of strategic Plan for DOC to improve service to public
• Develop a policy/procedure for handling High profile /media interest cases.
• Practiced Cost effective Forensic Medicine and Pathology without sacrificing Quality
• Coordinated development of a large teaching collection data base from ME/Coroner case material for DOC staff use
• Enhanced the use of the psychological autopsy process by developing a consent form for the process

Perspectives I gained as a medical examiner/ How my work experience changed me, changed my life, and what I learned from my work
• Do the right thing and you sleep well at night
• Team work is the Key.
• Do not Attack, Belittle or Criticize (The ABC’s of Sure Failure).
• Acknowledge other peoples expertise, build relationships, and develop cooperative ventures (the ABC’s of Guaranteed Success)
• Always listen to families. Families know the decedent better than you--Listen to the families and communicate with them in a compassionate manner
• Apologize when you have made a mistake. Take corrective action plans to prevent it happening in the future.
• Acknowledge your limitations and be bold to say “I don’t know.”
• Be Truthful to the media. But NOK/family comes first

**Difficult High-Profile cases I have managed**
During my career at the Department of Coroner he has responded to several disasters. These have included a wide variety of environmental, man-made disasters, as well as the deaths of high-profile persons.

1986: The Cerritos air crash  
1991: LAX US Air crash and Whittier earthquake, Brad Davis  
1993: Nicole Brown Simpson, Ronald Goldman  
1992: Los Angeles Riots  
1994: Northridge Earthquake  
1995: Linda Sobek  
1998: Angel of Death – Glendale (20 exhumations)  
1999: Nerine Shatner  
2000: Steve Allen, Christopher Antley  
2001: Bonnie Lee Blakely  
2002: Teresa Graves Glenn Quinn Robin Crosby Dee Dee Ramone Yolanda, Schlessinger, Irv Rubin  
2004: Robert Pastorelli Rick James  
2005: Glendale Metrolink multiple fatality train incident, Matthew McGrork  
2006: Chris Penn, June Pointer, Michael Gilden  
2007: Richard Jeni Benjamin “Bob” ClarkChad Butler (Pimp C) Donda West  
2008: Chatsworth Metrolink train accident (25) and handled several high profile cases and Christmas/Santa Shootings – Covina (9), Porter Ranch Family Shootings – Porter Ranch (5), Long Beach Homeless Encampment Shootings – Long Beach (5), Christopher Bowman Maila Nurmi Brad Renfro Scott Ruffalo Christian Brando Paula Goodspeed David Foster Wallace  
2009: Marilyn Chambers, Michael Jackson, Gene Barry, Ricardo Montalban, Brittant Murphy E. Lynn Harris Felicia Tang Lily Burk Amy Farris Jeffrey Tidus Wilmington Family Shootings – Wilmington (7)  
2010: Willie Davis Corey Haim Merlin Olsen Robert Culp Teena Marie Simon Monjack Roni Chasen Michael Blosil Bryan Casey Johnson Peter Lopez Sally Menke Mitrice Richardson Lynsie Ekelund (Orange County case)  
Acton Air Crash – Agua Dulce – (3)  
Grim Sleeper Investigations – (10 +)  
2011: Monte R. Talbert (M Bone), Yvette Vickers, Jeff Conaway
How I dealt with job-related stresses, anxiety, personal performance issues?
I have a great wife and daughter who always stood beside me in all challenges in my career

Other recollections.
My visiting physician scholars whom I have trained over the years from Asia/Europe/North America/ Middle East.

My medical examiners/consultants/and all other Coroner’s staff – very supportive of me and Department of Coroner mission.

Always had the support CAO/CEO and Board of Supervisors of LA County

Advice for forensic pathologists entering the field.
Be passionate on what you want to do.

How has forensic pathology changed during my career, for the better and for the worse?
I think it has made me a better man; I am humbled every day.

Knowing what I do now, would I “do it again” under the same circumstances as when I began, or under today’s circumstances?
Yes.

Personal information such as family, hobbies and interests.
I love movies and Broadway shows. I also enjoy travel with my family. My hobbies include: numismatics and philately and I love to walk.

Lakshmanan Sathyavagiswaran, M.D